# Redox Behaviour of $\beta$ -Dihydroporphycene Cobalt Complex: Study on the Effect of the Hydrogenation of Ligand

Koichi Hashimoto,<sup>a</sup> Taro Koide,<sup>a</sup> Hisashi Shimakoshi,<sup>a</sup> Yuta Hori,<sup>b</sup> Yoshihito Shiota,<sup>b</sup> Kazunari Yoshizawa,<sup>b</sup> and Yoshio Hisaeda<sup>\*,a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyushu University
 <sup>b</sup> Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University

# Contents

1. General information ······	···· S1
2. Synthesis ·····	····· S2
3. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra	·····S5
4. Cyclic Voltammogram·····	••••S6
5. Spectroelectrochemical measurement·····	·····S7
6. ESR spectrum	····· S9
7. DFT calculation	····· S10
8. Supporting references······	···· S16

## 1. General information

Reagents and solvents of best grade available were purchased from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Dried dichloromethane ( $CH_2Cl_2$ ) was obtained by distillation from  $CaH_2$  under a  $N_2$  atmosphere.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500MHz NMR spectrometer. The resonance frequencies are 500 and 125 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C, respectively. Chemical shifts were reported as  $\delta$  values in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. High-resolution electron spray ionization time-of-flight mass spectra (HR-ESI-TOF-MS) were measured on JMS-T100LC-AccuTOF and microTOFQII spectrometer (JEOL, Japan). Ultraviolet–visible-near infrared (UV–vis-NIR) absorption spectra were recorded on U-3310 spectrometer (Hitachi, Japan) and V-670KS (JASCO, Japan). Electron spin resonance (ESR) measurement was carried out with a JES-FE1G X-band spectrometer (JEOL) equipped with an Advantest TR-5213 microwave counter and an Echo Electronics EFM-200 NMR field meter.

Redox potentials were measured by cyclic voltammetry method on an ALS electrochemical analyzer model 630C in glove box. Cyclic voltammetric measurements were carried out using 1.6 mm diameter platinum working electrode and platinum wire counter electrode

in dehydrated solvents containing 0.1 M  $Bu_4NPF_6$  as a supporting electrolyte under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. In the experiment, we employed a Ag/10 mM AgNO<sub>3</sub> reference electrode. The reference electrode was separated from the bulk solution by a fritted-glass bridge filled with 0.1 M KCl aqueous solution.

Data from X-ray diffraction were collected by a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromator and a Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å).

The data frames were integrated using SAINT<sup>S1</sup> and merged to give a unique data set for the structure determination. Absorption corrections by SADABS<sup>S2</sup> were carried out. The structure was solved by a direct method and refined by the full-matrix least-squares method on all F2 data using the SHELXL-97<sup>S3</sup> suite of programs. All non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined.

### 2. Synthesis

#### Pc and Co(II)Pc

Tetrapropyl porphycene (**Pc**) and its cobalt(II) complex (**Co(II)Pc**) were synthesized by following the reported procedure.<sup>S1</sup>

#### Dihydroporphycene H2Pc<sup>S2</sup>

50.8 mg (0.106 mmol) of **Pc**, *p*-toluenesulfonic hydrazide (578 mg, 3.10 mmmol), and  $K_2CO_3$  (602 mg, 4.36 mmol) were added to the 50 mL two-necked flask and the flask was substituted by nitrogen gas. 13 mL of dry pyridine was added and refluxed for 40 minutes. 40 mL of hexane was added after cooling, and the organic layer was washed 16 times with 50 mL of 5% HCl aq., 4 times with 5% NaOH aq., and 2 times with water until the layer becomes transparent. Organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated after filtration. The hydroporphycene **H2Pc** was obtained as a purple needle crystals by recrystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/hexane under N<sub>2</sub> in 94% yield (48.0 mg).

H2Pc was also obtained by following the reported method.<sup>S2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  9.31 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 8.97 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 8.94 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 8.86 (s, 1H), 8.84 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (brs, 1H), 5.00 (dd, J = 9, 17 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (m, 1H), 4.67 (dd, J = 3, 17 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (m, 6H), 3.08 (brs, 1H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 2.28 (m, 6H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.27 (m, 9H), 1.06 (t, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 168.37, 154.41, 143.13, 142.81, 141.27, 140.73, 138.49, 132.68, 132.40, 130.21, 127.30, 122.77, 120.46, 117.30, 114.50, 112.40, 105.72, 105.19, 48.07, 39.95,

38.50, 30.27, 30.04, 29.92, 25.53, 25.22, 25.07, 20.22, 14.53, 14.47, 14.43, 14.28.

IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): *v* = 2954, 2927, 2869, 1609, 1561, 1496, 1463, 1367, 1257, 1209, 1177, 1083, 1042, 969, 950, 897, 811, 744.

HR-ESI-TOF-MS: Calcd for  $C_{32}H_{41}N_4$ : m/z = 481.3331 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>). Found: m/z = 481.3365 ([M+H]<sup>+</sup>).

UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>): 366 (90,100), 383 (58,300), 402 (102,200), 573 (34,600), 598 (29,600).

Elemental analysis (CHN): Calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>40</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 79.96; H, 8.39; N, 11.66%. Found: C, 79.77; H, 8.29; N, 11.54%.

#### Dihydroporphycene cobalt(II) complex Co(II)H2Pc

40.3 mg (0.0838 mmol) of dihydroporphyrcene **H2Pc** and cobalt(II) acetylacetonate dehydrate (302 mg, 1.10 mmol) were added to a 50 mL two-necked flask under nitrogen atmosphere. 18 mL of distilled decalin was added as a solvent, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 hours. 60 mL of hexane was added after cooling, and the organic layer was washed with 80 mL of water four times. Organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated after filtration. Crude mixture was separated by column chromatography with NH<sub>2</sub> modified silica gel (Wakogel 50NH<sub>2</sub>). The cobalt complex **Co(II)H2Pc** was obtained as a purple needle crystals by recrystallization from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/AcOEt under N<sub>2</sub> in 53% yield (24.1 mg).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 54.6, 42.9, 41.5, 38.2, 38.0, 15.0, -8.7, -19.4, -24.3, -26.9 ppm

Chemical shifts of the signals were largely shifted by the influence of the paramagnetic effect. MS (positive mode):  $C_{32}H_{38}N_4Co$  ([M]<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* found; 537.40, calcd; 537.24 UV/Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/M^{-1}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>): 382 (47900), 395 (47000), 416 (51700), 566 (21400) Elemental analysis (CHN): Calcd. for  $C_{32}H_{38}N_4Co$ : C, 71.49; H, 7.12; N, 10.42%. Found: C, 71.53; H, 7.06; N, 10.46%.

#### CH<sub>3</sub>Co(III)H2Pc-A and CH<sub>3</sub>Co(III)H2Pc-B

The electrosynthesis of  $CH_3Co(III)H2Pc-A$  and  $CH_3Co(III)H2Pc-B$  was performed under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and in the dark using the following procedure: Co(II)H2Pc (1 mM) and  $CH_3I$  (50 mM) were dissolved in dry DMF containing 1 mM TBABF<sub>4</sub>. Bulk electrolysis of the solution was performed at -1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl using a divided cell system consist of a platinum mesh electrode as working, a zinc plate electrode as counter (sacrificial). After completion of electrolysis, the solution was transferred from the cell and the solvent was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in DMF- $d_7$  and <sup>1</sup>H NMR was measured.

# CH<sub>3</sub>Co(III)H2Pc-A and CH<sub>3</sub>Co(III)H2Pc-B (as a crude mixture)

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMF- $d_7$ ):  $\delta$  8.2-9.3 (m), -3.97 (s, 3H), -4.04 (s, 3H) MS (positive mode): C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>41</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Co ([M]<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* found; 552.26, calcd; 552.27 UV/Vis (DMF):  $\lambda_{max}$ /nm: 396, 584

# 3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Co(II)H2Pc in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

(a)



**Figure S2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $CH_3Co(II)H2Pc-A$  and -B in DMF- $d_7$ . a) after electrochemical synthesis, b) after irradiation of light.

# 4. Cyclic Voltammogram



**Figure S3.** Cyclic voltammogram of **Co(II)H2Pc** under electrochemical reductive condition in PhCN with  $CH_3I$ . All the data were collected under  $N_2$ . Supporting electrolyte: 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub>, scan rate: 0.1 V/s, WE: Pt, CE: Pt, RE: Ag/Ag.

5. Spectroelectrochemical measurement



**Figure S4.** Time-resolved UV-vis absorption spectra for the reduction of **Pc** in PhCN +0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> at a controlled potential of -1.1 V and -1.4 V, which correspond to the first and second reduction steps, respectively.



**Figure S5.** Time-resolved UV-vis absorption spectra for the reduction of **Co(II)Pc** in PhCN +0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> at a controlled potential of -1.2 V and -1.5 V, which correspond to the first and second reduction steps, respectively.

# 6. EPR spectra



**Figure S6.** EPR spectra of **PBN-CH<sub>3</sub> radical** generated by the light irradiation to the solution of **CH<sub>3</sub>-Co(III)H2Pc** in DMF; before light irradiation (black) and after light irradiation (red line). g = 2.006,  $A_{\rm N} = 13.75$  G,  $A_{\rm H} = 2.33$  G.

### 7. DFT calculation

We optimized the structures of non-hydrogenated and hydrogenated porphycene species using the DFT calculations with the B3LYP functional<sup>S3</sup> implemented by the Gaussian 16 package.<sup>S4</sup> We used the Wachters-Hay<sup>S5</sup> for Co atom and D95\*\* basis set<sup>S6</sup> for C, N, and H atoms. Vibration frequencies were computed in order to confirm that the optimized geometries have no imaginary frequency.

Figure S7 shows calculated HOMO of one-electron reduced species of Co(II)H2Pc in the closed-shell singlet state and  $\alpha$ -SOMO in the triplet state. Since the HOMO in the closed-shell singlet state is assigned to be cobalt metal center, we can confirm that the one-electron reduced species in the closed-shell singlet state corresponds to the cobalt reduced species,  $Co(I)H2Pc^-$ . On the other hand, one-electron reduced species in the triplet and open-shell singlet states corresponds to the ligand reduced species,  $Co(I)H2Pc^-$ . On the ligand reduced species,  $Co(I)H2Pc^-$ , because the  $\alpha$ -SOMO in the triplet state is assigned to be the ligand moiety.

To investigate the dependency of DFT functionals, we also calculated by using the B3LYP\*,<sup>S7</sup> CAM-B3LYP,<sup>S8</sup> BP86,<sup>S9</sup> and  $\omega$ B97X<sup>S10</sup> functionals and B3LYP with 6-311+G\*\* basis.





Figure S7. Calculated (a) HOMO of  $Co(I)H2Pc^{-}$  in the closed-shell singlet and (b)  $\alpha$ -SOMO  $Co(II)H2Pc^{-}$  in the triplet state at the B3LYP level.

Program: Gaussian 16 Basis set: Co: Wachters-Hay Others: D95\*\*

[Co(I)Pc]-		   	(				 ↑		 Co 	→ ↑↓ Pc SS
(kcal/mol)										
B3LYP C	S T1	OSS	B3LYP*	CS	<b>T1</b>	OSS	BP86	CS	T1	OSS
<b>CoPc</b> 21	.1 0.0	0.1	CoPc	16.4	0.0	0.0	CoPc	3.6	0.0	-0.6
CoH2Pc 7.	7 0.0	0.1	CoH2Pc	3.7	0.0	0.0	CoH2Pc	-5.7	0.0	-5.9
CAM-B3LYP C	S T1	OSS	ωB97X	CS	T1	OSS	B3LYP <sup>[1]</sup>	CS	T1	OSS
CoPc 30	.3 0.0	0.2	CoPc	33.8	0.0	0.2	CoPc	21.0	0.0	0.1
<b>CoH2Pc</b> 17	.0 0.0	-0.1	CoH2Pc	17.3	0.0	0.2	CoH2Pc	7.6	0.0	0.1

<sup>[1]</sup> basis set: 6-311+G\*\*

**Figure S8.** Electronic structures and calculated energies of the two possible one-electron reduced species, the cobalt reduced ones and the ligand reduced ones, of **Co(II)Pc** and **Co(II)H2Pc**. Three different spin states, the closed-shell singlet (**CS**), triplet (**T1**), and open-shell singlet (**OSS**) states, were taken into account in the one-electron reduced species.

	a	ngstrom	
Atom	Х	У	Z
Co	0.000130	0.000000	-0.000001
Ν	1.434229	1.289236	-0.000073
Ν	-1.434581	1.289006	-0.000054
С	0.695544	3.689999	-0.000104
Н	1.156966	4.678242	-0.000114
С	1.644343	2.639685	-0.000096
С	-0.695611	3.689730	-0.000063
Н	-1.157319	4.677885	-0.000018
С	2.682499	0.699525	-0.000049
С	3.076104	2.903254	-0.000084
С	-2.682841	0.699454	-0.000035
С	3.726008	1.688585	-0.000073
Н	4.792483	1.494877	-0.000070
С	-1.644436	2.639565	-0.000032
С	-3.076241	2.903131	0.000095
С	-3.726289	1.688496	-0.000056
Н	-4.792700	1.494692	-0.000077
Ν	-1.434483	-1.289112	0.000058
Ν	1.434330	-1.289133	0.000059
С	-0.695326	-3.689780	0.000080
Н	-1.156963	-4.677967	0.000049
С	-1.644231	-2.639687	0.000025
С	0.695828	-3.689948	0.000140
Н	1.157323	-4.678157	0.000171
С	-2.682787	-0.699653	0.000008
С	-3.076017	-2.903362	-0.000061
С	2.682554	-0.699325	0.000039
С	-3.726159	-1.688778	-0.000062
Н	-4.792585	-1.495055	-0.000120
С	1.644552	-2.639564	0.000123
С	3.076332	-2.903023	0.000071
С	3.726141	-1.688303	0.000142

 Table S1.
 Cartesian coordinates of Co(II)Pc in the close-shell singlet state.

Η	4.792601	-1.494515	0.000185
Н	3.519783	3.894019	-0.000101
Η	-3.519876	3.893978	0.000192
Н	-3.519576	-3.894243	-0.000115
Н	3.520089	-3.893753	0.000074

# **Table S2.** Cartesian coordinates of **Co(II)Pc**<sup>←</sup> in the triplet state.

	a	ngstrom	
Atom	Х	У	Z
Co	0.000000	0.000000	0.000001
Ν	-1.435524	-1.301142	0.000088
Ν	1.435514	-1.301153	0.000016
С	-0.688851	-3.719774	-0.000003
Н	-1.155746	-4.705248	-0.000040
С	-1.646843	-2.664430	-0.000013
С	0.688823	-3.719779	0.000027
Н	1.155710	-4.705257	0.000087
С	-2.678147	-0.710375	0.000056
С	-3.055755	-2.918142	-0.000267
С	2.678142	-0.710395	-0.000034
С	-3.707376	-1.684140	0.000115
Н	-4.773305	-1.488334	0.000215
С	1.646823	-2.664442	0.000010
С	3.055733	-2.918165	0.000272
С	3.707363	-1.684167	-0.000257
Н	4.773294	-1.488370	-0.000458
Ν	1.435524	1.301142	-0.000088
Ν	-1.435514	1.301153	-0.000015
С	0.688851	3.719774	0.000003
Н	1.155746	4.705248	0.000045
С	1.646843	2.664430	0.000012
С	-0.688823	3.719779	-0.000027
Н	-1.155710	4.705256	-0.000081
С	2.678147	0.710375	-0.000058

С	3.055755	2.918142	0.000276
С	-2.678142	0.710395	0.000032
С	3.707376	1.684140	-0.000128
Н	4.773305	1.488334	-0.000236
С	-1.646823	2.664442	-0.000011
С	-3.055733	2.918165	-0.000257
С	-3.707363	1.684167	0.000242
Н	-4.773294	1.488370	0.000432
Н	-3.504175	-3.906111	-0.000467
Н	3.504146	-3.906138	0.000485
Н	3.504175	3.906111	0.000483
Н	-3.504146	3.906138	-0.000457

# Table S3. Cartesian coordinates of Co(II)H2Pc in the close-shell singlet state.

	A	Ingstrom	
Atom	Х	у	Z
Co	-0.040941	0.008821	-0.000016
Ν	1.715813	-0.802711	-0.000042
Ν	-1.065107	-1.638347	-0.000002
С	1.669165	-3.303805	0.000034
Н	2.389679	-4.123335	0.000019
С	2.275528	-2.050871	0.000013
С	0.321157	-3.719123	0.000047
Н	0.180015	-4.800575	-0.000013
С	2.719729	0.133825	-0.000015
С	3.807055	-1.970947	-0.000032
С	-2.416769	-1.432858	0.000018
С	4.106918	-0.457101	0.000045
Н	4.677809	-0.140871	-0.882675
С	-0.878472	-3.004602	0.000053
С	-2.175499	-3.663917	-0.000313
С	-3.137120	-2.677868	0.000305
Н	-4.215541	-2.790801	0.000545
Ν	-1.794780	0.830181	0.000045
			0

Ν	0.946691	1.663614	0.000003
С	-1.773494	3.350072	-0.000024
Н	-2.502019	4.162411	-0.000079
С	-2.384061	2.072454	-0.000022
С	-0.446204	3.754048	0.000002
Н	-0.285454	4.832556	0.000047
С	-2.822722	-0.084206	0.000040
С	-3.823210	1.919280	-0.000341
С	2.320099	1.454984	-0.000002
С	-4.097428	0.562845	0.000194
Н	-5.064999	0.073291	0.000367
С	0.763452	3.012222	-0.000013
С	2.061102	3.679503	0.000313
С	3.032366	2.705737	-0.000222
Н	4.109667	2.832759	-0.000402
Н	4.223112	-2.474153	0.882014
Н	-2.322463	-4.739405	-0.000565
Н	-4.531887	2.741480	-0.000593
Н	2.198938	4.756232	0.000554
Н	4.677662	-0.140936	0.882886
Н	4.223020	-2.474019	-0.882200

 Table S4.
 Cartesian coordinates of Co(II)H2Pc<sup>-</sup> in the triplet state.

angstrom				
Atom	Х	У	Ζ	
Co	-0.058858	0.000538	0.000010	
Ν	1.854906	-0.531523	-0.000025	
Ν	-0.773522	-1.802633	0.000012	
С	2.204028	-3.020078	-0.000024	
Н	3.053115	-3.706502	-0.000005	
С	2.605424	-1.680086	-0.000032	
С	0.956868	-3.646425	-0.000004	
Н	0.985902	-4.735904	0.000055	
С	2.653188	0.554218	-0.000009	

С	4.098053	-1.328984	-0.000064
С	-2.152117	-1.817708	0.000008
С	4.123632	0.219207	0.000064
Н	4.627231	0.636300	-0.882184
С	-0.362853	-3.128272	0.000001
С	-1.516923	-3.967293	0.000230
С	-2.647349	-3.136492	-0.000154
Н	-3.691505	-3.426977	-0.000293
Ν	-1.919579	0.537591	0.000028
Ν	0.648048	1.812121	0.000008
С	-2.302727	3.050548	-0.000012
Η	-3.160149	3.725469	0.000000
С	-2.704926	1.676033	-0.000021
С	-1.077200	3.664054	-0.000002
Η	-1.090794	4.754232	-0.000025
С	-2.780093	-0.532847	0.000001
С	-4.076284	1.293279	0.000159
С	2.034892	1.814765	0.000014
С	-4.125560	-0.108416	-0.000208
Η	-4.999876	-0.748888	-0.000370
С	0.255046	3.128987	0.000017
С	1.410093	3.966591	-0.000166
С	2.538750	3.136500	0.000133
Η	3.583299	3.427236	0.000226
Η	4.599179	-1.748194	0.882012
Η	-1.490623	-5.052108	0.000395
Η	-4.910620	1.987097	0.000291
Η	1.385229	5.051224	-0.000307
Η	4.627090	0.636149	0.882467
Н	4.599088	-1.748033	-0.882272

#### 8. Supporting references

- S1) E. Vogel, M. Balci, K. Pramod, P. Koch, J. Lex, O. Ermer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 928; J. P. Gisselbrecht, M. Gross, M. Köcher, M. Lausmann, E. Vogel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 8618; K. M. Kadish, P. L. Boulas, M. Kisters, E. Vogel, A. M. Aukauloo, F. D'Souza, and R. Guilard, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 2693.
- S2) K. Hashimoto, M. Abe, H. Shimakoshi, Y. Hisaeda, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5413.
- S3) a) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, *38*, 3098–3100. b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* 1988, *37*, 785–789.
- S4) Gaussian 16, Revision A.03, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- S5) a) P. J. Hay, J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 66, 4377–4384. b) K. Raghavachari, G. W. Trucks, J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 91, 1062–1065. c) A. J. H. Wachters, J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 1033–1036.
- S6) T. H. Dunning, H. P. Jeffrey, In Modern Theoretical Chemistry; Schaefer, H. F., III, Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976, 3, 1–27.
- S7) (a) M. Reiher, O. Salomon, B. A. Hess, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2001, 107, 48–55. (b) O. Salomon, M. Reiher, B. A. Hess, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 117, 4729–4737.
- S8) T. Yanai, D. Tew, N. Handy, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2004, 393, 51-57.
- S9) a) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, **1988**, 38, 3098–3100. b) J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B*, **1986**, 33, 8822–8824.
- S10) J.-D. Chai, M. Head-Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 084106.