

**Multi-energy calibration (MEC) applied to laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy  
(LIBS)**

Diego Victor Babos<sup>a</sup>, Alex Virgilio<sup>a</sup>, Vinícius Câmara Costa<sup>a</sup>, George Luis Donati<sup>b</sup> and  
Edenir Rodrigues Pereira-Filho<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Group for Applied Instrumental Analysis, Department of Chemistry, Federal University of  
São Carlos, P. O. Box 676, São Carlos, SP 13565-905, Brazil*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Wake Forest University, Salem Hall, Box 7486, Winston-Salem,  
NC 21709, USA*

\*Corresponding author: Edenir R. Pereira-Filho  
Phone number: +55 16 3351-8092  
E-mail: erpf@ufscar.br

† *Electronic supplementary information (ESI)*

*Consider the following relationships for two standards:*

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std} = m(C^{Sam} + C^{Std}) \text{ (eqn. 1)}$$

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam} = mC^{Sam} \text{ (eqn. 2)}$$

*where  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}$  and  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}$  are the instrument responses at a certain wavelength (i)  
for pellet 1 and pellet 2, respectively; m is a proportionality constant; and  $C^{Sam}$  and  $C^{Std}$  are  
the analyte concentrations in the sample and in the standard added to sample, respectively.  
If one considers the same instrumental conditions, and the same matrix since both*

solutions have 50%  $m$   $m^{-1}$  sample, Eqs. (1) and (2) may be combined (Eq. (3)) and rearranged (Eq. (4)):

$$\frac{I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}}{C^{Sam}} = \frac{I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \quad (eqn. 3)$$

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam} = I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std} \left[ \frac{C^{Sam}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \right] \quad (eqn. 4)$$

By plotting  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}$  (from pellet 2) on the y-axis vs.  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}$  (from pellet 1) on the x-axis, with instrument responses recorded at several different wavelengths ( $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ ), the slope of that plot's linear regression will be:

$$\text{Slope} = \frac{C^{Sam}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \quad (eqn. 5)$$

Because  $C^{Std}$  is known, the analyte concentration in the sample can then be easily determined by rearranging Eq. (5):

$$C^{sample} = \frac{\text{slope} \cdot C^{Standard}}{(1 - \text{slope})} \quad (eqn. 6)$$

Reference 32 of the manuscript: A. Virgilio, D. A. Gonçalves, T. McSweeney, J. A. Gomes Neto, J. A. Nobrega and G. L. Donati, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2017, 982, 31-36.

Consider the following relationships for two standards:

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std} = m(C^{Sam} + C^{Std}) \quad (eqn. 1)$$

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam} = mC^{Sam} \quad (eqn. 2)$$

where  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}$  and  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}$  are the instrument responses at a certain wavelength (i) for pellet 1 and pellet 2, respectively;  $m$  is a proportionality constant; and  $C^{Sam}$  and  $C^{Std}$  are the analyte concentrations in the sample and in the standard added to sample, respectively. If one considers the same instrumental conditions, and the same matrix since both solutions have 50%  $m$   $m^{-1}$  sample, Eqs. (1) and (2) may be combined (Eq. (3)) and rearranged (Eq. (4)):

$$\frac{I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}}{C^{Sam}} = \frac{I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \quad (eqn. 3)$$

$$I(\lambda_i)^{Sam} = I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std} \left[ \frac{C^{Sam}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \right] \quad (eqn. 4)$$

**By plotting  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam}$  (from pellet 2) on the y-axis vs.  $I(\lambda_i)^{Sam + Std}$  (from pellet 1) on the x-axis, with instrument responses recorded at several different wavelengths ( $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ ), the slope of that plot's linear regression will be:**

$$Slope = \frac{C^{Sam}}{C^{Sam} + C^{Std}} \quad (eqn. 5)$$

**Because  $C^{Std}$  is known, the analyte concentration in the sample can then be easily determined by rearranging Eq. (5):**

$$C^{sample} = \frac{slope \cdot C^{Standard}}{(1 - slope)} \quad (eqn. 6)$$

**Reference 32 of the manuscript: A. Virgilio, D. A. Gonçalves, T. McSweeney, J. A. Gomes Neto, J. A. Nobrega and G. L. Donati, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2017, 982, 31-36.**