1

# **Supplementary Information**

# Assisted natural recovery of hypersaline sediments: salinity thresholds for the establishment of a community of bioturbating organisms

4 Timothy M. Remaili<sup>\*a,b,d</sup>, Stuart L. Simpson<sup>b</sup>, William W. Bennett<sup>a</sup>, Joshua J. King<sup>b</sup>, Luke M. Mosley<sup>c</sup>, David T.

5 Welsh<sup>a</sup>, Dianne F. Jolley<sup>d</sup>

6

7 Methods A: general chemical methods

8 Sediment characterisation

9 Quantitative x-ray powder diffractometry (XRD, Philips PW 1771/00 diffractometer) was used to 10 characterise the initial 400 psu sediments using Cu K<sub>B</sub> radiation, x-ray-tube at 1 kW and a Spellman 11 DF3 generator (40 kV and 30 mA). Sediment was dried and crushed before being analysed with a 12 diffraction angle of two theta ranging from 4 to 70° at a step size of 0.02°. Estimated quantities of 13 crystalline material were quantified directly from the x-ray powder diffraction traces using the 14 PANalytical X'Pert Highscore Plus Version 2 software.

15

Elemental analysis of the sediments (metals and major ions) was made on dried and crushed samples 16 following a low-pressure microwave assisted (MARS 5, CEM) aqua-regia digestion of the samples 17 (Belzunce-Segarra et al., 2015). As the sediments were not significantly contaminated (i.e. metal 18 19 concentrations were well below the sediment quality guideline values (Simpson and Batley, 2016)), these particulate analyses targeted the major 'saltwater ions' Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. For QC, 20 10% of the samples were blanks and all were measured in duplicate, with the mean result reported, 21 with certified reference materials (CRMs) for metals (ERM®-CC018, European Reference Material), 22 with recoveries falling between 90 - 105%. For the target seawater ions, sediment digests were 23 validated against in-house calcium recovery values of the sediment CRM, with 100 – 105% recoveries. 24 25 To validate the performance of the instrumental analyses, spike recoveries were performed on selected digest diluents, with recoveries within 90 - 100% for all ions of interest. Blanks were less 26 than practical quantitation limits (PQLs) and duplicates were typically within 20% for target elements. 27 Acid-volatile sulfide (AVS) in sediments was determined colorimetrically as per Simpson (2001). Total 28 organic carbon (TOC) analysis was conducted using a high temperature CO<sub>2</sub> evolution method, in 29 which dried and crushed samples were acid-treated to remove inorganic carbonates followed by 30 combustion (LECO furnace) in the presence of strong oxidants/catalysts and infrared detection of CO<sub>2</sub>. 31 32

- 33
- 34

35

36 Pore water-brines and metal analyses

Brine densities and total dissolved solid (TDS) concentrations were determined gravimetrically using
pre-weighed 5 mL polycarbonate vials, which were then heated to 90°C for 48 h (low temperature
used to minimise the loss of organic carbon fractions). Estimations of porewater turbidity were
undertaken at 750 nm using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (LKB Biochrom Ultraspec 2E, glass cuvette,
1 cm path length).
Water samples taken for analyses of seawater elements (Na, K, Mg, Ca, chloride and sulfate) and

metals were filtered (0.45 µm cellulose nitrate, 25 mm Minisart Sartorius) and acidified to 2% HNO<sub>3</sub> 43 (v/v, Tracepur, Merck) before storage (<4°C). Analyses were made by ICP-AES (Varian 730-ES), where 44 45 porewater brine solutions were diluted as necessary (up to 100-fold) to be within the concentration range of the standards (1 – 10,000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; QCS-27, High-Purity Standards in deionised water). To 46 47 validate the performance of the instrumental analyses, spike recoveries were performed on selected 48 diluents, with recoveries within 90 - 110% for all ions of interest. For QA/QC, 10% of the samples were blanks and all were measured in duplicate, with the mean result reported. Blanks were less than 49 practical quantitation limits (PQLs) and duplicates were typically within 20% for metals. 50

51

52 The quantification of bromide (Br<sup>-</sup>), chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) was done by ion chromatography 53 with direct conductivity detection (IC-CD) (Metrohm 838 IC-CD). QC for the IC method was undertaken 54 by using spike recovery where recoveries were between 90 – 110%.

55

56 Physicochemistry

57 Salinity measurements were made using a Mettler Toledo Seven2Go S3 conductivity meter fitted with 58 an InLAB® 73X series conductivity probe. If salinities exceeded the probe's calibration range, solutions 59 were diluted 5- to 10-fold with RO water and the salinities remeasured, and then multiplied by the 60 dilution factor. Dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH were measured using WTW (Wissenschaftlich-61 Technische Werstätten) instruments (Multi 3410 with FDO® 925 probe and pH320 with SenTix 41 pH 62 electrode) calibrated as per manufacturer's instructions. Dissolved ammonia was measured using a 63 rapid test kit (API Fish Care, LR8600).

## 65 Methods B: test media preparation

66 Sediments

For whole-sediment bioassays, treatments with porewater salinities ranging from 50 to 400 psu were prepared by washing the Dry Creek sediment with RO water. For each wash, approximately 1 kg of sediment was suspended in the RO water by vigorous shaking by hand for several minutes, followed by rolling for 3 h. Sediments were left to settle at 4°C for > 24 h and the overlying waters decanted off before the remaining slurry was centrifuged (9700 g). The salinities of the extracted porewater-brines were measured before storage in polyethylene bottles and then the sediments were reconstituted back into their respective containers, mixed well and then stored at 4°C.

74

## 75 Pore water-brines

76 As filtering most of the porewater-brines was near-impossible due to their high viscosities, they were extracted by centrifuging  $(6,000 - 9,000 \text{ g}, 2 \times 30 \text{ min bursts})$  the original and RO-washed Dry 77 78 Creek sediments, and the dark, viscous brines collected via decantation. The brine extracted from 79 the initial, un-manipulated sediment had a salinity of approximately 400 psu. To prepare for the 80 porewater brine bioassays (50, 100 and 200 psu), the brines were centrifuged for 1 h (9,000 g) to remove most of the suspended material, and then diluted with RO water to produce waters covering 81 82 the desired salinity range (40, 60, 65, 70, 80 and 300 psu). Diluted brines were mixed for 1 h 83 (magnetic stirrer) prior to bioassay commencement to ensure homogeneity. To compare the effect of salt composition to toxicity, an additional artificial brine solution of salinity of 50 psu was 84 prepared by dissolving artificial sea salts (Instant Ocean ® Sea Salt) in seawater until the salinity was 85

86 reached.

# 87 Test organism handling

The epibenthic amphipod Melita plumulosa (Zeidler, 1989) and harpacticoid copepod Nitocra spinipes 88 89 (Boeck, 1865) are endemic to the estuaries of south-eastern Australia, and were obtained from 90 previously established laboratory cultures, maintained as described by Spadaro and Simpson<sup>26,27</sup>. The burrowing amphipod Victoriopisa australiensis (Chilton, 1923; 2-3 cm body length) gastropod Pyrazus 91 92 ebeninus (mud whelk; Bruguière, 1792; 3-4 cm shell length) and polychaete Neanthes succinea (Frey & Leuckart, 1847; 3-4 cm body length) were collected via sieve (2 - 4 mm mesh). Individual burrowing 93 94 mangrove crabs Parasesarma erythrodactyla (Hess, 1865; 4-5 cm leg span) were collected by hand 95 and plastic spatula. The bivalve was sourced Plebidonax deltoides (Lemarck, 1818; 5-6 cm shell length) 96 was sourced from the Younghusband Peninsula, South Australia via sieve. All benthic organisms were 97 stored within maintained and aerated cultures of their native sediments in a temperature-controlled 98 laboratory (21 ± 1.0 °C) for two weeks prior to their use in tests. Organisms (except the crab) were fed

99 a mixture of sera Micron Powdered Food (containing approximately 50% Spirulina and 16% Krill) and 100 a mixture of in-house cultured algal species (temperate Ceratoneis closterium, Temperate Tetraselmis 101 sp. and Tropical Tisochrysis lutea). Crabs were fed a mixture of John West Tuna (Katsuwonus pelamis) 102 chunks and Aqua One 10mm Vege wafers. Crab cultures also contained a matrix of bark and mangrove 103 vegetation collected from their collection site for shelter and food, and underwent 6-h tide cycles 104 three times per week. Cysts of the shrimp Artemia salina (Linnaeus, 1758) were sourced from the 105 Great Salt Lake, Utah USA by Aquasonic Pty Ltd. Nauplii of A. salina were prepared by cyst reactivation 106 in fresh seawater ( $31 \pm 1.3$  psu,  $23 \pm 1$  °C) under fluorescent light with gentle aeration and used after 107 48-h of acclimatisation. Nauplii were fed with fed with sera Micron Powdered Food (containing approximately 50% Spirulina and 16% Krill) every 24-h. 108

109

# 110 Methods C: bioassays

111 The bioassay endpoints differed between species, and included behaviour, e.g. Avoidance and 112 movement behaviour (mollusc: gastropods and bivalve), endurance (time to death, using most test 113 organisms), and reproduction/development (crustacean: amphipod, and shrimp. Brief summaries of 114 the test are provided below. At the start of tests, porewaters were extracted from sediments and 115 salinity measurements were made on these pore waters and repeated for the porewater brines that 116 had been stored. In all tests, physicochemical measurements were made periodically (dissolved 117 oxygen (DO), temperature, salinity, pH, ammonia and seawater ions).

118

119 Avoidance tests – measuring the threshold for recolonisation

120 120-h Pore water-brine bioassays

121 Brine avoidance tests were undertaken using the gastropod P. ebeninus (mud whelk) and bivalve P. 122 deltoides by observing the time taken for either reorientation in brine or burial in brine-saturated 123 sand. For the gastropod bioassay, two individuals were placed corneous-operculum up into 250 mL 124 beakers containing 150 mL of test solution (salinities of 30 – 400 psu), and the time taken for them to 125 flip over was measured. Following 96-h exposure, the gastropods were transferred to seawater (31 126  $\pm$  1.3 psu) and the test repeated to compare post-exposure recovery. The bivalve burial bioassay was 127 conducted using a single bivalve, placed in a 250 mL beaker containing 200 g of clean sand saturated 128 with the test solution (seawater or brine of varied salinity), and the time taken to bury was measured. 129 Three replicates were conducted for each test for both species. 130

150

131

132

#### 133 120-h Sediment bioassays

Sediment avoidance tests were undertaken with the amphipod, M. plumulosa, and the gastropod, P. 134 135 ebeninus, following the approach described by Ward et al. (2013), with the following changes. The 136 chamber design for the avoidance tests are shown in Figure S5 of the SI. For both organisms, sediments 137 with three salinities were tested,  $30 \pm 2.0$  (control), 50 and 100 psu. Each treatment was prepared in 138 triplicate, with two sediments tested separated by a polycarbonate barrier (within the chamber). The 139 control sediment was placed on the left-hand side, and a more saline sediment placed on the right-140 hand side. In the control treatment, the control sediment was placed on each side of the barrier. The 141 overlying water was initially  $31 \pm 1$  psu and increased in the tests that contained a portion of 142 hypersaline sediment, because the overlying water salinity was the same on both sides of the exposure 143 chamber. For the amphipod test, 30 adult organisms (8-12 mm body length) were placed on the right-144 hand side (treatment) of a barrier and no organisms on the left (control), the organisms were left to 145 adjust for 5 min, the barrier was removed for 120-h (avoidance test termination), and the numbers of 146 amphipods on each side of the barrier were counted. For the gastropod tests, four organisms (2-3 cm 147 shell length) were placed on the midline between the two sediments (no initial barrier) and after 120-h 148 a barrier was added. The number of gastropods on each side of the barrier were then counted, and 149 the number buried on each side also quantified. For the gastropod, the test was then repeated to 150 reduce the variability resulting from these being slow-moving organisms.

151 Endurance tests with amphipod, copepod, polychaete worm, and crabs in porewater-brines

Organism endurance, assessed by considering the length of time an organism can survive unfavourable conditions, was undertaken in porewater brine solutions with salinities ranging from 40 - 400 psu. Five organisms were assessed: copepod (*N. spinipes*), amphipod (*M. plumulosa*), amphipod (*V. australiensis*), polychaete (*N. succinea*) and crab (*P. erythodactyla*). These were added to test chambers (3 to 4 replicates). The time taken to death was recorded to evaluate a threshold limit. The test conditions are shown in Tables S4 and S8. If no effect was observed (after 68-h for copepod and amphipod, and after 120-h for crab and polychaete tests), treatments were terminated.

# 159 Amphipod reproduction and survival tests

The survival and reproduction of the amphipod *M. plumulosa* was assessed in 10-d renewed wholesediment bioassays as per Spadaro and Simpson (2016a) using sediments with porewater salinities of  $30 \pm 2$  (control), 50, 60, 70, 80, 100, 200, 300 and 400 psu. In brief, 40 g of test sediment was added to 250 mL beakers covered with 150 mL of fresh seawater and left to equilibrate for 1-day, the waters were exchanged, and organisms added, and the number of juveniles and embryos counted after 10 days. Sediments were renewed on day 5, and waters were exchanged on days 3, 5 and 7 with periodical physicochemical measurements taken. 167 Shrimp toxicity tests

168 The survival of shrimp nauplii and development of brine shrimp eggs were assessed in separate tests. 169 A 24-h survival bioassay was undertaken using 3-d old nauplii of A. salina. From a batch of nauplii, 10 170 organisms were transferred via pipette into microwell plates (Sigma<sup>®</sup> cell culture plates polystyrene, 171 well area = 9.5 cm<sup>2</sup>, 6 wells per plate) containing 5 mL of test solution. Four replicates were tested for 172 each salinity treatment (30, 40, 50, 100, 200, 400 psu). The plates were covered with Parafilm and 173 incubated at 23 ± 1°C (Labec Refrigerated Cycling Incubator, 12:12-h light:dark cycle, light 174 intensity =  $3.5 \mu$ mol photons/s/m<sup>2</sup>) for 24 h. After 24-h, survival of the nauplii was determined by first 175 chilling organisms in the microwell plates at 4°C for at least 1 h to suppress the nauplii movement, and 176 then counting the surviving nauplii under light microscopy (Leica dissecting microscope).

177

178 A 48-h gastrulation (cyst development) bioassay was undertaken using desiccated shrimp eggs. 179 Twenty eggs were transferred via spatula to Sigma<sup>®</sup> cell culture plates (polystyrene, well area = 9.5 180  $cm^2$ , 6 wells per plate) containing 5 mL of test solution. The plates were gently swirled to ensure eggs 181 were submerged into their respective solutions before they were capped and incubated for 48 h at 182 23  $\pm$  1°C (Labec Refrigerated Cycling Incubator, 12:12-h light:dark cycle, light intensity = 3.5  $\mu$ mol 183 photons/s/m<sup>2</sup>) with agitation at 24 h. At the completion of test, the plates were chilled at 4°C for at 184 least 1 h to suppress the nauplii movement, and then the nauplii and remaining dormant eggs were 185 counted by light microscopy (Leica dissecting microscope). Four replicates were tested for each 186 salinity treatment. For quality control (QC) purposes for both amphipod and shrimp bioassays, tests 187 were only deemed valid if survival and reproduction/development in all control replicates for each 188 test was >80%. For the shrimp bioassay, >80% development of dormant cysts to nauplii within the 189 control seawater (30 psu) occurred within between 24 – 36 h.

190

191

192



Figure S1. Satellite images depicting locality of dry creek in South Australia.



Figure S2. Satellite (left) and aerial (right) imagery of the Dry Creek solar salt fields



Figure S3. Photographs of the Dry Creek solar salt fields in proximity of where sediments were collected from.

# Selected test species relevance

Previous species diversity surveys of a tidal saltmarsh in the region identified twelve macroinvertebrate taxa, which included polychaetes, gastropods, bivalves, amphipods and dipteran (flies). This range was similar to the range of species selected for our study, making ideal for field-comparison. In contrast the species richness within salt ponds at Dry Creek was low (see image and table below, unpublished data), which correlates to the tolerances observed within this work.

Group	Таха	Tidal saltmarsh	Salty Creek	Saltpond A	Saltpond B
Nematoda	Nematoda	$\checkmark$			
Mollusca	Salinator fragilis	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Mollusca	Marinula xanthosoma			$\checkmark$	•
Crustacea	Eusiridae	$\checkmark$			
Crustacea	Talitridae		$\checkmark$		
Crustacea	Halonicus sp.				$\checkmark$
Crustacea	Paratemia zietiana	$\checkmark$			•
Diptera	Ephydra sp.			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Diptera	Culicoides sp.	$\checkmark$			
Diptera	Tanytarsus barbitarsus	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Diptera	Dolichopodidae				$\checkmark$
Polychaeta	Capitella spp.	$\checkmark$			
Polychaeta	Simplesetia sp.	$\checkmark$	•	•	•
Polychaeta	Nephtys sp.	$\checkmark$			

Table S1. Species richness from tidal saltmarsh and two evaporative salt ponds (A and B).

\* Source: Environment Protection Authority (South Australia)



**Figure S4.** Taxa identified from the healthy tidal saltmarsh

Test	Organism	Species	Size	Endpoint	Test Chamber	Duration	Test quantities
					Behavioural bioassays		
	Amphipod	M. plumulosa	Adult, 1 – 2 cm	Avoidance	Sistema® 3 L polyethylene container (150 × 100 × 250 mm). Plastic divider (100 mm high) was installed in the centre of the exposure chamber.		2 cm sediment covered by 5 cm fresh seawater
Sediment	Gastropod	P. ebeninus	3 – 4 cm	Avoidance	Home Leisure <sup>®</sup> StoreMAX 4 L polypropylene container (185 × 116 × 325 mm). Plastic divider (116 mm high) was installed in the centre of the exposure chamber.	120 h	4 cm sediment covered by 10 cm fresh seawater
Porewater-	Gastropod	P. ebeninus	3 – 4 cm	Time to flip	250 mL borosilicate beaker		150 mL of test solution per replicate
brines	Bivalve	P. deltoides	5 – 6 cm	Time to bury	400 mL borosilicate beaker	120 h	150 mL of test solution and 8 cm of clean sand per replicate
					Endurance bioassays		
	Amphipod	V. australiensis	2 – 3 cm	_	250 mL borosilicate beaker		150 mL of test solution per replicate
	Ampinpou	M. plumulosa	1 – 2 cm		250 mL borosilicate beaker	68 h	150 mL of test solution per replicate
Porewater- brines	Copepod	N. spinipes	~1 mm	<ul> <li>Endurance</li> <li>(Time to death)</li> </ul>	Falcon <sup>™</sup> polystyrene microplates (2 m <sup>2</sup> , 24-well)	_	2 mL of test solution per replicate
Sincs	Crab	P. erythodactyla	4 – 5 cm		1 L borosilicate beaker	120 h	200 mL of test solution per replicate
	Polychaete	N. succinea	3 – 4 cm	-	Techno Plas polystyrene petri dish (90 × 140 mm)	– 120 h	25 mL of test solution per replicate
					Sub-lethal bioassays		
Sediment	Amphipod	M. plumulosa	Adult, 1 – 2 cm	Survival and reproduction	250 mL borosilicate beaker	10 d	Approximately 40 g of test sediment and 240 mL of fresh seawater.
Porewater- brines	Shrimp	A. salina	Cysts Nauplii	Developmental Survival	Sigma <sup>®</sup> cell polystyrene cell culture plates (9.5 cm <sup>2</sup> , 6 wells/plate)	48 h	5 mL of test solution per replicate

**Table S2.** Summary table of the specifications used for each bioassay undertaken.

<b>D</b> '		N-NH₃	Dissolved O <sub>2</sub>	Temperature							
Bioassay	рН	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(°C)							
Sediment-based tests											
Avoidance											
Amphipod (MP)	7.6 - 8.3	0.0 - 2.0	98 - 99	21.1 - 22.5							
Gastropod	7.8 - 8.1	0.5 - 1.5	98 - 99	21.1 - 22.5							
	S	ub-lethal tes	sts								
Amphipod (MP)	7.6 - 8.3	1.0 - 4.0	98 - 99	21.2 - 22.0							
	Pore wa	ater-brine ba	sed tests								
Behavioural											
Bivalve	7.0 - 8.2	1.5 - 4.0	97 - 99	21.1 - 21.5							
Gastropod	7.6 - 8.1	1.5 - 2.5	97 - 100	20.9 - 21.3							
Endurance											
Amphipod (MP)	7.2 - 7.9	0.5 - 1.5	98 - 100	21.1 - 21.5							
Amphipod (VA)	7.3 - 8.3	0.5 - 2.0	97 - 99	20.5 - 21.9							
Copepod	7.2 - 8.2	1.0 - 2.0	97 - 99	21.0 - 21.9							
Crab	7.5 - 8.3	0.5 - 4.0	95 - 99	21.1 - 21.5							
Polychaete	7.5 - 8.2	1.5 - 4.0	96- 99	21.5 - 21.8							
Sub-lethal tests											
Shrimp Survival	7.3 - 8.3	0.0	97 - 100	21.5 - 22.6							
Shrimp Development	7.5 - 8.3	0.0 - 0.5	97 - 99	21.2 - 21.9							

 Table S3.
 Summary of physicochemical parameters of test waters

\*Data presented as ranges between treatments throughout the test periods.

# Gastropod test chamber



Figure S5 Avoidance test chamber designs and experiment process





Reference peaks obtained from Mindat.Org (2017).

# Table S4. Physicochemistry

Table 54. Physicoci			Sediment							
	<63 µm	тос	Moisture Content		AVS					
Salinity (psu)	<05 μm		woisture content							
, , ,		%			µmol/g					
29 (Ref)	40 ± 3.0	1.64	$0.5 \pm 0.0$		$0.3 \pm 0.0$					
400 (Undiluted)	20 ± 1.5	0.68	$0.4 \pm 0.0$		$5.4 \pm 0.4$					
Porewater properties										
Treatment (psu)	рН	Salinity	N-NH <sub>3</sub>	Density	TDS	Turbidity				
		(psu)	(mg/L)	(g/mL)	(g/mL)	(Abs)				
30 (Seawater)	8.0	30.2	0	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	0.006				
22 (Brine))	7.3	29.0	0	$1.9 \pm 0.3$	2.0 ± 0.3	0.23				
40	6.7	40.2	0	$1.0 \pm 0.0$	$2.0 \pm 0.0$	0.89				
50	6.7	50.0	1.0	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	0.78				
50 <sup>(A)</sup>	8.1	50.2	0	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	0.13				
80	7.5	80	1.0	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	2.7				
100	8.3	100	2.0	$1.6 \pm 0.5$	2.8 ± 0.8	2.0				
200	7.7	201	4.0	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	10				
300	7,3	310	4.0	$2.1 \pm 0.1$	3.3 ± 0.2	10				
400 (Undiluted)	7.5	409	4.0	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	3.5 ± 0.5	10				

< 63  $\mu$ m fraction (n=2, mean ± SD), TOC = total organic carbon (n=1), moisture content (n=2, mean ± SD).

# Table S5. Sediment metal concentrations

	TRM CONCENTRATIONS (mg/kg)													
Porewater salinity (psu)	AI	As	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	v	Zn		
Control (30)	6340 ± 100	11 ± 1.3	0.2 ± 0.04	2.8 ± 0.2	$14 \pm 0.1$	16 ± 0.2	13200 ± 406	0.6 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.3	29 ± 1.0	$21 \pm 0.04$	87 ± 0.5		
50	1050 ± 150	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	1390 ± 183	6.0 ± 0.7	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	6.3 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 0.6		
100	2030 ± 110	0.7 ± 0.2	0.04 ± 0.02	$1.1 \pm 0.3$	$3.4 \pm 0.04$	$3.1 \pm 0.3$	2512 ± 84	$11 \pm 0.004$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.6$	$10 \pm 0.6$	$10 \pm 0.1$		
250	268 ± 80	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	$0.4 \pm 0.5$	303 ± 44	$2.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	0.7 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 1.7	$2.2 \pm 0.01$		
400	445 ± 170	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	488 ± 105	3.2 ± 0.6	$0.5 \pm 0.04$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	3.5 ± 0.8	$4.1 \pm 0.4$		
Guideline Va	lue (SQGV)	1.5				65			21	50		200		

TRM = microwave-assisted aqua-regia digested metal fraction, n=2, mean ± SD. The guideline values are from Simpson and Batley.<sup>27</sup>

					Sediments					
Treatment	Porewate	er salinity		Concentrations (g/kg)						
	(ps	su)	Na		К	Mg		Са	S	
Control	30±	:1.3	3.6 ± 0	.1 (	0.7 ± 0.01	2.8 ± 0.1	2	7 ± 2.1	1.	3 ± 0.2
Wash 3	50 ±	2.5	8.7 ± 0	.1	0.5 ± 0.4	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	1	11 ± 2	60	) ± 3.8
Wash 2	100 :	± 1.5	18 ± 4	1	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	3.6 ± 0.4	l 1	27 ± 3	6	2 ± 16
Wash 1	200 :	± 1.2	29 ± 4	1 (	0.5 ± 0.01	2.1 ± 0.1	1	105 ± 3 50		) ± 9.9
Unwashed	400 :	± 1.0	79 ± 5 1.2 ± 0.2 5.0 ± 0.8 91 ± 11					44	44 ± 7.6	
				Рог	rewater-brine	S				
Salinity	Density	I			Dis	olved concentr	ations (g/L)			
(psu)	(g mL <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Na	к	Mg	Са	S	Cl <sup>-</sup>	Br⁻	SO42-
Control 30	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	0.6	8.2 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.002	$1.1 \pm 0.01$	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	0.9 ± 0.02	17	0.06	2.5
50	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	1	$18 \pm 0.6$	$0.5 \pm 0.01$	$1.5 \pm 0.02$	0.94 ± 0.09	$1.3 \pm 0.09$	28	0.08	3.4
100	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	1.9	26 ± 0.7	0.7 ± 0.04	0.7 ± 0.04	$3.8 \pm 0.1$	0.98 ± 0.06	56*	0.16*	6.8*
200	$1.6 \pm 0.1$	2.9	31 ± 0.9	$0.8 \pm 0.01$	2.8 ± 0.06	2.4 ± 0.05	2.9 ± 0.03	112*	0.32*	14*
400	$2.6 \pm 0.1$	6.3	78 ± 0.6	$3.0 \pm 0.04$	$10 \pm 0.1$	0.57 ± 0.10	4.8 ± 0.05	224*	0.64*	27*
50 <sup>(†)</sup>	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	1.2	$11 \pm 0.3$	0.3 ± 0.003	$1.6 \pm 0.02$	$0.41 \pm 0.03$	0.9 ± 0.03	47	0.06	5.6

Table S6. Selected compositions of unwashed and washed Dry Creek sediment and pore water-brines

Control sediment: non-hypersaline, uncontaminated sediment; control pore water = seawater ( $31\pm 1$  psu). Sediment treatments unwashed = original sediment and washes undertaken using RO water. Porewater brines isolated from the Dry Creek sediment initially and following consecutive washes; † represents an artificial brine created from the dissolution of artificial seasalts in seawater, until the salinity of ~50 psu was reached. Additional pore water-brine treatments of 40, 60, 65, 70, 80 and 300 psu were prepared by dilution of the brines with RO water. Detailed dissolved ionic analyses of these dilutions were not undertaken, but analyte concentrations are assumed to decrease linearly. Density was measured gravimetrically. I = ionic strength (calculated using concentrations only for Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>). \*=Extrapolated from anion concentrations in 50 psu brine. For both sediment and brine analyses, n=2, mean  $\pm$  SD. Measurements for total dissolved solids (TDS) and turbidity (light absorbance at 750 nm) are presented within the Supporting Information.

				Sediment				
Treatment			Population r phipod	residence (%) Gas	Population (%) Gastropod			
Left side	Right Side	Left side	Right Side	Left side	Right Side	Buried	Flipped	
29 psu	29 psu	44 ± 5.9	56 ± 10	50 ± 6.5	50 ± 6.5	88 ± 5.6	0.0 ± 0.0	
29 psu	50 psu	59 ± 2.9	41 ± 2.9	46 ± 7.7	54 ± 7.7	67 ± 11	33 ± 11	
29 psu	100 psu	79 ± 4.0	19 ± 2.9	75 ± 9.1	21 ± 10	38 ± 14	67 ± 12	
			Po	rewater-brines				
Bival	ve – time to bi	ıry			Gastropod – ti	ne to flip		
Salinity (psu)	Time to	Time to bury (min)		Salinity (psu)		ure	Post-exposure (seawater recovery) (min)	
30 psu (control)	) 5.	0 ± 0.3	30 psu (control)		2.2 ± 0.2		3.8 ± 0.2	
40 psu	23	3 ± 3.7	50 ps	u(A)	$11 \pm 0.6$		16 ± 1.1	
50 psu <sup>(†)</sup>	39	9 ± 2.2	65 p	su	7.7 ± 0.4		37 ± 1.5	
50 psu	0.	0 ± 0.0	80 p	su	47 ± 0.6		80 ± 2.0	
400 psu	0.	0 ± 0.0	100	osu	-88 ± 7.5		92 ± 0.9	
			200	osu	$0.0 \pm 0.0$		$0.0 \pm 0.0$	
			300	osu	$0.0 \pm 0.0$		$0.0 \pm 0.0$	
			400	osu	$0.0 \pm 0.0$		$0.0 \pm 0.0$	

Table S7. Behavioural toxicological effects (avoidance) of salinity to M. plumulosa and P. ebeninus

Sediment bioassays: data = mean ± SE (*M. plumulosa*: n=4, using 30 organisms per replicate) (*P. ebeninus*: n=6, using 4 organisms per replicate). Porewater-brine bioassays: data = mean ± SE (*P. deltoides*: n=3, 1 bivalve per replicate) (*P. ebeninus*: n=3, 2 gastropods ber beaker).

50  $psu^{(\dagger)}$  = represents an artificial brine created from the dissolution of artificial sea salts in seawater, until the salinity of ~50 psu was reached.

Orga	anism	Copepod	Amp	hipod	Crab	Polychaete
Spe	ecies	N. spinipes	M. plumulosa	V. australiensis	P. erythodactyla	N. succinea
Hal	bitat	epibenthic	epibenthic	endobenthic	epi/endobenthic	endobenthic
			Time to c	leath (h)		
	22	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	30	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	40	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	50 (A)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
	50	68 ± 0.0	NR	51 ± 0.1	NR	NR
_	60	24 ± 0.6	$51 \pm 0.0$	38 ± 0.1	NR	NR
[ns	65	$3.0 \pm 0.1$	9.0 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.5	NR	NR
Salinity (psu)	70	2.5 ± 0.0	$5.0 \pm 0.1$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	NR	$2.1 \pm 0.4$
nit	80	$1.0 \pm 0.0$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	5.0 ± 0.03	$1.0 \pm 0.07$
ali	100	-	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	$4.2 \pm 0.04$	-
0,	130	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.01$	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	3.4 ± 0.03	$0.5 \pm 0.02$
	190	-	-	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	-	-
	200	-	-	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	-	-
	290	$0.01 \pm 0.001$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.002$	$1.2 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.01$
	300	-	-		-	0.3 ± 0.02
	400	0.005 ± 0.002	$0.02 \pm 0.0$	$0.2 \pm 0.01$	$0.9 \pm 0.01$	$0.2 \pm 0.01$
			Estimated letha	l salinities (psu)		
	6	58	61	59	74	71
Time	12	57	59	58	74	69
(hours)	24	55	58	56	73	68
	48	52	55	54	72	68

Table S8. Endurance bioassay data and calculated salinity thresholds for survival of organisms<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Organisms were exposed in brine solutions for 68 h (copepods and amphipods), and 120 h (polychaete and crab) with the time taken for mortality timed for each organism in each replicate (n=3-4). Salinity of 30 psu is the seawater control - = Not Tested. (mean ± SE); NR= No Response; † represents an artificial brine created from the dissolution of artificial sea salts in seawater, until the salinity of ~50 psu was reached.

Porewater Salinity	Surviv	al	Ave. offspring/ female			
(psu)		( % co	ontrol)			
30 (control)	100 ± 2	.9	100 ± 5	.0		
50	79 ± 8.	.8	80 ± 12			
70	79 ± 8.	.8	47 ± 4.7			
80	63 ± 3.	.0	35 ± 18			
100	74 ± 2.	.9	19 ± 4.0			
200	71 ± 5.	.1	16 ± 2.	.8		
300	53 ± 0.	.0	6.8 ± 3	.6		
<b>Calculated EC Values</b>	Salinity (psu)	95 % CI	Salinity (psu)	95 % CI		
EC <sub>20</sub>	>65	ND	37 (20			
EC50	>100	ND	65	(50,84)		

Table S9. Chronic toxicity of *M. plumulosa* and *A. Salina* to sediments and elutriates.

#### A. salina (48-h brine bioassays)

Porewater-brine	Acute bioa Surviva		Chronic Bioassay Hatched nauplii					
Salinity (psu)	( % control)							
30	100 ± 0	.4	101 ± 3	3.4				
<b>40</b> <sup>(†)</sup>	98 ± 0.	5	88 ± 4	.4				
40	94 ± 1.	5	76 ± 7.7					
<b>50</b> <sup>(†)</sup>	97 ± 0.	4	79 ± 3.6					
50	92 ± 0.	4	54 ± 2.5					
100 (†)	36 ± 0.	6	$0.0 \pm 0.0$					
100	21 ± 0.	6	2.4 ± 1	.7				
200	0.0 ± 0	.0	0 ± 0.	0				
400	0.0 ± 0	.0	0 ± 0.	0				
Calculated EC Values	Salinity (psu) 95 % CI		Salinity (psu)	95 % CI				
EC <sub>20</sub>	64	(54 <i>,</i> 76)	41	(35,49)				
EC <sub>50</sub>	96	(84,110)	54	(49,59)				

Data for *M. plumulosa*: n=3, mean ± SE. ND= not able to be determined from the data. For *A. salina*: n=4. Mean ± SE. Salinity of 30 psu is the seawater control - = Not Tested. (mean ± SE); -NR= No Response; <sup>†</sup> represents an artificial brine created from the dissolution of artificial seasalts in seawater, until the defined salinity was reached.



Generational time  $\rightarrow$ 

Figure S7. Example of generational adaptation to salinity. Adapted from: http://fishkeepingadvice.com/brine-shrimp/.