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## 1. Experimental section and physical measurements

## Solvothermal conditions

Mixture of complexes: $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bic}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right](\mathbf{1}),\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right](\mathbf{2})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$
Into a solution of bicine ( $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{bic}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ - $\mathrm{Bis}(2$-hydroxyethyl)glycine) ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{ml})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 238 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution was stirred for 5 minutes at room temperature. Then, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the solution stirred for another 15 minutes. The dark blue solution was placed in a Teflon lined autoclave and heated to $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at a rate of $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The temperature was held at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days and then the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature at a rate of $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ yielding three kinds of crystals: pink and blue block-like crystals and blue needle-like crystals.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction revealed that the unit cell of the pink block-like crystals corresponds to the previously reported [Co" $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bic}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (1) ${ }^{1}$ and the blue block-like crystals correspond to complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime} 9(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right](2)$. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction for the blue needle-like crystals revealed a solvomorph of 2, complex $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## Microwave-mediated conditions

Microwave reactor model: Discover LabMate (model no. 908010), Matthews. NC, made in USA by CEM Corporation.

## [Co" $\left.{ }^{11}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bic}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right](1)$

A solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 238 \mathrm{mg})$, bicine ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.02 \mathrm{ml})$ in EtOH ( 7 ml ) was placed in a glass tube sealed with a cap (suitable glass tube and cap designed for microwave reaction), which was then inserted into the cavity of a microwave reactor. The reaction mixture was held at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, power: 150 W and pressure: 300 PSI for a total of 15 min . Then the solution was allowed to cool naturally to room temperature to give a dark blue solution with pink crystalline precipitate which was collected by filtration and dried in air before characterising with powder X-ray diffraction and elemental analysis ( $\sim 18 \%$ yield). Elemental analysis calcd(\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12.5} \mathrm{CoNO}_{4.25} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C} 27.61 \%, \mathrm{H} 4.83 \%, \mathrm{~N} 5.37 \%$, found: C 27.49\%, $\mathrm{H} 4.69 \%, \mathrm{~N} 5.26 \%$, which corresponds to $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{11}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bic}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1 \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

## $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{9}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right](2)$

A solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 238 \mathrm{mg})$, bicine ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.075 \mathrm{ml})$ in EtOH ( 7 ml ) was placed in a sealed glass tube, which was then inserted into the cavity of a microwave reactor. The reaction mixture was held at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, power: 150 W and pressure: 300 PSI for a total of 15 min . Then the solution was allowed to cool naturally to room temperature to give a dark blue solution with blue crystalline precipitate which was collected by filtration and dried under a nitrogen atmosphere before characterising with powder X-ray diffraction and elemental analysis ( $\sim 17 \%$ yield). Elemental analysis calcd(\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{Co}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C} 26.41 \%, \mathrm{H}$ 3.94\%, N 5.13\%, found: C 26.27\%, H 4.12\%, N 4.91\%.

## $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right] \cdot \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$

A solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 238 \mathrm{mg})$, bicine ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\left(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}^{2} 0.075 \mathrm{ml}\right)$ in EtOH ( 7 ml ) was placed in a sealed glass tube, which was then inserted into the cavity of a microwave reactor. The reaction mixture was held at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, power: 150 W and pressure: 300 PSI for a total of 15 min . Then the solution was allowed to cool naturally to room temperature to give a dark blue solution with blue crystalline precipitate which was collected by filtration and dried in air before characterising with powder X-ray diffraction and elemental analysis ( $\sim 17 \%$ yield). Elemental analysis calcd(\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{Co}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ : C $23.56 \%, \mathrm{H} 4.72 \%, \mathrm{~N} 4.58 \%$, found: C $24.06 \%, \mathrm{H} 4.39 \%, \mathrm{~N} 4.52 \%$ which corresponds to $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{1{ }_{9}}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right] \cdot 11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (one molecule of water is lost).

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction: Crystallographic data were collected at 100 K using $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation $\quad \lambda=$ 0.710735 Å) using a Rigaku FRE+ equipped with VHF Varimax confocal mirrors and an AFC12 goniometer and HG Saturn 724+ detector.

Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD): PXRD measurements were carried out at 298 K using a PANalytical X'Pert PRO diffractometer $\left(\lambda(C u K \alpha)=1.4505 \AA\right.$ ) on a mounted bracket sample stage over the range of $3^{\circ}<2 \vartheta<40^{\circ}$ (for complex 1) and $3^{\circ}<\mathbf{2 \vartheta}<30^{\circ}$ (for complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) using a step size of $0.0334^{\circ}$.

Magnetic Susceptibility Measurements: Variable-temperature direct current (dc) and alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility data were collected on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 5 T magnet operating in the 290-2 K range. Polycrystalline samples were embedded in eicosane, to prevent torquing. Magnetic data have been corrected for diamagnetism (Pascal's constants and corrections for the sample holder).

Microanalysis: Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed in-house in the School of Chemistry at the University of Glasgow.

## 2. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction

Due to a region of poorly defined and disordered molecules of solvent in $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, only two molecules of water were able to be modelled. The programme SQUEEZE (in PLATON) ${ }^{2}$ was used to identify the solvent voids and account for the electron density within them, calculated to contain $886 \mathrm{e}^{-}$per unit cell, corresponding to approximately $98 \mathrm{e}^{-}$per molecule. Approximately 10 molecules of water solvent correspond to $\sim 98 \mathrm{e}^{-}$, therefore in total there are 12 molecules of co-crystallised water per complex. This is also in agreement with the elemental analysis (see experimental procedure of $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right] \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
Solvent Accessible Volume $=3665 \AA^{3}$
Electrons Found in S.A.V. $=886$

Data collection: CrysAlisPro 1.171.39.9g (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); cell refinement: CrysAlisPro 1.171.39.9g (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); data reduction: CrysAlisPro 1.171.39.9g (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2015); program used to solve structure: SheIXT; ${ }^{3}$ program used to refine structure: SHELXL; ${ }^{3}$ molecular graphics: Olex2; ${ }^{4}$ software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2. ${ }^{4}$

Table S1. Data collection and crystallographic parameters for complexes $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{Co}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{Co}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{36}\left(\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr | 1637.10 | 1853.29 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ | Trigonal, $R$-3 |
| Temperature ( K ) | 100 | 100 |
| $a, b, c$ (Å) | $\begin{gathered} 14.7276(4), 11.8295(3), \\ 15.6451(5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.2116 \text { (8), } 39.2116 \text { (8), } \\ 11.7240(3) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\alpha, 6, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 94.809, 90 | 90, 90, 120 |
| $v\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2716.10 (13) | 15611.2 (8) |
| $Z$ | 2 | 9 |
| Radiation type | Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda=$ 0.71073 Å | Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.96 | 2.34 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.04$ | $0.26 \times 0.03 \times 0.03$ |
| Diffractometer | Rigaku FRE+ equipped with VHF Varimax confocal mirrors and an AFC12 goniometer and HG Saturn 724+ detector diffractometer | Rigaku FRE+ equipped with VHF Varimax confocal mirrors and an AFC12 goniometer and HG Saturn 724+ detector diffractometer |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.874, 1.000 | 0.555, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed [I> 2б(I)] reflections | 34254, 6234, 5718 | 38042, 7930, 6410 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.028 | 0.061 |
| $R\left[F^{2}>\mathbf{2 \sigma}\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.023, 0.055, 1.03 | 0.054, 0.139, 1.00 |
| No. of reflections | 6234 | 7930 |
| No. of parameters | 364 | 368 |
| No. of restraints | 6 | 483 |
| H -atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $\Delta>\max , \Delta>\min \left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.25, -0.66 | 2.11, -1.63 |

Table S2. The CShMs values calculated with the program SHAPE ${ }^{5}$ for each geometry for the five-coordinate Co" in complex 1.

| Geometry | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pentagon | 34.70 |
| Vacant octahedron | 6.75 |
| Trigonal bipyramid | 1.42 |
| Spherical square pyramid | 5.53 |
| Johnson trigonal bipyramid | 2.63 |



Figure S1. The closest reference polyhedron for TBP geometry calculated with SHAPE ${ }^{5}$ for Co" of complex 1. Colour code: Co": violet, Cl: green, O: red, N: blue, bonds: grey.


Figure S2. Left: The crystal packing of 1 along the $c$ axis. Right: The crystal packing of 1 along the $b$ axis. Colour code: Co": violet, Cl : green, O : red, N : blue, C : grey, H : white. Light blue lines: illustration of the 2D network formed by the H -bonds.



Figure S3. Top: The molecular structure of $\left[\mathrm{CO}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{9}(\mathrm{Hbic})_{4}(\mathrm{bic})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}\right]$. Bottom: The plane that five $\mathrm{Co}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\mathrm{Co} 1, \mathrm{Co} 2, \mathrm{Co2}$ ', $\mathrm{Co3}, \mathrm{Co3}$ ') centres define, with $\mathrm{Co4}, \mathrm{Co4'}$, $\mathrm{Co5}$ and $\mathrm{Co5}$ ' located outside this plane. Colour code: Co"': violet, Cl : green, O: red, N: blue, C: grey. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Figure S4. Illustration of the intramolecular and intermolecular interactions through the hydrogen bonds (light blue dashed lines) for complex $\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Only the modelled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ sites are shown here, however further water molecules are present in the channels and were accounted for using SQUEEZE. ${ }^{6}$

Table S3. The CShMs values calculated with the program SHAPE ${ }^{7}$ for each Co" centre in complex 2.

| Co | Octahedron | Trigonal prism | Tetrahedron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co1 | 1.3 | - | - |
| Co2 | 3.3 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Co3}$ | 4.5 | 5.3 | - |
| $\operatorname{Co4}$ | 4.8 | 5.4 | - |
| $\operatorname{Co5}$ | - | - | 0.8 |

Table S4. The CShMs values calculated with the program SHAPE ${ }^{7}$ for each Co" centre in complex $\mathbf{2 \cdot 1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Co | Octahedron | Trigonal prism | Tetrahedron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co1 | 1.3 | - | - |
| Co2 | 3.7 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Co3}$ | 3.8 | - | - |
| $\operatorname{Co4}$ | 4.7 | 5.3 | - |
| $\operatorname{Co5}$ | - | - | 0.7 |

## 3. Dc and ac magnetic susceptibility



Field ( T )

Figure S5. Magnetisation versus Field plot at temperatures 2, 4 and 6 K for complexes $\mathbf{2}$ (spheres) and $\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (triangles).


Figure S6. Top: Temperature dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) susceptibility in zero dc field for complex $\mathbf{2}$ with ac frequencies of $1-1488 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Bottom: Temperature dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) susceptibility in a 2000 Oe dc field for complex 2 with ac frequencies of $1-1488 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Note that the optimum additional dc field was not determined.


Figure S7. Top: Temperature dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) susceptibility in zero dc field for complex $\mathbf{2} \cdot 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with ac frequencies of $1-1488 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Bottom: Temperature dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) susceptibility in a 2000 Oe dc field for complex $\mathbf{2 \cdot 1 2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with ac frequencies of $1-1488 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
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