# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

### Molecular design toward suppressing electron recombination and

### enhancing light-absorbing ability of dye for sensitized solar cell:

## A theoretical investigation

Ping Li, Chongping Song, Zhixiang Wang, Jiaqi Li, and Houyu Zhang\*

State key laboratory of supramolecular structure and materials, Institute of theoretical chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, P. R. China

E-mail: houyuzhang@jlu.edu.cn

#### **Contents:**

Fig. S1 Structures of dye-TiO<sub>2</sub> complex for 1 and 2.

Fig. S2 Calculated energy levels for  $(TiO_2)_{16}$  cluster and dyes 1 and 2.

Fig. S3 Calculated LUMO levels for the dyes 2, 3T and 4T.

Fig. S4 Optimized structures of all the designed dyes.

Fig. S5 Calculated energy levels of HOMO for the series dyes A, B and D.

Fig. S6 Spatial distributions of HOMO for some selected dyes.

**Table S1** Calculated HOMO energy levels of dyes **1** and **2** with different functionals in dichloromethane  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  solution.

**Table S2** Calculated maximum absorption wavelengths ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) of dyes 1 and 2 with different functionals in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution.

**Table S3** Computed  $Q_{HOMO}$ , key parameters related to light absorption properties and the lifetimes of the S<sub>1</sub> excited state for the designed dyes 3**T**, 4**T** and reference dye **2**.

**Table S4** Computed energy levels of LUMO, the lifetimes  $\tau$  of the S<sub>1</sub> excited state, and dominant excitations corresponding to S<sub>0</sub> $\rightarrow$  S<sub>1</sub> for the series dyes A-F.

**Table S5** Calculated  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Delta G^0$ , EXP and  $\frac{exp}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$  values for reference dye **2** and dyes **A1**, **B1** and **C1**.



The geometry of dye-TiO<sub>2</sub> (neutral TiO<sub>2</sub>, neutral dye) is obtained from attaching dye with TiO<sub>2</sub> cluster followed by optimization. The structure of dye<sup>+</sup>-TiO<sub>2</sub> (neutral TiO<sub>2</sub>, cationic dye), a pre-optimized cationic dye is fitted into pre-optimized dye-TiO<sub>2</sub> without further optimization.

**Fig. S1** Structures of dye-TiO<sub>2</sub> complex for (a) $1^+$ -TiO<sub>2</sub> and  $2^+$ -TiO<sub>2</sub>.



The optimization of  $(TiO_2)_{16}$  was done at PBE0/6-31G(d, p) level with Gaussian program. The calculated LUMO energy levels of the dyes are above the conduction band of  $(TiO_2)_{16}$ , and the HOMOs of the dyes are in the gap of  $(TiO_2)_{16}$ , thus we can use the cluster for electronic processes responsible for DSSC functionality.

Fig. S2 Calculated energy levels for  $(TiO_2)_{16}$  cluster and dyes 1 and 2.



Fig. S3 Calculated LUMO levels for the dyes 2, 3T and 4T.







Fig. S4 Optimized structures of all the designed dyes from top and side views.



Fig. S5 Calculated energy levels of HOMO for the series dyes A, B and D.



Fig. S6 Spatial distributions of HOMO of some selected dyes (Saturated H atoms are not shown).

**Table S1** Calculated HOMO energy levels of dyes 1 and 2 in dichloromethane  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  with functionals of B3LYP, PBE0 and PBE38, and corresponding experimental values. (Unit: eV)

	B3LYP (20% HF <sub>exc</sub> )	PBE0 (25% HF <sub>exc</sub> )	PBE38 (37.5% HF <sub>exc</sub> )	Exp.
1	-4.81	-5.05	-5.47	-5.22
2	-4.75	-4.93	-5.44	-5.14

**Table S2** Effects of the functional used on the maximum absorption wavelengths  $(\lambda_{max}, nm)$  of dyes **1** and **2** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution, with available experimental results. The values denote the percentage of nonlocal Hartree-Fock exchange (HF<sub>exc</sub>) in parentheses.

	B3LYP (20%)	PBE0 (25%)	PBE0- 1/3	MPW1K (42.8%)	BHandHLYP (50%)	CAM- B3LYP (19-	ωB97XD (22-	Exp.
			(33.3%)			65%)	100%)	
1	900	809	693	608	570	548	519	570
2	777	697	602	537	509	491	468	515

**Table S3** Computed  $Q_{HOMO}$ , maximum absorption wavelengths ( $\lambda_{max}$ , nm), excitation energies ( $E_{\lambda max}$ , eV), oscillator strengths (f), light harvesting efficiency ( $\Phi_{LHE}$ ), normalized integrated spectral area (S) and the lifetimes  $\tau$  of the S<sub>1</sub> excited state for the designed dyes **3T**, **4T** and reference dye **2**.

Compd.	Q <sub>HOMO</sub> (%)	$\lambda_{max}$	$E_{\lambda max}$	f	$\Phi_{\text{LHE}}$	S	$\tau(\times 10^{-9} s)$
2	0.25	509	2.43	1.84	0.99	1	2.13
<b>3</b> T	0.14	519	2.39	2.20	0.99	1.29	1.84
<b>4</b> T	0.08	519	2.39	2.57	0.99	1.55	1.58

**Table S4** Computed energy levels of LUMO, the lifetimes  $\tau$  of the S<sub>1</sub> excited state and dominant excitations corresponding to S<sub>0</sub> $\rightarrow$  S<sub>1</sub> for the series dyes A-F.

Compd.	LUMO	$E_{\lambda max}$	$r^2$	$\tau(\times 10^{-9} s)$	Transition and composition
A1	-2.77	2.24	33.54	2.49	$H \rightarrow L (0.68); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.18)$
A2	-2.75	2.27	32.74	2.47	H→L (0.71); H-1→L (0.17)
A3	-2.86	2.44	30.76	2.10	H→L (0.58); H-1→L (0.29)
<b>B1</b>	-2.82	2.31	32.34	2.36	H→L (0.64); H-1→L (0.21)
<b>B2</b>	-2.83	2.30	31.26	2.48	H→L (0.66); H-1→L (0.19)
<b>B3</b>	-2.88	2.42	31.74	2.09	H→L (0.58); H-1→L (0.27)
<b>C1</b>	-2.83	2.41	31.04	2.19	$H \rightarrow L (0.53); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.32)$

<b>C2</b>	-2.81	2.41	31.24	2.16	$H \rightarrow L (0.61); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.24)$
<b>C3</b>	-2.80	2.32	32.70	2.31	$H \rightarrow L (0.64); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.21)$
D1	-2.76	2.15	35.57	2.68	$H \rightarrow L (0.73); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.14)$
D2	-2.77	2.19	32.39	2.77	$H \rightarrow L (0.74); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.15)$
D3	-2.90	2.44	31.89	2.03	$H \rightarrow L (0.61); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.26)$
<b>E1</b>	-2.80	2.25	32.89	2.52	$H \rightarrow L (0.66); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.19)$
<b>E2</b>	-2.83	2.24	36.61	2.58	$H \rightarrow L (0.68); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.17)$
<b>E3</b>	-2.78	2.24	33.26	2.53	$H \rightarrow L (0.71); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.15)$
<b>E4</b>	-2.76	2.17	34.10	2.69	$H \rightarrow L (0.72); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.14)$
<b>F1</b>	-2.74	2.10	36.27	2.81	$H \rightarrow L (0.73); H-1 \rightarrow L (0.14)$

**Table S5** Calculated  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Delta G^0$ , EXP and  $\frac{exp}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$  values for reference dye **2** and dyes **A1**, **B1** and **C1**.

Dye	$\Lambda_{in}$	$\Lambda_{out}$	Λ	$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{0}}$	EXP	$rac{exp}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$
2	0.114	0.544	0.658	-0.712	0.990	1.22
A1	0.115	0.508	0.623	-0.594	0.987	1.25
<b>B1</b>	0.096	0.509	0.605	-0.577	0.987	1.27
C1	0.114	0.514	0.628	-0.553	0.916	1.16

#### On the calculation of the lifetime $(\tau)$ of the $S_1$ excited state

The lifetime of spontaneous luminescence for the dyes are evaluated approximately by the formula:<sup>1, 2</sup>

$$\tau = \frac{1}{A_{k,k'}}, A_{k,k'} = \frac{4e^{2}\Delta E_{k,k'}^{3}}{3\hbar^{4}c^{3}} \left| r_{k,k'} \right|^{2}$$

where  $A_{k,k'}$  is Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission, *e* is the elementary charge,  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck's constant, and *c* is the speed of light in vacuum,  $\Delta E_{k,k'}$  and  $r_{k,k'}$  represent the transition energy and transition dipole moment from states k to k', respectively.

#### **References:**

1 L.-N. Yang, Z.-Z. Sun, S.-L. Chen and Z.-S. Li, *Dyes Pigments*, 2013, **99**, 29 – 35. 2 S.-L. Chen, L.-N. Yang and Z.-S. Li, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **223**, 86 – 93