## ■ EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL SECTION

Synthesis. A solution of $1(260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ prepared according to the literature procedure ${ }^{\{1\}}$ in benzene ( 30 ml ) was treated with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and then with $\mathrm{I}_{2}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was left stirring at ambient temperature for 24 h . The mixture was then treated carefully with water $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ under cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic layer was separated, evaporated, and the residual solid was separated by column ( $2.5 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) chromatography using a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : hexane (1:1). The elution resulted in the separation of the main fraction of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}($ hexane $)=0.05$. This solution was evaporated to dryness and identified by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectroscopy as 4 .

Crystallography. A colorless block-like specimen of C2H7B7CIIP2, approximate dimensions $0.165 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.278 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.325 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured. Full-sets of diffraction data for 4 were collected at $150(2) \mathrm{K}$ with a Bruker D8-Venture diffractometer equipped with $\mathrm{Mo}\left(\mathrm{Mo} / \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right.$ radiation; $\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ) microfocus X-ray ( $\mathrm{I} \mu \mathrm{S}$ ) source, Photon CMOS detector and Oxford Cryosystems cooling device.

The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the Multi-Scan method (SADABS) Data were corrected for absorption effects using the Multi-Scan method (SADABS). The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.730 . Obtained data were treated by XT-version 2014/5 and SHELXL-2014/7 software implemented in APEX3 v2016.5-0 (Bruker AXS) system. ${ }^{\{2\}}$

A total of 1674 frames were collected. The total exposure time was 1.71 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 32315 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $28.32^{\circ}$ ( $0.75 \AA$ resolution), of which 2657 were independent (average redundancy 12.162 , completeness $\left.=99.8 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=2.27 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=1.25 \%\right)$ and $2613(98.34 \%)$ were greater than $2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$. The final cell constants of $\mathrm{a}=11.9909(6) \AA, \mathrm{b}=7.4346(4) \AA, \mathrm{c}=13.3364(7)$ $\AA, \beta=115.7460(10)^{\circ}$, volume $=1070.88(10) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZcentroids of 147 reflections above $20 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ with $13.67^{\circ}<2 \theta<59.91^{\circ}$. The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, using the space group $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$, with $\mathrm{Z}=4$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 126 variables converged at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ $=1.89 \%$, for the observed data and $w \mathrm{R}_{2}=5.53 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.379 . The largest peak in the final difference electron density synthesis was $0.621 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-1.677 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ with an RMS deviation of $0.206 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $2.053 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 616 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

Hydrogen atoms were mostly localized on a difference Fourier map, however to ensure uniformity of treatment of crystal, all hydrogen were recalculated into idealized positions (riding model) and assigned temperature factors $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ (pivot atom) with C-H and B-H distances of $1.1 \AA$.

Table S1 Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for 4. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor

|  | $\mathbf{c \|} \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I1 | $0.39772(2)$ | $0.70440(2)$ | $0.53770(2)$ | $0.01834(6)$ |
| C11 | $0.05161(4)$ | $0.73518(7)$ | $0.92019(4)$ | $0.02255(10)$ |
| B1 | $0.78463(17)$ | $0.8683(2)$ | $0.84934(15)$ | $0.0150(3)$ |
| B2 | $0.81303(18)$ | $0.9127(3)$ | $0.73222(16)$ | $0.0177(3)$ |
| B3 | $0.89053(18)$ | $0.7262(2)$ | $0.82726(16)$ | $0.0145(3)$ |
| B4 | $0.77536(17)$ | $0.6345(3)$ | $0.86920(15)$ | $0.0148(3)$ |
| B5 | $0.63681(17)$ | $0.7602(3)$ | $0.80037(16)$ | $0.0144(3)$ |
| B6 | $0.65952(17)$ | $0.9262(2)$ | $0.71893(15)$ | $0.0151(3)$ |
| C9 | $0.65582(15)$ | $0.5590(2)$ | $0.74679(13)$ | $0.0148(3)$ |
| B10 | $0.59340(18)$ | $0.7136(2)$ | $0.65495(16)$ | $0.0142(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.69043(17)$ | $0.8146(2)$ | $0.62125(15)$ | $0.0157(3)$ |
| P8 | $0.80830(4)$ | $0.47697(6)$ | $0.75859(4)$ | $0.01706(10)$ |
| P7 | $0.83665(4)$ | $0.68611(6)$ | $0.65556(4)$ | $0.01806(10)$ |

Table S2 Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for $\mathbf{4}$

| I1-B10 | $2.184(2)$ | C11-B3 | $1.786(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1-B2 | $1.768(3)$ | B1-B4 | $1.769(2)$ |
| B1-B3 | $1.772(3)$ | B1-B6 | $1.787(3)$ |
| B1-B5 | $1.791(3)$ | B1-H1 | 1.12 |
| B2-C11 | $1.730(3)$ | B2-B6 | $1.774(3)$ |
| B2-B3 | $1.836(3)$ | B2-P7 | $2.053(2)$ |
| B2-H2 | 1.12 | B3-B4 | $1.832(3)$ |
| B3-P8 | $2.1117(19)$ | B3-P7 | $2.113(2)$ |
| B4-C9 | $1.732(2)$ | B4-B5 | $1.775(3)$ |
| B4-P8 | $2.0516(18)$ | B4-H4 | 1.12 |
| B5-C9 | $1.715(3)$ | B5-B6 | $1.742(3)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.810(3)$ | B5-H5 | 1.12 |
| B6-C11 | $1.715(2)$ | B6-B10 | $1.807(3)$ |
| B6-H6 | 1.12 | C9-B10 | $1.608(2)$ |
| C9-P8 | $1.8681(17)$ | C9-H9 | $0.91(3)$ |
| B10-C11 | $1.604(3)$ | C11-P7 | $1.8706(19)$ |
| C11-H11 | $0.91(3)$ | P8-P7 | $2.1970(6)$ |

Table S3 Bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) for 4

| B2-B1-B4 | $111.35(13)$ | B2-B1-B3 | $62.45(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B4-B1-B3 | $62.30(10)$ | B2-B1-B6 | $59.85(10)$ |


| B4-B1-B6 | $107.55(13)$ | B3-B1-B6 | $109.94(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B2-B1-B5 | $107.54(13)$ | B4-B1-B5 | $59.81(11)$ |
| B3-B1-B5 | $109.81(13)$ | B6-B1-B5 | $58.26(10)$ |
| B2-B1-H1 | 120.3 | B4-B1-H1 | 120.4 |
| B3-B1-H1 | 119.0 | B6-B1-H1 | 122.6 |
| B5-B1-H1 | 122.7 | C11-B2-B1 | $105.01(13)$ |
| C11-B2-B6 | $58.60(10)$ | B1-B2-B6 | $60.60(10)$ |
| C11-B2-B3 | $105.05(13)$ | B1-B2-B3 | $58.88(10)$ |
| B6-B2-B3 | $107.69(13)$ | C11-B2-P7 | $58.54(8)$ |
| B1-B2-P7 | $114.09(11)$ | B6-B2-P7 | $111.00(12)$ |
| B3-B2-P7 | $65.56(9)$ | C11-B2-H2 | 125.9 |
| B1-B2-H2 | 121.1 | B6-B2-H2 | 121.3 |
| B3-B2-H2 | 121.7 | P7-B2-H2 | 116.7 |
| B1-B3-C11 | $119.95(12)$ | B1-B3-B4 | $58.77(10)$ |
| C11-B3-B4 | $122.24(12)$ | B1-B3-B2 | $58.67(10)$ |
| C11-B3-B2 | $121.42(12)$ | B4-B3-B2 | $105.62(13)$ |
| B1-B3-P8 | $111.22(11)$ | C11-B3-P8 | $119.62(10)$ |
| B4-B3-P8 | $62.22(8)$ | B2-B3-P8 | $111.24(11)$ |
| B1-B3-P7 | $111.12(11)$ | C11-B3-P7 | $119.12(10)$ |
| B4-B3-P7 | $111.24(11)$ | B2-B3-P7 | $62.18(9)$ |
| P8-B3-P7 | $62.67(6)$ | C9-B4-B1 | $104.90(12)$ |
| C9-B4-B5 | $58.52(10)$ | B1-B4-B5 | $60.71(11)$ |
| C9-B4-B3 | $104.94(12)$ | B1-B4-B3 | $58.93(10)$ |
| B5-B4-B3 | $107.85(13)$ | C9-B4-P8 | $58.44(8)$ |
| B1-B4-P8 | $114.14(11)$ | B5-B4-P8 | $110.97(11)$ |
| B3-B4-P8 | $65.60(8)$ | C9-B4-H4 | 126.1 |
| B1-B4-H4 | 121.0 | B5-B4-H4 | 121.2 |
| B3-B4-H4 | 121.7 | P8-B4-H4 | 116.8 |
| C9-B5-B6 | $105.84(13)$ | C9-B5-B4 | $59.49(10)$ |
| B6-B5-B4 | $109.31(13)$ | C9-B5-B1 | $104.70(13)$ |
| B6-B5-B1 | $60.75(11)$ | B4-B5-B1 | $59.48(10)$ |
| C9-B5-B10 | $54.19(10)$ | B6-B5-B10 | $61.15(10)$ |
| B4-B5-B10 | $102.84(13)$ | B1-B5-B10 | $104.77(13)$ |
| C9-B5-H5 | 124.9 | B6-B5-H5 | 120.0 |
| B4-B5-H5 | 122.3 | B1-B5-H5 | 123.0 |
| B10-B5-H5 | 125.6 | C11-B6-B5 | $105.95(13)$ |
| C11-B6-B2 | $59.42(11)$ | B5-B6-B2 | $109.52(13)$ |
| C11-B6-B1 | $104.82(13)$ | B5-B6-B1 | $60.99(11)$ |
| B2-B6-B1 | $59.55(10)$ | C11-B6-B10 | $54.11(10)$ |
| B5-B6-B10 | $61.28(11)$ | B2-B6-B10 | $102.77(13)$ |
| B1-B6-B10 | $105.04(13)$ | C11-B6-H6 | 125.0 |
| B5-B6-H6 | 119.8 | B2-B6-H6 | 122.4 |
| B1-B6-H6 | 122.8 | B10-B6-H6 | 125.5 |
| B10-C9-B5 | $65.92(11)$ | B10-C9-B4 | $114.04(13)$ |
| B5-C9-B4 | $61.99(11)$ | B10-C9-P8 | $114.35(12)$ |
| B5-C9-P8 | $123.511)$ | B4-C9-P8 | $69.36(8)$ |


| B10-C9-H9 | $123.3(16)$ | B5-C9-H9 | $113.9(16)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B4-C9-H9 | $113.2(15)$ | P8-C9-H9 | $110.3(16)$ |
| C11-B10-C9 | $113.19(15)$ | C11-B10-B6 | $60.01(10)$ |
| C9-B10-B6 | $107.56(13)$ | C11-B10-B5 | $107.73(14)$ |
| C9-B10-B5 | $59.89(10)$ | B6-B10-B5 | $57.57(11)$ |
| C11-B10-I1 | $119.91(12)$ | C9-B10-I1 | $121.54(12)$ |
| B6-B10-I1 | $118.69(11)$ | B5-B10-I1 | $119.52(12)$ |
| B10-C11-B6 | $65.88(11)$ | B10-C11-B2 | $114.07(14)$ |
| B6-C11-B2 | $61.98(11)$ | B10-C11-P7 | $114.15(11)$ |
| B6-C11-P7 | $123.37(12)$ | B2-C11-P7 | $69.39(9)$ |
| B10-C11-H11 | $124.1(15)$ | B6-C11-H11 | $113.9(16)$ |
| B2-C11-H11 | $112.4(16)$ | P7-C11-H11 | $110.0(15)$ |
| C9-P8-B4 | $52.20(7)$ | C9-P8-B3 | $90.32(7)$ |
| B4-P8-B3 | $52.18(7)$ | C9-P8-P7 | $97.35(5)$ |
| B4-P8-P7 | $100.15(6)$ | B3-P8-P7 | $58.69(5)$ |
| C11-P7-B2 | $52.07(8)$ | C11-P7-B3 | $90.34(8)$ |
| B2-P7-B3 | $52.26(8)$ | C11-P7-P8 | $97.54(6)$ |
| B2-P7-P8 | $100.19(6)$ | B3-P7-P8 | $58.64(5)$ |

Table S4 Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA 2$ ) for 4. The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h_{k ~ a}{ }^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I1 | $0.01330(8)$ | $0.02104(9)$ | $0.01519(8)$ | $0.00033(3)$ | $0.00108(6)$ | $0.00131(3)$ |
| C11 | $0.0128(2)$ | $0.0279(2)$ | $0.0216(2)$ | $-0.00378(17)$ | $0.00248(17)$ | $0.00061(16)$ |
| B1 | $0.0167(8)$ | $0.0135(8)$ | $0.0143(8)$ | $-0.0011(6)$ | $0.0065(7)$ | $0.0006(6)$ |
| B2 | $0.0181(9)$ | $0.0164(8)$ | $0.0200(9)$ | $0.0014(7)$ | $0.0095(7)$ | $-0.0009(7)$ |
| B3 | $0.0130(8)$ | $0.0160(8)$ | $0.0139(8)$ | $-0.0009(6)$ | $0.0051(7)$ | $0.0009(6)$ |
| B4 | $0.0150(8)$ | $0.0166(9)$ | $0.0118(7)$ | $0.0004(6)$ | $0.0051(6)$ | $-0.0002(7)$ |
| B5 | $0.0134(8)$ | $0.0162(8)$ | $0.0135(8)$ | $0.0010(7)$ | $0.0057(7)$ | $0.0003(7)$ |
| B6 | $0.0157(8)$ | $0.0144(8)$ | $0.0157(8)$ | $0.0003(6)$ | $0.0073(7)$ | $0.0012(6)$ |
| C9 | $0.0152(7)$ | $0.0125(7)$ | $0.0143(7)$ | $0.0005(6)$ | $0.0042(6)$ | $-0.0019(6)$ |
| B10 | $0.0131(9)$ | $0.0161(9)$ | $0.0111(8)$ | $0.0004(6)$ | $0.0033(7)$ | $0.0010(6)$ |
| C11 | $0.0160(8)$ | $0.0188(8)$ | $0.0120(8)$ | $0.0044(6)$ | $0.0058(6)$ | $0.0032(6)$ |
| P8 | $0.0184(2)$ | $0.01265(19)$ | $0.0165(2)$ | $-0.00144(15)$ | $0.00422(17)$ | $0.00343(15)$ |
| P7 | $0.0179(2)$ | $0.0244(2)$ | $0.0140(2)$ | $-0.00045(15)$ | $0.00891(18)$ | $0.00355(16)$ |

Table S5 Hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for $\mathbf{4}$

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y / b}$ | $\mathbf{z / c}$ | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | 0.8203 | 0.9620 | 0.9224 | 0.018 |
| H2 | 0.8647 | 1.0360 | 0.7283 | 0.021 |
| H4 | 0.8025 | 0.5773 | 0.9543 | 0.018 |
| H5 | 0.5710 | 0.7840 | 0.8382 | 0.017 |
| H6 | 0.6082 | 1.0567 | 0.7046 | 0.018 |
| H9 | $0.612(2)$ | $0.467(4)$ | $0.757(2)$ | $0.027(6)$ |
| H11 | $0.669(2)$ | $0.877(3)$ | $0.557(2)$ | $0.024(6)$ |

Computational details. Magnetic shielding was calculated using the GIAO-MP2 method incorporated into Gaussian $09^{\{3\}}$ utilizing the IGLO-II basis with the MP2/cc-pVTZ geometry. Electrostatic potentials were computed at the HF/cc-pVDZ level (for I basis set in Ref. \{4\}) using Gaussian09 and Molekel4.3 ${ }^{\{5\}}$ programs. It has recently been shown that this basis set size is sufficient for these purposes. ${ }^{\{6\}}$

Interaction energy $(\Delta E)$ values were calculated for all pairwise interactions the crystal structures of 4. All hydrogen atoms were optimized using DFT-D3/BLYP/DZVP method prior the energy calculations. ${ }^{\{7\}} \Delta E$ were evaluated at MP2.5/CBS using the Turbomole $6.6^{\{8\}}$ and Cuby $4^{\{9\}}$ programs. MP2.5/CBS was calculated as the sum of MP2/CBS energy and MP2.5 correction. MP2/CBS was estimated by the extrapolation from cc-pVTZ to cc-pVQZ (for I atoms cc-pVTZ-PP basis set with pseudopotential was used). ${ }^{\{10\}}$ The MP2.5 correction term was calculated using the aug-cc-pVDZ basis set. Counterpoise corrections for basis set superposition error (BSSE) were used for all energy calculations.
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