Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Lab on a Chip. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supplementary information

Reliable interfaces for EGaIn multi-layer stretchable circuits and microelectronics

Daniel Green^a, Pedro Alhais Lopes^a, Anibal T. de Almeida^a, Carmel Majidi^b, and Mahmoud Tavakoli^a

^a Instituto de Sistemas e Robótica, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^b Integrated Soft Materials Lab, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

Supporting Material:

Ablation Angle Estimation of Maximum Depth Cross-sectional view of ablation Dimensions of Tensile Specimens Additional Tensile Tests Cyclic loading test setup Video: Demos

Ablation Angle of VIAs



Figure S1 – A. Internal angle of ablation (β) in function of the surface diameter of ablation for two different sets of parameters of the laser printer (blue: 50%p 80%s 500ppi; red: 25%p 50%s 500ppi). The angle is approximately constant for each set of parameters, regardless of the surface diameter of the VIA. Lower ablation angles correspond to the ablation with a lower energy beam. **B.** Schematic drawing, on a microscopic cross-section photograph, of the triangle model to estimate, using simple trigonometry, the maximum depth (h) for a certain ablation angle.

Estimation of Maximum Depth of VIAs

- β : Angle at the base of the cone
- h: Depth of the Via
- d: Surface diameter
- σ : Standard deviation

$$h = \frac{d}{2} \tan \beta \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma_\beta \frac{d}{2} \frac{1}{\cos^2\beta} \tag{2}$$

Equation 1: Estimated depth *h* of ablation given the angle β .

Equation 2: Standard deviation of the calculated *h* based on the standard deviation of averaged β experimental measurements.

Cross-sectional view of ablation



Figure S2 – Cross-section microscope view of the ablation of PDMS and EGaln layer after 12 passes on the laser printer with 50%p 80%s 500ppi laser settings and for different surface diameters: **A.** 1 mm **B.** 0.75 mm **C.** 0.5 mm **D.** 0.2 mm. **E.** No ablation occurred with 5%p 15%s 500ppi and 1 mm of diameter.



Dimension of tensile specimens

Figure S3 – Dimensions of the custom dog-bone shaped samples prepared for the electromechanical tests. As described in the paper, each sample has a conductive EGaIn trace between both ends of the sample, where an additional FPCB connector was attached (not shown in the picture). At the center of each sample: Set I has a 0 Ω resistor chip (YAGEO 0.063W, 1/16W Chip Resistor, 0402 packaging) soldered to a circular FPCB; Set II has a EGaIn VIA connecting the traces running from both ends of the sample; Set III (not shown in the picture), as a reference, has a single EGaIn trace between both ends of the sample. Thickness of the samples: 1.50 mm.

Additional Tensile Tests



Figure S4 – **A.** Electromechanical tensile tests were performed on samples 1.0 mm and 1.5 mm thick. The results for maximum strain at break were 74.9 ± 12.1 % and 81.1 ± 5.1 %, respectively. **B.** Relative resistance of 1.0 mm thick samples with an interfaced 0 Ω resistor chip on a flexible island. **C.** Side-view schematics of samples. *b*, *m*, and *t* refer to the respective PDMS thicknesses. For 1.5 mm thick samples: *b*=750µm, *m*=250µm, *t*=500µm. For 1.0 mm thick samples: *b*=500µm, *m*=150µm, *t*=350µm.

Cyclic loading electronic test setup



Figure S4 – Analog circuit to measure the variable resistance (R_{test}) of the sample and condition the signal input to the ADC of the microcontroller. Supplied with 5 V, a stage of constant current supply is composed by a LM1117 voltage regulator, as shown, and a resistor to fix the output current, which flows through the sample (R_{test}). Here, the voltage drop across the sample is measured with a INA122 instrumentation amplifier (single-supply, low input bias current, rail-to-rail output). High frequency noise is filtered with a low-pass filter (R4, C4) from the voltage output.