Supporting information

Tubular Morphology Surviving and Doping Engineering of Sn/P-Codoped Hematite

for Photoelectrochemical Water Oxidation

Shi-Fang Duan,^{[a,b]#} Yuan-Yuan Geng,^{[a,b]#} Xiao-Bo Pan,^[c] Xiao-Qiang Yao,^[b] Yi-Xin Zhao,^[d] Xi Li,^[d]

Chun-Lan Tao,*^[a] Dong-Dong Qin*^[a]

^a·College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006,

People's Republic of China.

^b.College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070,

People's Republic of China.

^{c.}College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, People's Republic of China.

^{d.}School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, People's Republic of China.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: taochl@lzu.edu.cn (C. L. Tao) and gindd05@gmail.com (D. D. Qin)



Figure S1 SEM images of the samples.



Figure S2 SEM cross-sectional elemental analysis of Sn/P-codoped hematite tubular film.



Figure S3 XRD diffraction patterns of the samples.



Figure S4 Raman spectra of the hematite with and without doping.



Figure S5 Photocurrent response of Sn-doped hematite tubes after annealing at different concentration of PH_{3} .



Figure S6 IPCE and APCE of the samples, measured at 1.23 V vs RHE in 1.0 M NaOH.



Figure S7 Bode phase plots of the electrodes at 1.23 V vs RHE under dark (a) and 1 sun illumination

|--|



Figure S8 Photocurrent response of pristine hematite, Sn-doped and Sn/P-codoped hematite before and after adding $1mL H_2O_2$ in 60 mL 1 M NaOH electrolyte.



Figure S9 Photocurrent response of the samples with (left) and without (right) H₂O₂ under chopped

light.