Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Food & Function. This journal is CIT Reputation of Chemistry 2018

35 45 S/L (g/L)

**MFC MIC**  $F_1$  $F_2$  $F_3$  $F_4$  $F_1$  $F_2$  $F_3$  $F_4$ 

Figure A1. Contour plots for all the antifungal criteria (MIC and MFC) of all fungal strains used: Aspergillus flavus ( $F_1$ ), Aspergillus niger ( $F_2$ ), Penicillium ochrochloron ( $F_3$ ) and Penicillium verrucosum var. cyclopium ( $F_4$ ). For representation purposes, the variables excluded in each of the graphs were positioned at the optimal values of the others (**Table 3**). The obtained parametric fitting values are presented in **Table 2**.

35 45 S/L (g/L)

**Table A1**: Coded and natural values of the optimization parameters used in the RSM analysis. The four independent variables  $X_l$  (t, time in min),  $X_2$  (P, power in W),  $X_3$  (S, ethanol proportion in %) and  $X_4$  (S/L, solid/liquid ratio in g/L) were combined in a five-level factorial design of 28 independent combinations and four replicates at the centre of the experimental domain.

CODED VALUES	NATURAL VALUES			
	$X_l$ : $t$ (min)	$X_2$ : $P(W)$	$X_3$ : $S$ (%)	$X_4$ : $S/L$ $(g/L)$
-2	2	100	0	5
-1	7	200	25	15
0	12	300	50	25
+1	17	400	75	35
+2	22	500	100	45