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## Experimental Section.

Manipulations, reactions, and transfers were conducted under $\mathrm{N}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ according to Schlenk techniques or in a glovebox (under $\mathrm{N}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ atmosphere). Organic solvents were distilled under $\mathrm{N}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ from appropriate drying agents (acetonitrile $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ and dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$; n-hexane, diethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) from sodium benzophenone) and stored in dried, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-filled flasks over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Nitrogen was purged through these solvents before use. Solvent was transferred to the reaction vessel via stainless cannula under positive pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Compounds $\left.\quad[\mathrm{PPN}]\left[{ }^{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right], \quad\left[{ }^{15} \mathrm{NO}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right],{ }^{1} \quad[\mathrm{PPN}][\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO}))_{3}\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{NO}\right)\right],{ }^{2}$ $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{TMEDA})\right](\mathrm{TMEDA}=$ tetramethylenediamine $),\left[\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{NO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{TMEDA})\right],{ }^{3}$ and $\mathrm{NaS}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{4}$ were prepared according to the reported procedures. The reagents 18 -crown-6-ether (Alfa Aesar), TMEDA (Alfa Aesar), sodium borohydride/borodeuteride (Alfa Aesar), ammonia borane (Sigma), 9-borabicyclononane (9-BBN) (ACROS), pinacolborane (Alfa Aesar), borane tetrahydrofuran complex solution (Aldrich), and carbon disulfide (Sigma) were used as received. Infrared spectra of the $v_{N O}$ and $v_{B-H / D}$ stretching frequencies were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-4200 spectrometer with sealed solution cells ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows). UV-vis spectra were recorded on a JASCO V630 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AVANCE 300 MHz and Varian Unity- 600 MHz spectrometer, whereas $\mathrm{BF}_{3}(\delta=0$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ and urea $(\delta=-298.73 \mathrm{ppm})$ were used as external standard for ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR, respectively. All electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode cell with a CHI model 611E potentiostat (CH Instrument) instrumentation. Cyclic voltammogram of dinitrosyl iron complex was obtained in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$-free THF using 0.1 M $\left[n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ as the supporting electrolyte. The potential was measured at 298 K vs $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ reference electrode ( $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ ) using a glassy carbon working
electrode (surface area $=0.0707 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) and a platinum wire auxiliary electrode at a scan rate of $0.1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$. The potentials are reported against the ferrocenium $/$ ferrocene $\left(\mathrm{Fc}^{+} / \mathrm{Fc}\right)$ couple.


#### Abstract

Reaction of Complex [(NO) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{TMEDA})\right]$ (1) and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3}, \mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet$ THF, 9 BBN (9-borabicyclononane), and HBPin (pinacolborane), respectively. Compounds [(NO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Fe}($ TMEDA $\left.)\right]$ (1) $(0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3}(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5$ mmol ) were dissolve in THF ( 5 mL ) and then stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at ambient temperature for 12 h . No change of indicative IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ stretching frequencies of complex $\mathbf{1}$ at 1697 $\mathrm{s}, 1644 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ demonstrated that complex $\mathbf{1}$ is unreactive toward $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3}$. Reactions of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet$ THF, $9-\mathrm{BBN}$, and HBPin, respectively, as well as reactions of complex $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3} / \mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet \mathrm{THF} / 9-\mathrm{BBN} / \mathrm{HBPin}$ were carried out in a similar fashion, while no reaction was observed.


Preparation of $[$ Cation $]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) (Cation $=\mathbf{N a}$-18-crown-6ether or PPN). A $25-\mathrm{mL}$ Schlenk tube was loaded with compounds $\left[(\mathrm{NO}){ }_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{TMEDA})\right](\mathbf{1})(0.278 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $18-$ crown-6-ether $(0.264 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the contents in the tube were dissolved in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction solution was stirred at ambient temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ atmosphere for 1 h and then the mixture solution was filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble solid. Hexane was further added to the filtrate to precipitate the dark-brown solid. The dark-brown solid was washed with diethyl ether $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove the excess complex $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{TMEDA})\right]$ (1). After drying the resulting dark-brown solid under vacuum, the dark-brown complex [Na-18-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2-Na) (yield 0.31 g, 73.5\%) was afforded. Recrystallization from THF solution of complex 2 layered with hexane at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a week led to dark-brown crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ : $1708 \mathrm{~s}, 1654 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (THF); $1714 \mathrm{~s}, 1651 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (300 MHz, d-THF, 298 K ) $\delta 3.62$ (s, 24H, 18-crown-6-ether), -2.13 (q, 4H, BH 4 , $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{BH}}=84 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( 61 MHz , d-THF) $\delta 10.21 \mathrm{ppm}$. Absorption spectrum (THF) $\left[\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right]: 327$ (890), 388 (785).

Complex $[\mathrm{PPN}]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2-PPN) was prepared in a similar manner through the reaction of complex 1 and $[\mathrm{PPN}]\left[\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]$. IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}: 1707 \mathrm{~s}, 1653 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (THF); $1714 \mathrm{~s}, 1651 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{THF}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.68$ (s, 6H, PPN), $7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PPN}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PPN}),-2.08\left(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}_{4},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{BH}}=84 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{THF}, 213 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.68$ (s, 6H, PPN), 7.59 (s, 12H, PPN), 7.51 (s, 12H, PPN), $3.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}_{t}\right),-7.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}_{b}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR $(192 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}) \delta 2.82\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{BH}}\right.$ $=84.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Deuterium-substituted complex [18-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BD}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2-D) was prepared in a similar manner through the reaction of complex 1,18 -crown- 6 -ether, and $\mathrm{NaBD}_{4}$.

## Preparation of [18-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3). Method 1.

 Complex 2-Na ( $0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolve in THF ( 5 mL ) before $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(18 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.3$ mmol ) was added in a dropwise manner to this THF solution. The reaction solution was then stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ at ambient temperature for 2 h and monitored with FTIR. Shift of IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ stretching frequencies from 1708 s and $1654 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to 1717 s and 1648 s $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ was observed. Subsequent addition of diethyl ether to this THF solution led to the precipitation of [Na-18-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3) (yield: $0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 43.5 \%$ )Method 2. A $25-\mathrm{mL}$ Schlenk tube was loaded with 18 -crown-6-ether $(0.264 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ ) and $\mathrm{NaS}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the contents in the tube were dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ). The mixture solution was stirred for 1 h and then transferred by cannula under positive $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ pressure to another $25-\mathrm{mL}$ Schlenk tube loaded with
complex $1(0.232 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction solution was stirred for 4 h and then monitored by FTIR spectroscopy, which indicated the formation of [Na-18-crown-6ether $]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$. The mixture was filtered through Celite to remove the insoluble solid before hexane was added to precipitate the dark-brown solid of [Na-18-crown-6-ether $]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3) (yield: $0.351 \mathrm{~g}, 73.2 \%$ ). Recrystallization from THF solution of complex 3 layered with hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a week led to dark-brown crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}: 1717 \mathrm{~s}, 1648 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (THF); 1717 s , $1639 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{THF}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ) $\delta 7.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right), \delta 3.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $24 \mathrm{H}, 18$-crown-6-ether). ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NMR ( 61 MHz , d-THF) $\delta 74.71 \mathrm{ppm}$. Absorption spectrum (THF) $\left[\lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right]: 334$ (4650), 366 (3770), 418 (2150).

Reaction of Complex 2 and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. To a $5-\mathrm{mL}$ THF solution of complex 2-PPN $(0.067 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was purged for 5 min before this reaction solution was monitored by with FTIR. Disappearance of the IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ stretching frequencies at 1708 and $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the decomposition of complex $\mathbf{2}$. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, whereas the crude solid was extracted with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The singlet ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal observed at 8.29 ppm as well as the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal observed at 164.47 ppm indicated the formation of $\left[\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{HCOO})_{3}\right]^{-}$(yield $66.8 \%$ using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as an internal standard).

## Conversion of Complex 2 into Complex 1 via Reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the

 presence of TMEDA. Dry $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was purged through a $5-\mathrm{mL}$ THF solution of complex $2(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TMEDA $(1.7 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 5 min . The reaction solution was stirred for an additional 1 h and a significant change in color of the reaction solution from red to deep green was observed. The reaction was then monitored with FTIR. Shift of IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ stretching frequencies from 1708 s and $1654 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to 1697 s and 1644 s $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ was assigned to the conversion of complex $\mathbf{2}$ into complex $\mathbf{1}$.Crystallography. Dark-brown crystals of complexes [Na-18-crown-6ether $]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 - N a})$ and $[\mathrm{Na}-18$-crown-6-ether $]\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3) suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were mounted, respectively, on a glass fiber and quickly coated in epoxy resin. Unit cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement. Diffraction measurement for complexes 2-Na and $\mathbf{3}$ were carried out on a SMART Apex CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) and between $2.52^{\circ}$ and $27.47^{\circ}$ for complex 2-Na, and between $2.37^{\circ}$ and $24.95^{\circ}$ for complexes 3. Least-squares refinement of the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of all non-hydrogen atoms and fixed hydrogen atoms was based on $F^{2}$. A SADABS absorption correction was made. ${ }^{5}$ The SHELXTL structure refinement program was employed. ${ }^{6}$ Crystallographic data of DNICs 2-Na (CCDC 1881645) and 3 (CCDC 1881646) were deposited in Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Computational methods. Geometry optimization of complexes 1, 2, and 3, directly adopted from single-crystal structures, was achieved using Gaussian 09 program with PB86/TZVP and B3LYP/TZVP levels. ${ }^{7}$ The geometry-optimized structures with BP86 method are consistent with the single-crystal structures (Table S2), whereas no imaginary frequencies were observed. Extended transition state nature orbital for the chemical valence (ETS-NOCV) analysis ${ }^{8-13}$ were performed with BP86/TZVP method using Amsterdam Density Function (ADF) software package ${ }^{14}$. The deformation density plots of all results were plotted with Chimera program. ${ }^{15}$


Figure S1. IR spectra of DNIC 1 in THF (black) and its reaction with five equiv. of (A) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3}$, (B) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet$ THF, (C) 9-BBN, and (D) HBPin, respectively, for 12 h (red).
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Figure S2. (A) IR spectra of DNICs [Na-18-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2) (red), [ $\mathrm{Na}-18$-crown-6-ether][(NO) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BD}_{4}\right)\right]$ (2-D) (blue), and [Na-18-crown-6ether $]\left[\left({ }^{15} \mathrm{NO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)\right]$ (black) in THF. The comparison of the IR spectra of DNICs 2 (red), 2-D (blue) in the range between $1100-1550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is shown in the inset. (B) Vibrational modes of the $\left[\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]^{-}$ligand in DNIC 2 identified by isotope-labeling experiment and theoretical calculation.


Figure S3. UV-vis spectrum DNIC 2 in THF.


Figure S4. Cyclic voltammogram of 2-mM solution of DNIC $\mathbf{2}$ in THF with 0.1 M of $\left[\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ electrolyte indicate the oxidation of DNIC 2 at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{pa}}=-0.48 \mathrm{~V}$ versus $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of DNICs 2-PPN (red), 2 (blue), and compound [Na-18-crown-6-ether][ $\left.\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]$ (black) in d-THF at 298 K .


Figure S6. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of DNIC 2 (blue) and compound [Na-18-crown-6ether $]\left[\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]$ (black) in d-THF at 298 K .


Figure S7. IR spectra of DNIC 2 in THF (black), its reaction with five equiv. of TMEDA for 12 h (red), its reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ (blue), and its reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ in the presence of one equiv. of TMEDA (magenta).


Figure S8. (A) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and (B) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR NMR spectra for the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of complex 2-PPN purged with $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ for 10 min at 298 K .


Figure S9. (A) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR, and (B) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra for the $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of complex 2-PPN purged with $\mathrm{CO}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}$ for 10 min at 298 K .


Figure S10. UV-vis spectrum DNIC 3 in THF.


Figure S11. (A) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and (B) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of DNIC 3 in d-THF at 298 K.

Table S1. Experimental and Calculated Vibrational Frequencies for Different Vibrational Modes of the $\left[\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right]^{-}$ligand in DNIC 2.

| Vibrational Modes | Vibrational Frequency ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Experimental (in THF) |  | Calculated ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ asym. B- $\mathrm{H}_{t}$ stretching ( $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ) | 2410 | 1818 | 2475 | 1845 |
| ${ }^{\text {s sym. B- }} \mathrm{H}_{t}$ stretching ( $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ ) | 2382 | 1754 | 2443 | 1799 |
| ${ }^{\text {asym. }}$. B- $\mathrm{H}_{b}$ stretching ( $\mathrm{v}_{3}$ ) | 1865 | 1382 | 1979 | 1424 |
| ${ }^{\text {a asym. }}$ B- $\mathrm{H}_{b}$ stretching ( $\mathrm{v}_{4}$ ) | 1847 | 1358 | 1937 | 1431 |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}_{b}$ bending ( $\mathrm{v}_{5}$ ) | 1391 | - | 1450 | 1048 |
| B- $\mathrm{H}_{t}$ bending ( $\mathrm{V}_{6}$ ) | 1141 | - | 1179 | 873 |

${ }^{a}$ asym. $=$ asymmetric; sym. $=$ symmetric. ${ }^{b}$ with BP86/TZVP level.

Table S2. Selected Bond Distances, Bond Angle, and IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ Stretching Frequencies for DNICs 1, 2, and $\mathbf{3}$ Obtained from Single-crystal Structure and Geometry Optimization.

| Single-Crystal Structures | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}$ <br> (Å) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> (Å) | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B}$ <br> (Å) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | Fe-S <br> ( $\AA$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.638 | 1.197 | 166.8 | 2.110 | - | - | - | 1697 |
|  | 1.639 | 1.188 | 169.8 | 2.117 |  |  |  | 1644 |
| 2 | 1.627 | 1.149 | 170.8 | - | 2.194 | - | - | 1708 |
|  | 1.661 | 1.180 | 174.8 |  |  |  |  | 1654 |
| 3 | 1.677 | 1.189 | 155.1 | - | - | 2.070 | 2.297 | 1717 |
|  | 1.641 | 1.190 | 177.2 |  |  |  | 2.294 | 1648 |
| BP86/TZVP | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | Fe-S <br> ( $\AA$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ( $\AA$ ) | ( $\AA$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1.644 | 1.198 | 169.3 | 2.146 | - | - | - | 1727 |
|  | 1.644 | 1.198 | 169.3 | 2.145 |  |  |  | 1678 |
| 2 | 1.641 | 1.204 | 168.4 | - | 2.159 | - |  | 1707 |
|  | 1.641 | 1.204 | 168.4 |  |  |  |  | 1655 |
| 3 | 1.673 | 1.204 | 149.7 | - | - | 2.070 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.332 \\ & 2.332 \end{aligned}$ | 1732 |
|  | 1.642 | 1.194 | 176.6 |  |  |  |  | 1634 |
| B3LYP/TZVP | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B}$ <br> (Å) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | Fe-S <br> (Å) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 1.631 | 1.185 | 170.0 | 2.160 | - | - | - | 1794 |
|  | 1.631 | 1.185 | 170.0 | 2.159 |  |  |  | 1725 |
| 2 | 1.625 | 1.190 | 168.1 | - | 2.183 | - | - | 1773 |
|  | 1.625 | 1.190 | 168.1 |  |  |  |  | 1700 |
| 3 | 1.647 | 1.188 | 154.0 | - | - | 2.094 | 2.364 | 1794 |
|  | 1.624 | 1.180 | 179.8 |  |  |  | 2.364 | 1701 |

Table S3. Selected Bond Distances, Bond Angle, and IR $v_{\mathrm{NO}}$ Stretching Frequencies for Neutral $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}{ }^{10}$ DNICs Containing CO/Carbene Ligands and (Di)Anionic $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs.

| Complex | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> (Å) | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{B}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.639 | 1.193 | 168.3 | 2.114 | - | - | - | 1697 | This |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1644 | work |
| 2 | 1.644 | 1.165 | 172.8 | - | 2.194 | - | - | 1708 | This |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1654 | work |
| 3 | 1.677 | 1.189 | 155.1 | - | - | 2.070 | 2.296 | 1717 | This |
|  | 1.641 | 1.190 | 177.2 |  |  |  |  | 1648 | work |
| Neutral $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs Containing CO/Carbene Ligands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Complex | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}^{c}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}^{d}$ <br> (A) | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{CO}$ <br> (Å) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ <br> (A) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}^{e}$ <br> (A) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)(\mathrm{NO})_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.682 | 1.173 | 176.2 | 1.763 | 2.262 | - |  | 1760 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1702 |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{NO})_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.707 | 1.166 | 177.0 | 1.778 | 2.270 | - |  | 1767 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1716 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{IMes})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.676 | 1.174 | 175.2 | 1.777 | - | 1.989 |  | 1744 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1702 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Me})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.727 | 1.176 | 173.2 | 1.729 | - | 2.025 |  | 1740 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1697 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{iPr})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.676 | 1.181 | 175.8 | 1.784 | - | 2.005 |  | 1738 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1696 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{MeMes}-\mathrm{NHC})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.661 | 1.179 | 174.8 | 1.834 | - | 1.990 |  | 1743 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1690 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{sIMes})(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.683 | 1.169 | 172.6 | 1.771 | - | 1.998 |  | 1747 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1705 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{MeMes}-\mathrm{NHC})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.651 | 1.204 | 172.2 | - | - | 1.989 |  | 1675 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1634 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.660 | 1.202 | 174.0 | - | - | 1.973 |  | 1667 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1624 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{iPr})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{a}$ | 1.643 | 1.204 | 173.8 | - | - | 2.015 |  | 1664 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1619 |  |
| (Di)Anionic $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}^{c}$ <br> (Å) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}^{d}$ <br> (£) | $\angle \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{P}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}^{f}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR } v_{\mathrm{NO}} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right]^{-}$ | 1.657 | 1.206 | 171.9 | 2.025 | - | 2.248 | - | 1693 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1642 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{NH}\right)\right]^{-b}$ | 1.622 | 1.183 | 168.8 | 2.048 | - | - | - | 1655 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1603 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{-b}$ | 1.620 | 1.231 | 169.7 | 2.045 | 2.021 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 1674 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1619 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{S}\right)\right]^{-b}$ | 1.652 | 1.209 | 170.2 | 2.067 | - | - | 2.316 | 1660 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1612 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-o-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-b}$ | 1.647 | 1.200 | 168.6 | 2.085 | - | - | 2.327 | 1657 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1607 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]^{2-b}$ | 1.642 | 1.205 | 167.8 | - | - | - | 2.324 | 1614 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1571 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right]^{2-b}$ | 1.650 | 1.217 | 168.7 | - | - | - | 2.331 | 1600 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1552 |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NO})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)\right]^{2-b}$ | 1.650 | 1.218 | = 167.4 | - | )acety | (dpp | 2.329 | 1600 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1559 |  |
| ${ }^{a} \mathrm{~L}_{1}=$ bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (dppm); $\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ bis(diphenylphosphino)acetylene (dppa); IMes $=1,3-\mathrm{bis}(2,4,6-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

rimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene; $\mathrm{NHC}-\mathrm{Me}=1,3$-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene; NHC - $\mathrm{iPr}=1,3$-diisopropylimidazol-2-ylidene; MeMes -$\mathrm{NHC}=1$-methel-3-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene; sIMes $=1,3$-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene. ${ }^{b}$ The counteraction is [K-18-crown-6-ether]. ${ }^{c}$ The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{NO}$ bond distance of neutral $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs lies in the range of 1.638-1.727 $\AA$, whereas that of (di)anionic $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10} \mathrm{DNICs}$ lies in the range of $1.620-1.657 \AA .{ }^{24} d \mathrm{The}$ average $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance of $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs without $\pi$-acceptor CO ligands lies in the range of 1.183-1.231 $\AA$. ${ }^{e}$ The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ (carbine) bond distance of neutral $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10}$ DNICs lies in the range of 1.973-2.025 $\AA$. ${ }^{.}$The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distance of (di) anionic $\left\{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NO})_{2}\right\}^{10} \mathrm{DNICs}$ lies in the range of 2.3162.331 Å.

Table S4. Selected Bond Distances, Bond Angle, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Signals for [Fe-$\left.\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ Complexes. ${ }^{a}$

| Complex | M-S <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}^{c}$ <br> ( $\AA$ ) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ <br> (A) | $\angle \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2.294 | 2.070 | 1.716 | 123.6 | 7.93 | 137.3 | This work |
|  | 2.297 |  | 1.699 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{b}$ | 2.355 | 2.764 | 1.666 | 114.74 | 11.67 | - | 25 |
|  | 2.314 |  | 1.657 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{b}$ | 2.356 | 2.689 | 1.675 | 118.75 | 11.52 | 205.6 | 25 |
|  | 2.310 |  | 1.624 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[(\text { depe })_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)\right]^{+b}$ | 2.326 | 2.830 | 1.691 | 110.0 | - | - | 26 |
|  | 2.312 |  | 1.662 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[(\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)\right]^{+b}$ | 2.303 | 2.760 | 1.661 | 113.4 | - | - | 27 |
|  | 2.318 |  | 1.657 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)\right]^{-}$ | 2.359 | 2.015 | 1.717 | 107.1 | 6.07 | 99.8 | 28 |
|  | 2.318 |  | 1.718 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)\right]$ | 2.402 | 2.223 | 1.720 | 121.8 | 5.90 | 67.8 | 29 |
|  | 2.391 |  | 1.719 |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{W}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)\right]$ | 2.394 | 2.200 | 1.726 | 121.4 | 5.30 | 61.4 | 29 |
|  | 2.384 |  | 1.726 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ All the crystallographically-characterized $\left[\mathrm{Fe}-\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ complexes available on CCDC are included. $\quad{ }^{b} \mathrm{~L}_{1}=2,6-\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{NH})-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-4-\mathrm{Cl}, \quad \mathrm{L}_{2} \quad=\quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{NH})-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-4-\mathrm{OMe}$, depe $\quad=$ bis(diethylphosphino)ethane, dppm = bis(diphenylphosphino)methane. ${ }^{c}$ The average $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}-\left(\eta^{2}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ complex lies in the range of 2.689-2.830 $\AA$, whereas that of $\left[\mathrm{M}-\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{HCS}_{2}\right)\right]$ complex lies in the range of 2.0152.223 A.

Table S5. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 1 with B3LYP/TZVP level.

| Fe | 0.70958300 | 0.03066400 | -0.00096600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 2.36893400 | 0.14952400 | 2.25839400 |
| O | 2.37703900 | 0.01160500 | -2.25725700 |
| N | 1.57600300 | 0.10098000 | 1.37952300 |
| N | 1.58053900 | 0.01810700 | -1.38032200 |
| N | -0.96017400 | 1.40033600 | -0.03022500 |
| N | -0.84546600 | -1.46669000 | 0.02985300 |
| C | -2.15917400 | 0.58793800 | -0.34319300 |
| C | -2.09748500 | -0.75286000 | 0.37049700 |
| C | -1.10208700 | 2.06260600 | 1.28493400 |
| C | -0.75910000 | 2.43888700 | -1.06235400 |
| C | -0.54878000 | -2.49783400 | 1.04621200 |
| C | -0.95422000 | -2.12341200 | -1.29084900 |
| H | -3.08160000 | 1.11939600 | -0.07154600 |
| H | -2.18288200 | 0.43541000 | -1.42239300 |
| H | -2.98103700 | -1.35598100 | 0.11949400 |
| H | -2.10782500 | -0.60100500 | 1.44994900 |
| H | -1.94821100 | 2.76407300 | 1.28003200 |
| H | -0.18599400 | 2.60350000 | 1.51049800 |
| H | -1.25409800 | 1.32498800 | 2.06928300 |
| H | -1.61462100 | 3.12730500 | -1.10523200 |
| H | -0.62113400 | 1.96723200 | -2.03280800 |
| H | 0.14120700 | 3.00265200 | -0.82775900 |
| H | -1.34562400 | -3.25346700 | 1.08989200 |
| H | -0.43786300 | -2.02887300 | 2.02134900 |
| H | 0.39114300 | -2.98420400 | 0.79455700 |
| H | -1.74044200 | -2.89133900 | -1.28234100 |
| H | -0.00056900 | -2.58465100 | -1.53642300 |
| H | -1.17862700 | -1.39243000 | -2.06383500 |

Table S6. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 1 with BP86/TZVP level.

| Fe | 0.69836300 | -0.04137400 | 0.00121700 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O | 2.40127300 | -0.05888000 | 2.26175000 |
| O | 2.39567400 | -0.15302900 | -2.26087600 |
| N | 1.58138700 | -0.05260800 | 1.38796400 |
| N | 1.57773000 | -0.10615400 | -1.38648500 |
| N | -0.82528600 | 1.46762100 | -0.02187500 |
| N | -0.97855300 | -1.37960600 | 0.02178400 |
| C | -2.09920200 | 0.78343700 | -0.37685900 |
| C | -2.18393800 | -0.56103100 | 0.33381500 |
| C | -0.93049100 | 2.11236300 | 1.31168600 |
| C | -0.50963200 | 2.51296400 | -1.02634000 |
| C | -0.79534700 | -2.43262000 | 1.05113500 |
| C | -1.12320700 | -2.03598500 | -1.30303600 |
| H | -2.97935100 | 1.40950900 | -0.12692200 |
| H | -2.10198300 | 0.63592900 | -1.46693600 |
| H | -3.11634100 | -1.09039100 | 0.05282300 |
| H | -2.20958100 | -0.41232300 | 1.42351900 |
| H | -1.70814700 | 2.90261200 | 1.30767500 |
| H | 0.04097300 | 2.55080300 | 1.56816000 |
| H | -1.17494700 | 1.36731400 | 2.07806300 |
| H | -1.30240700 | 3.28730000 | -1.06149600 |
| H | -0.40075100 | 2.04828200 | -2.01373500 |
| H | 0.44569700 | 2.98151700 | -0.76116600 |
| H | -1.66705300 | -3.11679000 | 1.08428600 |
| H | -0.65413500 | -1.96175700 | 2.03164400 |
| H | 0.10851500 | -3.00624900 | 0.81310100 |
| H | -1.98287900 | -2.73624300 | -1.30394300 |
| H | -0.20094700 | -2.58451700 | -1.52802600 |
| H | -1.26571800 | -1.28354800 | -2.08782500 |

Table S7. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 2 with B3LYP/TZVP level.

| Fe | -0.00002000 | 0.31134600 | 0.00003900 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| N | 1.36887300 | -0.56476400 | 0.00002400 |
| N | -1.36876100 | -0.56498500 | -0.00002600 |
| O | 2.21743000 | -1.39931700 | -0.00004100 |
| O | -2.21723700 | -1.39962200 | -0.00003000 |
| B | -0.00026800 | 2.49458400 | -0.00000100 |
| H | -0.00018200 | 1.71432100 | 1.02431700 |
| H | 0.00062000 | 1.71398100 | -1.02424700 |
| H | 1.01433900 | 3.15210200 | 0.00001300 |
| H | -1.01525300 | 3.15142500 | -0.00051700 |

Table S8. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 2 with BP86/TZVP level.

| Fe | -0.00000100 | 0.31487300 | 0.00000200 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 1.38693600 | -0.56135900 | 0.00009300 |
| N | -1.38692600 | -0.56137600 | -0.00014500 |
| O | -2.25372300 | -1.39649400 | -0.00020100 |
| O | 2.25373300 | -1.39647700 | 0.00012200 |
| B | -0.00001400 | 2.47379100 | 0.00010500 |
| H | 0.00008000 | 1.68732600 | -1.04227600 |
| H | -0.00010700 | 1.68721900 | 1.04241000 |
| H | -1.02315200 | 3.13635100 | 0.00004700 |
| H | 1.02311900 | 3.13635600 | 0.00023800 |

Table S9. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 3 with B3LYP/TZVP level.

| Fe | 0.32418800 | -0.02655800 | -0.00009000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 1.19872700 | -1.42251700 | 0.00032600 |
| N | 1.27365200 | 1.29152100 | -0.00043100 |
| O | 2.20618600 | -2.05139600 | 0.00039600 |
| O | 1.96095100 | 2.25102000 | -0.00053400 |
| C | -1.68734400 | -0.60994400 | 0.00034400 |
| S | -1.46976900 | 0.16638700 | -1.52739000 |
| S | -1.46935200 | 0.16742800 | 1.52747600 |
| H | -1.92262700 | -1.67089300 | 0.00073900 |

Table S10. Coordinates of Geometry-Optimized DNIC 3 with BP86/TZVP level.

| Fe | 0.29863200 | -0.03759200 | -0.00005000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | 1.19662000 | -1.44881700 | 0.00013700 |
| N | 1.25426100 | 1.29766300 | -0.00041500 |
| O | 2.26760400 | -1.99917900 | 0.00041800 |
| O | 1.88973400 | 2.30870500 | -0.00050800 |
| C | -1.68540100 | -0.62664700 | 0.00033100 |
| S | -1.44163700 | 0.15605100 | -1.53992200 |
| S | -1.44121900 | 0.15717100 | 1.53999500 |
| H | -1.94121300 | -1.69241100 | 0.00080700 |
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