Electron Supporting Information

Tetranuclear Cu^{II}₂Dy^{III}₂ Coordination Clusters as Suzuki (C–C) Coupling Reaction promoters

Prashant Kumar,^a Kieran Griffiths,^a Christopher E. Anson,^b Annie K. Powell^{b,c*} and George E. Kostakis^{a*}

^a Department of Chemistry, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN19QJ, UK,.

^b Institute of Inorganic Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe,

Germany.

^c Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany.

Table of Contents

1.	Materials and Instrumentation	2
2.	Synthesis	2
3.	Crystallographic data	2
4.	Thermal Studies.	3
5.	UV-Vis	3
6.	Catalytic studies	4
7.	NMR Data	6
8.	References	16

1. Materials and Instrumentation

Chemicals (reagent grade) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and Alfa Aesar. All experiments were performed under aerobic conditions using materials and solvents as received. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VNMRS solution-state spectrometer at 500 MHz at 30°C using residual isotopic solvent (DMSO, $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 2.50 ppm) as internal reference. Chemical shifts are quoted in ppm. Coupling constants (J) are recorded in Hz. IR spectra of the samples were recorded over the range of 4000-650 cm⁻¹ on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer fitted with an UATR polarization accessory. ESI-MS data were obtained on a VG Autospec Fissions instrument (EI at 70 eV). TGA analysis was performed on a TA Instruments Q-50 model (TA, Surrey, UK) under nitrogen and at a scan rate of 10 °C/min.

2. Synthesis

The synthesis of ligand H₂L has been carried out according to the reported synthetic procedure.¹ The synthesis of 1NiDy, 1CoDy and 1CoY by us.^{2,3} The synthesis of **1** is the following. H₂L (0.2mmol) and Et₃N (0.45mmol, 61μ L) in MeCN (20 ml) was stirred for 5 minutes. Cu(NO₃)₂.6H₂O (0.1mmol, 30mg) and Dy(NO₃)₃.5H₂O (0.2mmol, 88mg) were added and the solution was stirred for a further 2h. The cloudy yellow solution was filtered and greenish crystals of **1** were collected after 3 days and dried overnight. 60% yield calculated via for Cu^{II}. CHN (expected) [(Cu^{II}₂Dy^{III}₂(L)₄(NO₃)₂(CH₃CN)₂] 2(CH₃CN) C-45.08%; H-3.31%, N-8.21%. CHN (observed) C-44.15%; H-3.18%; N-6.98%. The observed CHN data corresponds to [(Cu^{II}₂Dy^{III}₂(L)₄(NO₃)₂(CH₃CN)₂] 0.5(H₂O) C-44.15%; H-3.15%; N-6.87%, indicating partial replacement of CH₃CN by H₂O.

3. Crystallographic data

Data for 1 (ω - scans) were obtained at the University of Sussex by use of an Agilent Xcalibur Eos Gemini Ultra diffractometer with CCD plate detector under a flow of nitrogen gas at 173(2) K using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). CRYSALIS CCD and RED software was used respectively for data collection and processing. Reflection intensities were corrected for absorption by the multi-scan method. All crystal structures were then refined on Fo² by fullmatrix least-squares refinements using SHELXL.⁴ Geometric/crystallographic calculations were performed using PLATON,⁵ Olex2,⁶ and WINGX⁷ packages; graphics were prepared with Crystal Maker.⁸ Structure 1 have been given CCDC deposition number 1860013

Crystal Data for C₆₄H₅₆Cu₂Dy₂N₁₀O₁₈ (*M*=1705.26 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group Pbca (no. 61), a = 14.0995(4) Å, b = 16.9713(6) Å, c = 25.9304(8) Å, V = 6204.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 14.0995(4) Å, b = 16.9713(6) Å, c = 25.9304(8) Å, V = 6204.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 14.0995(4) Å, b = 16.9713(6) Å, c = 25.9304(8) Å, V = 6204.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 14.0995(4) Å, b = 16.9713(6) Å, c = 25.9304(8) Å, V = 6204.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 14.0995(4) Å, b = 16.9713(6) Å, c = 25.9304(8) Å, V = 6204.8(3) Å³, Z = 4, T = 10.0915(4) Å³, Z = 10.0915

173 K, μ (CuK α) = 14.139 mm⁻¹, *Dcalc* = 1.825 g/cm³, 18688 reflections measured (9.266° $\leq 2\Theta \leq 124.34^{\circ}$), 4867 unique ($R_{int} = 0.0932$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0757$) which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0522 (I > 2 σ (I)) and wR_2 was 0.1350 (all data).

4. Thermal Studies.

The thermal behavior of fresh crystals of 1 was studied up to 800°C.



Figure S1. Thermal study of compound 1. The framework collapses at 250°C.

5. UV-Vis



Figure S2. The UV-Vis graph of compound **1** in CH₃CN, certifying the presence of a Cu(II) centre in the solution.

6. Catalytic studies

General procedure for Suzuki coupling reaction

 K_2CO_3 (690 mg, 5.0 mmol) was added to a 100 ml three-necked flask with a stirring bar and the flask was dried under vacuum and then filled with nitrogen, aryl halide (1.0 mmol), phenylboronic acid (1.1 mmol), and PPh₃ (2.0 mol%) in DME:water (1:1). Then 5.0 mol% of Cu_2Dy_2 was added under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for the indicated reaction time. The mixture was cooled and the precipitate was removed by filtration and the product was extracted from the filtrate with diethyl ether. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. After evaporation, the obtained residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography to give the coupling product. The yield of the product was determined by ¹H NMR.

Effect of Base. Further, the effect of a base on the reaction performance was studied using different bases such as K_2CO_3 , KOH, Na₂CO₃, NaOH, Et₃N, Cs₂CO₃ and KO^tBu in a mixture of DME and water solvent (FigS2). It was investigated that the K_2CO_3 is the desirable base for the reaction with higher product yield for this reaction. In the absence of catalyst, coupling product was formed with very less yield (5.0 %) using K_2CO_3 base. The presence of base, as it is essential to activate boronic acid via enhancing the polarization of the organic ligand and thus facilitate transmetallation.



Figure S2. A summarizing table showing the effect of the base on the reaction performance.

Effect of Temperature: An investigation of the influence of the temperature on coupling between phenyl boronic acid and iodobenzene was also carried out with a range of temperature (0° C – 120° C). Control experiments showed that Cu_2Dy_2 can give good conversion to the coupled product at 80° C but no conversion obtained at a temperature lower than 40°C (Fig S3). A temperature of 80 °C was used as the best condition for the reaction model. At 100 and 120 °C, the catalyst gets degraded and afforded low yield.



Figure S3. A summarizing table showing the effect of the temperature on the reaction performance.

 Table S1. Metal salt screening influence of metal catalysts for C-C coupling reaction

H + I Metal catalyst				
Entry	Catalyst	(%) Yield ^a		
1	Cu(NO ₃) ₂	33		
2	Cu(OAc) ₂	-		
3	CuCl ₂	31		
4	CuI	40		
5	Dy(NO ₃) ₃	-		
6	Cu(NO ₃) ₂ / Dy(NO ₃) ₃	25		
7	Cu(NO ₃) ₂ / Dy(NO ₃) ₃ / H ₂ L (1:1:2)	8		
8	Cu ₂ Dy ₂	89		
Iodobenzene (1.0 mmol), phenylboronic acid (1.1mmol), PPh ₃ (2.0 mmol), catalyst (5.0				
mol %), K ₂ CO ₃ (5.0 mmol) DME:water (1:1), 80 °C. ^a Isolated yield.				

7. NMR Data



Figure S4. ¹H NMR data of compound 4aa.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR data of compound 4ac





Figure S7. ¹H NMR data of compound 4ba.



Figure S9. ¹H NMR data of compound 4da



Figure S10. ¹H NMR data of compound 4db



Figure S11. ¹H NMR data of compound 4dc



Figure S12. ¹H NMR data of compound 4dd



Figure S13. ¹H NMR data of compound 4ea



Figure S13. ¹H NMR data of compound 4fa



Figure S15. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ab



Figure S16. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ac



Figure S17. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ad



Figure S18. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ae



Figure S19. ¹H NMR data of compound 7af



Figure S20. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ag



Figure S21. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ah



Figure S22. ¹H NMR data of compound 7ai

8. References

- Griffiths, K.; Dokorou, V. N.; Spencer, J.; Abdul-Sada, A.; Vargas, A.; Kostakis, G. E. Isoskeletal Schiff Base Polynuclear Coordination Clusters: Synthetic and Theoretical Aspects. *CrystEngComm* 2016, *18* (5), 704–713.
- Mondal, K. C.; Sundt, A.; Lan, Y.; Kostakis, G. E.; Waldmann, O.; Ungur, L.; Chibotaru, L. F.; Anson, C. E.; Powell, A. K. Coexistence of Distinct Single-Ion and Exchange-Based Mechanisms for Blocking of Magnetization in a Co(II)2Dy(III)2 Single-Molecule Magnet. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51* (30), 7550–7554.
- Mondal, K. C.; Kostakis, G. E.; Lan, Y.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Anson, C. E.; Powell, A. K. Defect-Dicubane Ni2Ln2 (Ln = Dy, Tb) Single Molecule Magnets. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, 50 (22), 11604–11611.
- (4) Sheldrick, G. M. Crystal Structure Refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem. 2015, 71 (1), 3–8.
- (5) Spek, A. L. Single-Crystal Structure Validation with the Program PLATON. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7–13.
- (6) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2 : A Complete Structure Solution, Refinement and Analysis Program. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42 (2), 339–341.
- (7) Farrugia, L. J. WinGX and ORTEP for Windows : An Update. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 2012, 45 (4), 849–854.
- (8) Macrae, C. F.; Edgington, P. R.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Shields, G. P.; Taylor, R.; Towler, M.; Van De Streek, J. Mercury: Visualization and Analysis of Crystal Structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2006, 39, 453–457.