Supporting information for:

## Highly efficient electrochemical reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to formic acid over lead dioxide in an

## ionic liquid catholyte mixture

Haoran Wu,<sup>a,b</sup> Jinliang Song,\*a Chao Xie,<sup>a,b</sup> Yue Hu,<sup>a,b</sup> and Buxing Han\*a,<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, Key Laboratory of Colloid and Interface and Thermodynamics, CAS Research/Education Center for Excellence in Molecular Sciences, Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

<sup>b</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. **E-mails:** songjl@iccas.ac.cn; hanbx@iccas.ac.cn

## **Experimental Section**

*Materials:* Ionic liquids, including 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Bmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Emim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Hmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), 1-octyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Omim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), 1-decyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Dmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), 1-benzyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ([Bzmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, purity >99%), and 1-benzyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide ([Bzmim]NTf<sub>2</sub>, purity >99%), were purchased from the Centre of Green Chemistry and Catalysis, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Molybdenum trioxide (>99%), copper oxide (>97%), zinc oxide (>99%) and lead dioxide (purity > 99%) were supplied by J&K Scientific Co., Ltd. Titanium dioxide (P25) was supplied by Acros Organics. Bismuth oxide (>99%), Nafion N-117 membrane (0.180 mm thick,  $\geq$  0.90 meg/g exchange capacity), Nafion D-521 dispersion (5 % w/w in water and 1-propanol,  $\geq$  0.92 meg/g exchange capacity) and Toray Carbon Paper (CP, TGP-H-60, 19×19 cm) were obtained from Alfa Aesar.

**Preparation of electrode**: 10 mg PbO<sub>2</sub> was suspended in 1 mL ethanol with 20  $\mu$ L Nafion D-521 dispersion (5 wt%) to form a homogeneous emulsion assisted by ultrasound. Then, the ink was spread onto the carbon paper surface by a micropipette and then dried under room temperature.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement: An electrochemical workstation (CHI 6081E, Shanghai CH

Instruments Co., China) was used in all the experiments. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were carried out in a H-type cell, which was separated by Nafion membrane with three-electrode configuration consisting of working electrode (PbO<sub>2</sub>), a platinum gauze auxiliary electrode, and an Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> (0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub> in 0.1 M TBAP-MeCN) reference electrode. Prior to experiment, electrolyte was bubbled with N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> for 30 min to form N<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> saturated solution. The CV measurement in gas-saturated electrolyte was conducted in the potential range of -1.0 to -2.5 V *vs.* Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> at a sweep rate of 20 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>. Slight magnetic stirring was applied in the process.

*CO*<sub>2</sub> *reduction electrolysis and product analysis:* Electrolysis was carried out at room temperature in a typical H-type cell, which was separated by a Nafion membrane. In a typical experiment, IL containing solution and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution (0.5 M) were used as cathodic and anodic electrolytes, respectively. The amount of electrolyte used was 20 mL in all the experiments. Before electrolysis experiment, CO<sub>2</sub> was bubbled through the catholyte for 30 min with stirring and electrolysis was conducted under a steady follow of CO<sub>2</sub> (2 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>). The gaseous product of electrochemical experiments was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, HP 4890D) equipped with FID and TCD detectors using helium as the internal standard. The liquid product was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Bruker Avance III 400 HD spectrometer) in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> with TMS as an internal standard. The total current density and Faradaic efficiency of the products were calculated on the basis of GC and NMR analysis.



Fig. S1. CV measurements using PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode in CO<sub>2</sub> or N<sub>2</sub> saturated electrolyte of IL (14.6 wt%)acetonitrile-H<sub>2</sub>O (11.7 wt%) at room temperature. (A) [Emim]BF<sub>4</sub>, (B) [Bmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, (C) [Hmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, (D) [Omim]BF<sub>4</sub>, (E) [Dmim]BF<sub>4</sub>, and (F) [Bzmim]NTf<sub>2</sub>.

| Electrode                                       | Potential (V)                 | Electrolyte  | FE (%) | CD (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> ) | Reference |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--------|---------------------------|-----------|
| PbO <sub>2</sub> on carbon paper                | -2.3 V vs Ag/Ag <sup>+</sup>  | [Bzmim]BF <sub>4</sub> (14.7wt%)-CH <sub>3</sub> CN-H <sub>2</sub> O (11.7%) | 95.5   | 40.8                      | This work |
| Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> on glassy carbon | -0.88 V vs. SCE               | 0.1M KHCO3   | 60     | 0.68                      | S1        |
| Pd70Pt30/C                                      | -0.4 V vs RHE                 | 0.1M KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> /0.1M K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>   | 88     | 5.0                       | S2        |
| SnO <sub>2</sub> @N-PC                          | -2.2 V vs Ag/Ag <sup>+</sup>  | 0.5 M [Bmim]PF <sub>6</sub> -CH <sub>3</sub> CN                              | 94.1   | 28.4                      | S3        |
| Sn sheets in graphene                           | -1.8 V vs. SCE                | 0.1M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>  | 85     | 21.1                      | S4        |
| $SnO_2$ on carbon cloth                         | -1.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl            | 0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>   | 89.0   | 45.0                      | S5        |
| $SnO_2$ on carbon black                         | -1.15 V vs RHE                | 0.1 M KHCO3  | 75     | 10.8                      | S6        |
| Nano-Bi on carbon<br>paper                      | -1.8 V vs. SHE                | 0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>   | 96.4   | 15.2                      | S7        |
| Pb  | -2.2 V vs Ag/Ag <sup>+</sup>  | [Bmim]PF <sub>6</sub> (30 wt%)/AcN-H <sub>2</sub> O (5 wt%)                  | 91.6   | 41.0                      | S8        |
| BiOCl <sub>0.5</sub> Br <sub>0.5</sub> /GCE     | -1.6 V vs SCE                 | 0.5 M KHCO <sub>3</sub>  | 98.4   | 9.7                       | S9        |
| Sn/SnS <sub>2</sub>                             | -1.4 V vs. Ag/AgCl            | 0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>   | 84.5   | 13.9                      | S10       |
| MoO <sub>2</sub>                                | -2.45 V vs Fe/Fc <sup>+</sup> | 0.1 M TBAPF <sub>6</sub> /MeCN   | 40     | 4.0                       | S11       |
| Cu <sub>2</sub> O                               | -0.5 V vs RHE                 | 0.1M KHCO3   | 35     | 2.0                       | S12       |

**Table S1.** Faradaic efficiency (FE) of HCOOH and current density (CD) in  $CO_2$  reduction using various electrodes and electrolytes.

## References

- S1. S. Gao, X. Jiao, Z. Sun, W. Zhang, Y. Sun, C. Wang, Q. Hu, X. Zu, F. Yang, S. Yang, L. Liang, J. Wu and Y. Xie, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 698.
- **S2.** R. Kortlever, I. Peters, S. Koper and M. T. M. Koper, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 3916.
- **S3.** L. Lu, X. Sun, J. Ma, Q. Zhu, C. Wu, D. Yang and B. Han, *Sci. China Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 228.
- S4. F. Lei, W. Liu, Y. Sun, J. Xu, K. Liu, L. Liang, T. Yao, B. Pan, S. Wei and Y. Xie, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 12697.
- S5. F. Li, L. Chen, G. P. Knowles, D. R. MacFarlane and J. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 505.
- S6. R. Daiyan, X. Lu, W. H. Saputera, Y. H. Ng and R. Amal, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 1670.
- **S7.** H. Zhong, Y. Qiu, T. Zhang, X. Li, H. Zhang and X. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 13746.
- **S8.** Q. Zhu, J. Ma, X. Kang, X. Sun, H. Liu, J. Hu, Z. Liu and B. Han, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 9012.
- **S9.** Y. Qiu, J. Du, W. Dong, C. Dai and C. Tao, J. CO<sub>2</sub> Util., 2017, **20**, 328.
- S10.F. Li, L. Chen, M. Xue, T. Williams, Y. Zhang, D. R. Macfarlane and J. Zhang, Nano Energy, 2017, 31, 270.
- S11. Y. Oh, H. Vrubel, S. Guidoux and X. Hu, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 3878.
- S12. C. W. Li and M. W. Kanan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 7231.