Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Supporting Information for:

Acidic Processing of Fly Ash: Chemical Characterization, Morphology, and Immersion Freezing

Delanie J. Losey, Sarah K. Sihvonen, Daniel P. Veghte, Esther Chong, and Miriam Arak Freedman

Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802

Summary: This supporting information contains a table with the pH measurements of the suspended samples, a representative XRD diffractogram from 5° - 70°, and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) images for the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images in the manuscript.

	Fly Ash	Water-treated	Acid-treated
Class C	Joppa	8.59	7.57
	Welsh	8.94	7.65
Class C/F	Clifty	7.83	6.21
Class F	Miami	7.82	6.59

Table S1. Measured pH measurements of the 0.3 wt. % fly ash samples.



Figure S1. A representative XRD diffractogram taken from 5° - 70°. The XRD is an untreated Clifty sample and shows the higher angles (above 45°) that were not included in Fig. 1. At these higher angles, the intensity decreases making it difficult to differentiate between the components when labelling. The large bumps at 10° and 25° are likely due to amorphous components of the fly ash samples.



Figure S2. EDS spectra of the particles shown in Figure 2 of a) spherical, untreated Class C, b) irregular, untreated Class C, c) acid-treated Class C, and d) acid-treated Class C/F. The labels from Figure 2 correspond to the same letter in this figure. The elements are labeled by symbol in each spectrum. EDS peaks from background signals of C, O, and Cu are denoted by *.



Figure S3. EDS spectra of the particles shown in Figure 3 of untreated Class F (a and b) and acid-treated Class F (c and d). The labels from Figure 3 correspond to the same letter in this figure. The elements are labeled by symbol in each spectrum. EDS peaks from background signals of C, O, and Cu are denoted by *.