Supplementary Information

Anionic Uranyl Oxyfluorides as a Bifunctional Platform for Highly Selective Ion-Exchange and Photocatalytic Degradation of Organic Dyes

Zenghui Yue,^{a,b,c} Jian Lin,^{*,a} Mark A. Silver,^d Ling Han,^a Xiaoyun Li,^a Jing Zhou,^a Xiaojing Guo,^a Hongliang Bao,^a Yu-Ying Huang,^{*,c} and Jian-Qiang Wang^{*,a}

S1. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

S1.1 Thermal Properties. TGA indicates that MeUF is stable up to 362 °C and starts to decompose between 362–514 °C due to the loss of the organic template (Fig. S3). The remaining residue can be attributed to UO₂F₂ and the total weight loss is 18.30% (calcd. 18.49%). For EtUF-1, the initial weight loss of 3.06% between 97-144 °C is due to the loss of coordinated water molecules (calcd. 3.41%). A sharp mass loss follows from 340-425 °C and the final weight loss (21.14%) equals the mass sum of one NEt₄ group and two moles of F (*calcd.* 22.25%). EtUF-2 experiences a weight loss upon heating that is mainly due to the less robust nature of its 1D chained topology compared to the 3D or 2D structures of the other complexes. The sharp weight loss of 33.65% occurring between 327-421 °C could be originating from the loss of the template molecule and one mole of F (calcd. 32.64%). An additional mole of F is lost over the range of 421-900 °C with 3.80% weight loss (calcd. 4.16%). For PrUF, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2, TGA indicates an initial weight loss of 1.53% at 234 °C, 1.48% at 192 °C and 2.04% at 247 °C, respectively, which corresponds to the loss of coordinated water molecules (calcd. 1.57% for PrUF, 1.50% for BuUF-1, and 1.98% for BuUF-2). A rapid mass loss from 301-442 °C for PrUF, 325-390 °C for BuUF-1, and 289-385 °C for BuUF-2 can be observed, owing to the loss of NPr₄⁺ and one mole of F for **PrUF-1** (19.44%), one NBu₄⁺ and two moles of F for BuUF-1 (23.24%), or one NBu4⁺ and two moles of F for BuUF-2 (22.19%) (calcd. 19.47% for PrUF, 23.22% for BuUF-1, and 21.11% for BuUF-2), respectively. Further heating resulted in more loss of F for all compounds.

S1.2 Geometrical optimization. Geometrical optimizations of the methylene blue (MB^+), ethyl violet (EV^+), rhodamine B (RB^+), Sudan III (SD^0), and charged acid orange 7 ($AO7^-$) in their ionized form, were performed by using the B3LYP16 exchange–correlation functional as incorporated in the Gaussian 09 computational program without any symmetry constraint.¹ Basis sets of 6-311G for S, O, N, C, and H atoms were applied. Harmonic vibrational frequency calculations were used to confirm that the vibrational frequencies of optimized structures were minimal. The dimensions of organic dye molecules in their ionized form from the calculation are shown in Table 1.

S1.3 Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectra. The IR spectra of MeUF, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2 are shown in Fig. S10. The presence of water in EtUF-1, PrUF-1, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2 was confirmed by the O–H stretching bands ranging from 3,000–3,600 cm⁻¹ and the

H–O–H bending mode at approximately 1,600 cm^{-1.2} No water bands can be observed in the spectra of **MeUF** and **EtUF-2**. The U=O stretching bands are located at 850–970 cm⁻¹, 830–980 cm⁻¹, 820–930 cm⁻¹, 820–1,000 cm⁻¹, 830–990 cm⁻¹, and 850–1,000 cm⁻¹ for **MeUF**, **EtUF-1**, **EtUF-2**, **PrUF**, **BuUF-1**, and **BuUF-2**, respectively. The peaks ranging from 440–500 cm⁻¹ in each spectrum (excluding that for **EtUF-1**) can be attributed to the U–F stretching. The bands related to $-CH_3$ and $-CH_2$ – in NEt₄⁺, NPr₄⁺, and NBu₄⁺ groups have been assigned decades ago and the stretching vibrations of $-CH_3$ and $-CH_2$ – are displayed in the range of 2,800–3,100 cm⁻¹. The bending vibrations of $-CH_3$ and $-CH_2$ – are found near 1,486–1,340 cm⁻¹ and 740–780 cm⁻¹, respectively. The stretching vibration of C–C in **EtUF-1** and **EtUF-2** can be observed at approximately 1,170 cm⁻¹.

S1.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Energy-Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) Analysis.

SEM images and EDS data were recorded on a Zeiss Merlin Compact LEO 1530 VP scanning electron microscope. The energy of the electron beam voltage was 10 keV for imaging and was 15 keV for quantitative identifications of elements. Samples were attached directly on the carbon conductive tape. The spectra acquisition time was 60 s and all EDS results are provided in Fig. S11.

S2. FIG.S AND TABLES



Fig. S1. Crystal images of (a) MeUF, (b) EtUF-1, (c) EtUF-2, (d) PrUF, (e) BuUF-1, and (f) BuUF-

2. The black scale bars in the photographs represent 100 μ m in length.



Fig. S2. PXRD patterns of MeUF-1, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF, and BuUF-1.



Fig. S3. Thermogravimetric curves of compounds MeUF, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2.



Fig. S4. The sorption isothermals of MB⁺ by BuUF-2.



Fig. S5. UV-vis spectra of **MB**⁺ solutions in the presence of (a) **MeUF**, (b) **EtUF-1**, (c) and **EtUF-2** monitored against time.



Fig. S6. UV-vis spectra of **SD**⁰, **AO7**⁻, **EV**⁺, and **RB**⁺ solutions in the presence of **BuUF-2** monitored against time.



Fig. S7. The **MB**⁺ concentration monitored for three adsorption-desorption cycles.



Fig. S8. UV-vis spectra of MeUF, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF, and BuUF-2 crystals.



Fig. S9. Powder diffraction patterns of (a) MeUF, (b) EtUF-1, (c) EtUF-2, (d) PrUF, and (e) BuUF-2 before and after photocatalysis experiments.



Fig. S10. FTIR spectra of compounds MeUF-1, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF-1, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2.



Fig. S11. SEM images and EDS spectra of MeUF-1, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2.

Compound	MeUF	EtUF-1	EtUF-2	PrUF	BuUF-1	BuUF-2		
Formula	$U_2O_4F_5NC_4H_{12}$	$U_4O_{11}F_{10}N_2C_{16}H_{40}$	UO ₂ F ₃ NC ₈ H ₂₀	$U_3O_8F_7$	$U_{3}O_{7}F_{7}C_{16}H_{36}$	$U_7 O_{20} F_{16} N_2 C_{32} H_{72}$		
Colour	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow	Yellow		
Habit	Block	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate	Plate		
Space Group	$I4_1/amd$	$P2_{1}/n$	C2/c	Pbcm	P2/c	<i>P</i> -1		
<i>a</i> (Å)	7.2721(10)	13.8413(18)	14.5685(15)	9.8238(11)	11.9218(12)	9.7727(10)		
<i>b</i> (Å)	7.2721(10)	15.531(2)	12.8961(13)	12.5892(16)	9.8442(10)	17.5281(19)		
<i>c</i> (Å)	23.668(4)	16.556(2)	14.6415(14)	20.661(3)	12.5378(12)	19.726(2)		
α (°)	90	90	90	90	90	73.920(5)		
eta (°)	90	98.762(4)	110.215(3)	90	101.924(3)	87.943(4)		
γ (°)	90	90	90	90	90	78.166(4)		
$V(Å^3)$	1251.6(4)	3517.7(8)	2581.4(4)	2555.2(5)	1439.7(2)	3177.0(6)		
Ζ	4	4	8	4	2	2		
$T(\mathbf{K})$	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)		
λ (Å)	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073		
Maximum 2θ (°)	27.468	27.573	27.578	27.436	27.643	27.652		
$ ho_{ m calcd} ({ m g}~{ m cm}^{-3})$	3.764	2.981	2.353	2.493	2.772	2.851		
μ (Mo K α) (mm ⁻¹)	25.905	18.458	12.596	19.018	16.908	17.874		
GoF on F ²	1.086	1.184	1.044	1.130	1.069	1.061		
R_1 , ^{<i>a</i>} w $R_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	0.0302, 0.0661	0.0315, 0.0747	0.0246, 0.0655	0.0213, 0.0426	0.0342, 0.0656	0.0420, 0.1161		
R_1 , ^{<i>a</i>} w R_2 (all data) ^{<i>b</i>}	0.0404, 0.0697	0.0414, 0.0774	0.0287, 0.0672	0.0321, 0.0449	0.0571, 0.0710	0.0608, 0.1275		
$(\Delta \rho)_{\rm max}, (\Delta \rho)_{\rm min}/e ({\rm \AA}^{-3})$	1.793, -1.557	1.977, -2.347	1.741, -1.387	1.325, -1.505	1.940, -1.647	3.054, -3.256		
${}^{a}R(F) = \sum F_{o} - F_{o} / \sum F_{o} \cdot {}^{b}R_{w}(F_{o}^{2}) = \left[\sum \left[w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2} \right] / \sum wF_{o}^{4} \right]^{\nu 2}$								

Table S1. Crystallographic data for MeUF-1, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF-1, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2.

	U(1)-O(1)	1.757(8)						
	U(1)-O(1)#1	1.758(8)						
	U(1)-F(1)	2.281(1)						
MeUF-1	U(1)-F(2)#2	2.322(6)						
	U(1)-F(2)#3	2.322(6)						
	U(1)-F(2)	2.337(6)						
	U(1)-F(2)#1	2.337(6)						
	U(1)-O(5)	1.764(6)	U(2)-O(8)	1.753(6)	U(3)-O(4)	1.767(6)	U(4)-O(7)	1.764(6)
	U(1)-O(3)	1.768(6)	U(2)-O(2)	1.769(6)	U(3)-O(6)	1.778(6)	U(4)-O(1)	1.771(6)
	U(1)-F(7)	2.194(4)	U(2)-F(2)	2.277(4)	U(3)-F(10)	2.178(6)	U(4)-F(5)	2.284(5)
EtUF-1	U(1)-F(9)	2.283(5)	U(2)-F(6)	2.284(5)	U(3)-F(6)	2.319(5)	U(4)-F(1)	2.284(4)
	U(1)-F(5)#1	2.309(5)	U(2)-F(9)	2.293(5)	U(3)-F(1)	2.340(4)	U(4)-F(3)	2.290(4)
	U(1)-OW2	2.426(5)	U(2)-F(3)#2	2.356(4)	U(3)-F(4)	2.343(4)	U(4)-F(4)	2.334(4)
	U(1)-OW1	2.544(5)	U(2)-F(8)	2.374(4)	U(3)-OW3	2.459(5)	U(4)-F(8)#3	2.394(4)
	U(1)-O(2)	1.791(4)						
	U(1)-O(1)	1.792(4)						
	U(1)-F(3)	2.162(4)						
EtUF-2	U(1)-F(2)	2.305(4)						
	U(1)-F(1)	2.319(3)						
	U(1)-F(1)#1	2.323(4)						
	U(1)-F(2)#2	2.341(3)						
	U(1)-O(3B)	1.658(8)	U(2)-O(1)#1	1.748(4)	U(3)-O(2)	1.751(4)		
	U(1)-O(3A)	1.863(8)	U(2)-O(1)	1.748(4)	U(3)-O(2)#1	1.751(4)		
PrUF-1	U(1)-F(7)	2.238(4)	U(2)-F(5)#3	2.265(4)	U(3)-F(7)#5	2.271(4)		
	U(1)-F(5)	2.282(4)	U(2)-F(1)	2.312(3)	U(3)-F(6)	2.291(4)		
	U(1)-F(1)	2.325(3)	U(2)-F(4)#4	2.329(3)	U(3)-F(3)	2.332(3)		

Table S2. Selected bond distances for MeUF-1, EtUF-1, EtUF-2, PrUF-1, BuUF-1, and BuUF-2.

	U(1)-F(6)#2	2.342(4)	U(2)-F(2)	2.368(3)	U(3)-F(4)	2.333(3)		
	U(1)-O(4)	2.516(6)	U(2)-F(3)	2.390(3)	U(3)-F(2)	2.384(3)		
	U(1)-O(4)#1	2.516(6)						
	U(1)-O(3)#1	1.712(8)	U(2)-O(2)	1.736(9)				
	U(1)-O(3)	1.712(8)	U(2)-O(1)	1.780(8)				
	U(1)-F(4)	2.271(5)	U(2)-F(4)#2	2.252(5)				
BuUF-1	U(1)-F(4)#1	2.271(5)	U(2)-F(1)	2.292(4)				
	U(1)-F(1)#1	2.338(4)	U(2)-F(3)	2.3245(11)				
	U(1)-F(1)	2.339(4)	U(2)-F(2)	2.345(4)				
	U(1)-OW1	2.412(9)	U(2)-F(2)#3	2.377(4)				
	U(1)-O(1)	1.755(6)	U(2)-O(12)	1.763(8)	U(3)-O(6)	1.776(8)	U(4)-O(8)	1.769(8)
	U(1)-O(5)	1.778(8)	U(2)-O(11)	1.765(9)	U(3)-O(14)	1.776(8)	U(4)-O(7)	1.777(8)
	U(1)-F(8)	2.256(5)	U(2)-F(12)	2.274(5)	U(3)-F(12)	2.297(5)	U(4)-F(6)	2.277(5)
	U(1)-F(7)	2.326(5)	U(2)-F(11)	2.301(5)	U(3)-F(8)	2.317(5)	U(4)-F(4)	2.304(5)
	U(1)-F(14)	2.335(5)	U(2)-F(14)	2.335(5)	U(3)-F(6)#2	2.320(5)	U(4)-F(10)#2	2.327(5)
	U(1)-F(1)	2.358(5)	U(2)-F(5)#1	2.344(5)	U(3)-F(11)#3	2.328(5)	U(4)-F(3)	2.359(5)
BuUF-2	U(1)-F(3)	2.382(5)	U(2)-F(5)	2.378(5)	U(3)-OW2	2.449(7)	U(4)-F(1)	2.374(5)
	U(5)-O(9)	1.765(9)	U(6)-O(10)	1.773(7)	U(7)-O(13)	1.756(9)		
	U(5)-O(3)	1.774(9)	U(6)-O(4)	1.775(7)	U(7)-O(2)	1.765(9)		
	U(5)-F(9)	2.277(5)	U(6)-F(4)	2.277(5)	U(7)-F(15)	2.276(5)		
	U(5)-F(16)	2.309(6)	U(6)-F(7)#5	2.312(5)	U(7)-F(10)	2.317(5)		
	U(5)-F(13)#4	2.340(5)	U(6)-F(15)#2	2.330(5)	U(7)-F(16)	2.341(6)		
	U(5)-F(13)	2.345(5)	U(6)-F(9)	2.371(5)	U(7)-F(2)	2.388(5)		
	U(5)-F(2)	2.380(5)	U(6)-OW1	2.456(6)	U(7)-OW3	2.471(7)		

	\mathcal{C}_0	M/V	q_0	Removal	Second-order kinetic model			
	$(mg \cdot kg^{-1})$	$(mg \cdot g^{-1})$	$(mg \cdot g^{-1})$	(%)	$q_e (\mathrm{mg}\cdot\mathrm{g}^{-1})$	$h (\mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{h}^{-1})$	$k (g \cdot mg^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$	<i>R</i> ²
PrUF	4	5	0.8	70.0	0.5359	0.1267	0.4413	0.9861
BuUF-2	4	5	0.8	96.6	0.7725	1.5918	2.6675	0.9992

Table S3 Kinetic parameters of the pseudo-second-order model for MB⁺ adsorption on PrUF and BuUF-2.

S3. REFERENCES

- G. W. T. M. J. Frisch, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09W Revision B.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2010.*
- 2. K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Theory and Applications in Inorganic Chemistry, Wiley, 1997.