Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Lab on a Chip. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Supplementary Information for

# Facilitating Tumor Spheroid-Based Bioassays and *In Vitro* Blood Vessel Modeling *via* Bioinspired Self-Formation Microstructure Devices

Ching-Te Kuo<sup>1,2</sup>, Siang-Rong Lu<sup>2,3</sup>, Wei-Min Chen<sup>2</sup>, Jong-Yueh Wang<sup>2</sup>, Si-Chen Lee<sup>1</sup>, Hsiu-Hao Chang<sup>3</sup>, Andrew M. Wo<sup>4\*</sup>, Benjamin P. C. Chen<sup>5\*</sup> and Hsinyu Lee<sup>2\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Graduate Institute of Electronics Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Life Science, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatrics, National Taiwan University Hospital and National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
 <sup>4</sup>Institute of Applied Mechanics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.
 <sup>5</sup>Division of Molecular Radiation Biology, Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA
 \*Prof. Andrew M. Wo. E-mail: andrew@iam.ntu.edu.tw or
 \*Prof. Benjamin P. C. Chen. E-mail: benjamin.chen@utsouthwestern.edu or \*Prof. Hsinyu Lee. E-mail: hsinyu@ntu.edu.tw

Supplementary information file includes 7 figures, 2 tables, 3 movies and 11 references

## Supplementary Tables

									Variatio	Variatio
	Dagi	~~~~			$n of a_{\gamma}$	n of V				
	Desi	gns			(% mean	(% mean				
					$\pm SD)^b$	$\pm SD)^{c}$				
	$a_{\gamma}$	V	h	$a_{\gamma}$	θ	R	Г	V		
Domain	(µm)	(µl)	(µm)	(µm)	(degree)	(µm)	$(h/a_y)$	(µl)		
Circle										
1	1500	1.5	918.9	1560.9	99.7	791.9	0.59	1.3		
2	1500	1	736.2	1583.1	87.7	792.2	0.48	1.0	1.5 ±	-13.5 ±
3	1500	0.5	490.7	1456.0	62.7	819.5	0.30	0.4	$4.0^{n.s.}$	8.2*
4	1500	1	760.0	1488.0	86.4	745.5	0.47	0.8		
<i>Stripe</i> <sup>d</sup>										
1	600	1.5	125.3	588.8	50.8	380.2	0.24	1.1		
2	600	1.5	139.2	549.9	57.3	326.8	0.27	1.2	-3.1 ±	-26.2 ±
3	600	1	86.9	602.2	31.7	573.4	0.14	0.7	3.7 <sup>n.s.</sup>	3.8***
4	600	0.5	37.0	583.9	17.8	956.7	0.08	0.4		

Table S1. Parameters designed and evaluated for the circular or striped domain.

<sup>a</sup> The experimental data was measured from the fabricated PDMS structures either in circular or in striped domain.

<sup>b</sup> The variation of  $a_{\gamma}$  is defined as  $(a_{\gamma} \text{-experiment} - a_{\gamma} \text{-design})/a_{\gamma} \text{-design}$ .

<sup>c</sup> The variation of *V* is defined as (*V*\_experiment – *V*\_design/*V*\_design.

<sup>d</sup> The length of the striped pattern is 20 mm.

\*p < 0.05 and \*\*\*p < 0.001.

Technique	Feature geometry	Level of geometrical flexibility	Feature size Feature (µm) aspect ratio		Application	Feasibility for tissue-based bioassay
Photoresist reflow [1]	Rhombus-shaped round channel	High	30.4	0.5	Microvascular networks	Yes
Grayscale lithography [2]	Semi-circular channel	Basic	200	0.2	Microvalve	Nil
Negative pressure [3]	ative pressure [3] Coaxial channel		200	0.38	Fabrication of microfibers and particles	Nil
Micro milling [4]	Round and multi- tiered channels	High 100		0.5	Microvascular networks and human liver sinusoid structure	Yes
Spin coating [5]	Round channel	Basic	100	0.5	Modeling of blood vessels	Yes
Non-printed/solid molding [6]	Round channel	Basic	150	0.5	Cancer invasion in artificial microvessels	Yes
3D Printed molding [7]	Serpentine channel	High	41.8	0.45	Nil	Nil
Liquid molding: Dipping (100% glycerol- hydrogel- based mold) [8]	Serpentine channel	High	50	0.097	Cell trapping	Nil
Drop on demand printing (50% glycerol-based mold) [9]	Semi-circular channel	Basic	100	0.08	Nil	Nil
Inkjet printing on liquid substrate (polymer ink-based mold) [10, 11]	Round channel and microwell	Moderate	100 for channel; 7 for well	0.5 for channel; 0.5 for well	Microfluidic reactor, single cell patterning and functional nanoparticle patterning	Nil
Our approach (bioinspired self- formation)	Serpentine channel and microwell	High	200 for channel; 50 for well	0.27 for channel; 0.59 for well	Culture of tumor spheroid, high- throughput drug screening and modeling of blood vessels	Yes

 Table S2. Fabrication of non-planer microstructures.

## Supplementary Figures



**Figure S1.** Time-lapse simulations of volume fraction, net surface tension, velocity and shear rate. The y-axis corresponds to the C-C' cross line in Fig. 2(d). Dash lines indicate the leading interfaces between air and dragged liquid by surface tension, during the calculated time steps.



\*The time spent for constructing the water mold with a length of 20 mm.

**Figure S2.** Comparison of similar sizes of microstructure made from surface tensionguided and condensation-induced water molding.



**Figure S3.** Comparison of MCF7 spheroids performed under different concentrations of F127 coating in the microwells. Initial 900 cells were loaded for each well and then cultured for 1 day. Scale bar, 500  $\mu$ m; insert bar, 100  $\mu$ m.



Figure S4. Detected luminescence from 3D or 2D cultured cells versus different cell numbers loaded. Cells were cultured for 1 day in 3D conditions. Note that there is a linear relationship between the detected luminescence and the loaded cells as the cell number is less 400. Each data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 2 ~ 5).



**Figure S5.** Synergistic effect of cisplatin and MG132 on SK-N-DZ tumor spheroid. (a) Cell viabilities of SK-N-DZ spheroids under the treatments of cisplatin and MG132 with different concentrations. The optimized drug combination is highlighted by a red arrow. Each data represents the mean  $\pm$  SEM from 4 independent experiments. (b) Comparison of relative doses used for 94% cell inhibition by the optimized drug combination and by the single drug treatment (i.e., 25 µg/ml cisplatin or 0.1 µM MG132).



**Figure S6.** Number of intercellular gaps. The engineered blood vessels were treated with LPA (1  $\mu$ M), S1P (0.5  $\mu$ M) or combined treatment for 10 minutes. Each data represents the mean ± SEM from 2 independent experiments (n = 11 ~ 16 image fields per 0.45 mm<sup>2</sup>). \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001 were compared to the control, except for the indicators.



**Figure S7.** Effects of LPA and S1P on pre-impaired blood vessels. HUVECs were cultured within the blood channels for 1 day, resulting in a sub-confluent cell monolayer. The ratio of intercellular-gap area of control blood vessels is around 7%. The blood vessels were treated with LPA (1  $\mu$ M) or S1P (0.5  $\mu$ M) for 10 minutes. Each data represents the mean ± SD (n = 5 ~ 7 image fields per 0.78 mm<sup>2</sup>). \*\*\*p < 0.001 was compared to the control, except for the indicators. Scale bar, 300  $\mu$ m.

#### Supplementary Movies

**Movie S1.** Time-lapse volume fraction of a water droplet dispensed on a stripe-patternedly hydrophilic surface. The droplet volume is  $0.7 \ \mu$ l. The width and length of the hydrophilic pattern are 600  $\mu$ m and 20 mm, respectively.

**Movie S2.** Time-lapse surface shear rate of a water droplet dispensed on a stripepatternedly hydrophilic surface. The droplet volume is  $0.7 \ \mu$ l. The width and length of the hydrophilic pattern are 600  $\mu$ m and 20 mm, respectively.

**Movie S3.** 3D view of the engineered blood vessel. Images were taken from a confocal microscopy and stacked by ImageJ software.

#### References

- [1] Y. T. Yung et al., Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 18 (2017) 163.
- [2] Y. Kato et al., Transducers 2015, Anchorage, Alaska, USA, 2015, 1549.
- [3] E. Kang et al., Lab Chip 10 (2010) 1856.
- [4] M. Jang et al., RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 100905.
- [5] R. Vecchione et al., Biofabrication 8 (2016) 025005.
- [6] A. D. Wong et al., Cancer Res. 74(17) (2014) 4937.
- [7] J. Xing et al., Sensor Actuat. B Chem. 248 (2017) 613.
- [8] X. Liu et al., Lab Chip 9 (2009) 1200.
- [9] L. Yang et al., J. Biosens. Bioelectron. 6(4) (2015) 1000185.
- [10] Y. Guo et al., Lab Chip 15 (2015) 1759.
- [11] B. Bao et al., Adv. Funct. Mater. 25 (2015) 3286.