Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

1	Supplementary information
2	Combined effects of dissolved organic matter, pH, ionic strength and halides on
3	photodegradation of oxytetracycline in simulated estuarine waters
4	Ya-nan Zhang <sup>a</sup> , Jianchen Zhao <sup>a</sup> , Yangjian Zhou <sup>a</sup> , Jiao Qu <sup>*a</sup> , Jingwen Chen <sup>b</sup> , Chao Li <sup>a</sup> ,
5	Weichao Qin <sup>a</sup> , Yahui Zhao <sup>a</sup> , Willie J.G.M. Peijnenburg <sup>c,d</sup>
6	<sup>a</sup> School of Environment, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130117, China
7	<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering (MOE),
8	School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology,
9	Dalian 116024, China
10	<sup>c</sup> Institute of Environmental Sciences (CML), Leiden University, Leiden, The
11	Netherlands
12	<sup>d</sup> National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Center for Safety
13	of Substances and Products, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
14	*Corresponding author. Phone: +86-431-89165617; e-mail: quj100@nenu.edu.cn
15	
16 17	Number of texts: 1; Number of figures: 6; Number of tables: 3; Number of pages: 9
18	Table of Contents
19	Text S1
20	Figure S1S3
21	Figure S2S3
22	Figure S3
23	Figure S4
24	Figure S5
25	Figure S6
26	Table S1
27	Table S2
28	Table S3
29	References
30	

## Text S1. Calculation of photolysis rate constant and molar absorptivity for different species of OTC.

OTC photolysis followed pseudo-first order kinetics. The apparent degradation rate
 contributes by each component in the system:

35 
$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_{\mathrm{obs}} \cdot c = k_1 \cdot c_1 + k_2 \cdot c_2 + \dots + k_i \cdot c_i \tag{1}$$

where *c* is the concentration of different species,  $k_{obs}$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the observed first order rate constant of OTC in different pH solutions,  $k_i$  (min<sup>-1</sup>) is the first order rate constant of each individual species. The equation can be changed to:

39 
$$k_{\text{obs}} = \alpha_1 \cdot k_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot k_2 + \dots + \alpha_i \cdot k_i$$
(2)

40 where  $\alpha_i$  is the fraction of each species at different pH and at different time during the 41 irradiation. The  $\alpha_i$  values can be calculated with the pKa (3.22, 7.46, and 8.94) of 42 OTC as the time tends to be zero at the initial time.

43 Set *A*,  $K_{obs}$ ,  $K_i$  as the matrix of  $\alpha_1 \sim \alpha_{ij}$ ,  $k_{obs}^{\ 1} \sim k_{obs}^{\ j}$ , and  $k^1 \sim k^i$ . *i* represents the total 44 number of species (4 in this study). *j* represents the total pH conditions (6 in this 45 study). The independent rate constant of each species was then derived with:

46  $K_{i} = (A^{-1} \cdot A)^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot A^{-1} \cdot K_{\mathrm{obs}}$ (3)

47 While calculating the molar absorptivity for different species of OTC,  $K_{obs}$  and  $K_i$ 48 were replaced by  $E_j$  and  $E_i$ , which are the matrix of molar absorptivity for OTC at 49 different pH and for each species of OTC, respectively.

The quantum yield of each OTC species was calculated from the individual rate
constant and the molar absorption coefficient with the following equation:<sup>1</sup>

52 
$$\Phi = \frac{-k_i}{2.303\Sigma(I_\lambda \cdot \varepsilon_\lambda)(S/V)l}$$
(4)

53 Where  $I_{\lambda}$  is the incident light intensity at wavelength  $\lambda$  (Einstein cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>);  $\varepsilon_{\lambda}$  is the 54 molar absorption coefficient of OTC (cm<sup>-1</sup> L mol<sup>-1</sup>); *S* is the exposed area (cm<sup>2</sup>); *V* 55 is the volume of solution (mL); *l* is the light path length (cm).





57

58

59

Fig. S2 The 1000 W Xe lamp and sunlight irradiation spectra (The sunlight irradiation

62 spectrum was measured at midsummer in Dalian, China (38 53'29.9"N and

121 °32′4.1″E)).

64





Fig. S3 Direct photolysis kinetics of OTC at different pH.





**Fig. S4** Observed first-order photolysis rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) OTC in different conditions (\* stands for significant difference, p < 0.05, n = 3; the error bars represent the 95% confidence interval, n = 3).



$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
2.0         0.943         0.057         0.000         0.000           3.2         0.511         0.489         0.000         0.000           5.0         0.016         0.980         0.004         0.000           6.0         0.002         0.965         0.033         0.000           7.0         0.000         0.740         0.257         0.003           8.0         0.000         0.205         0.713         0.082	pH	$H3L^+$	H2L	HL <sup>-</sup>	L <sup>2-</sup>
3.2       0.511       0.489       0.000       0.000         5.0       0.016       0.980       0.004       0.000         6.0       0.002       0.965       0.033       0.000         7.0       0.000       0.740       0.257       0.003         8.0       0.000       0.205       0.713       0.082	2.0	0.943	0.057	0.000	0.000
5.00.0160.9800.0040.0006.00.0020.9650.0330.0007.00.0000.7400.2570.0038.00.0000.2050.7130.082	3.2	0.511	0.489	0.000	0.000
6.00.0020.9650.0330.0007.00.0000.7400.2570.0038.00.0000.2050.7130.082	5.0	0.016	0.980	0.004	0.000
7.0       0.000       0.740       0.257       0.003         8.0       0.000       0.205       0.713       0.082	6.0	0.002	0.965	0.033	0.000
8.0 0.000 0.205 0.713 0.082	7.0	0.000	0.740	0.257	0.003
0.0 0.000 0.203 0.715 0.002	8.0	0.000	0.205	0.713	0.082
9.0 0.000 0.013 0.459 0.528	9.0	0.000	0.013	0.459	0.528
12.0 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.999	12.0	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.999

**Table S1** Fractions of  $H3L^+$ , H2L,  $HL^-$ , and  $L^{2-}$  in different pH solutions.

81

<sup>\*</sup>The fractions were calculated with pKa of 3.22, 7.46, and 8.94 for OTC

рН	k <sub>obs</sub> -	With 5 mg $L^{-1}$ SRNOM			With 10 mg L <sup>-1</sup> SRNOM				
		$k_{ m obs}$	S <sub>290-455</sub>	$k_{ m ind}$	$P_{\rm ind}$ %	$k_{ m obs}$	S <sub>290-455</sub>	$k_{ m ind}$	$P_{\rm ind}$ %
2.0	$0.239 \pm 0.007$	$0.233 \pm 0.003$	0.737	$0.056 \pm 0.005$	24.2	$0.146 \pm 0.006$	0.703	$-0.022 \pm 0.006$	-15.2
3.2	$0.378 \pm 0.012$	$0.311 \pm 0.006$	0.716	$0.040 \pm 0.009$	13.0	$0.275 \pm 0.010$	0.682	$0.017 \pm 0.007$	6.2
5.0	$0.486 \pm 0.013$	$0.414\ \pm 0.005$	0.708	$0.070 \pm 0.009$	16.9	$0.405 \pm 0.010$	0.671	$0.079 \pm 0.008$	19.5
7.0	$0.963 \pm 0.014$	$0.911 \pm 0.03$	0.721	$0.216 \pm 0.007$	23.7	$0.787 \pm 0.026$	0.693	$0.120 \pm 0.007$	15.2
8.0	$1.520 \pm 0.021$	$1.433 \pm 0.025$	0.729	$0.325 \pm 0.008$	22.7	$1.340 \pm 0.050$	0.704	$0.270 \pm 0.007$	20.2
9.0	$3.425 \pm 0.054$	$3.167 \pm 0.067$	0.716	$0.714 \pm 0.009$	22.5	$3.054 \pm 0.040$	0.695	$0.674 \pm 0.007$	22.1
12.0	$5.572 \pm 0.078$	$5.027 \pm 0.110$	0.703	$1.112 \pm 0.007$	22.1	$5.011 \pm 0.110$	0.668	$1.287 \pm 0.007$	25.7

**Table S2** Observed first-order photolysis rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) of OTC in the absence and presence of SRNOM, integrated light screening coefficient ( $S_{290-455}$ ) of SRNOM, SRNOM induced indirect photolysis rate constants ( $k_{ind}$ ) of OTC in different pH solutions, and the percentage of  $k_{ind}$  ( $P_{ind}$ ) (The unit for  $k_{obs}$  and  $k_{ind}$  is  $\times 10^{-2}$  min<sup>-1</sup>)

\*The errors of  $k_{obs}$  and  $k_{ind}$  represent 95% confidence levels, n = 3.

Conditions		рН				
		6.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	
No SO	OTC	$0.849\ {\pm}0.041$	$0.963 \pm 0.006$	$1.520 \pm 0.001$	$3.425 \pm 0.079$	
INa <sub>2</sub> 504	OTC + SRNOM	$0.648 \pm 0.013$	$0.787 \ \pm 0.006$	$1.340 \pm 0.018$	$3.054 \pm 0.097$	
NaCl	OTC	$0.864\ {\pm}\ 0.018$	$0.971\ \pm 0.015$	$1.526 \pm 0.011$	$3.568 \pm 0.013$	
$0.075 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	OTC + SRNOM	$0.666 \pm 0.012$	$0.914\ {\pm}\ 0.025$	$1.441 \pm 0.015$	$3.173 \pm 0.068$	
NaBr	OTC	$0.900\pm 0.018$	$1.025 \pm 0.006$	$1.548 \pm 0.007$	$3.820 \pm 0.096$	
$0.075 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}$	OTC + SRNOM	$0.711\ \pm 0.010$	$1.031 \pm 0.025$	$1.506 \pm 0.044$	$3.516 \pm 0.035$	
NaBr $(0.80 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1}) +$	OTC	$0.852\ {\pm}0.021$	$0.965\ {\pm}0.016$	$1.535 \pm 0.015$	$3.597 \pm 0.042$	
$Na_2SO_4$	OTC + SRNOM	$0.651 \ \pm 0.012$	$0.795\ \pm 0.010$	$1.402 \pm 0.024$	$3.195 \pm 0.114$	
NaCl $(0.075 \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1}) +$	OTC	$0.858\ {\pm}\ 0.015$	$0.964\ \pm 0.012$	$1.515 \pm 0.014$	$3.562 \pm 0.019$	
NaBr (0.80 mmol $L^{-1}$ )	OTC + SRNOM	$0.694 \pm 0.013$	$1.013 \pm 0.025$	$1.501\ \pm 0.015$	$3.453 \pm 0.058$	

**Table S3** Observed first-order photolysis rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) of OTC in the absence and presence of SRNOM in Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, NaCl, and NaBr solutions with the same ionic strength at different pH (The unit for  $k_{obs}$  is  $\times 10^{-2}$  min<sup>-1</sup>).

\*The errors of  $k_{obs}$  represent 95% confidence levels, n = 3.

## References

 X. Jin, H. Xu, S. Qiu, M. Jia, F. Wang, A. Zhang and X. Jiang, Direct photolysis of oxytetracycline: Influence of initial concentration, pH and temperature, J. *Photochem. Photobiol. A– Chem.*, 2017, **332**, 224–231.