



Figure S1. Zeta potential of P(ANI-co-IN)/CNT-COOH and P(ANI-co-IN)/GO in different pH.

The pH of the dye solution plays an important role in the whole adsorption process, since there are several charging characteristics of ionic-type adsorbents under different pH conditions leading to variant affinity to contaminants.

Before monitoring of the pH effect on cationic dyes adsorption, the zeta potentials of the carbon based nanoadsorbents have been studied in the pH range from 2.0 to 8.0 and supplied in figure S1. Zeta potential can be used to determine acidity or basicity of the adsorbent surfaces. It is found that the zeta potentials of both nanoadsorbents are highly negative and by enhancing pH, the negative charges would be boosted. The negative potential shows oxygen-containing functional groups on the surfaces of GO and CNT with negative charges.

Table S1. Effect of pH, Dosage, Time and Initial dye concentration on Percentages of removal adsorption with error bars.

P(ANI-co-IN)/GO/MG

| pH | Removal (%) | Error bar | Dosage | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 2 | 35 | 1.3 | 0.05 | 75 | 0.8 |
| 4 | 60 | 1 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 99.795 | 1.01 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.45 |
| 8 | 99.84 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 99.5 | 0.45 |
| | | | 0.5 | 99.5 | 0.35 |

| C ₀ | Removal (%) | Error bar | t(min) | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 20 | 96 | 0.1 | 60 | 65 | 0.2 |
| 40 | 93.5 | 1.3 | 120 | 77.7 | 0.4 |
| 60 | 87.9 | 0 | 240 | 91.22 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 81.3875 | 0.1 | 360 | 96.4 | 0.9 |
| 100 | 76.4 | 0.27 | 720 | 98.95 | 0.18 |
| | | | 1440 | 98.9555 | 0.2 |

P(ANI-co-IN)/GO/MB

| pH | Removal (%) | Error bar | Dosage | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 2 | 21.2 | 1.2 | 0.05 | 70 | 0.2 |
| 4 | 52 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 80.67 | 0.21 |
| 6 | 91.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 89 | 0.19 |
| 8 | 98.95 | 1.02 | 0.4 | 99.1 | 0.1 |
| | | | 0.5 | 99.2 | 0.25 |

| C ₀ | Removal (%) | Error bar | t(min) | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 20 | 95 | 0.2 | 60 | 51 | 0.4 |
| 40 | 91.5 | 0.35 | 120 | 67.3 | 0.21 |
| 60 | 85.83333 | 0.38 | 240 | 78.8 | 0.3 |
| 80 | 80 | 0.25 | 360 | 91 | 1 |
| 100 | 72 | 0.1 | 720 | 98 | 0.21 |
| | | | 1440 | 98 | 0.16 |

P(ANI-co-IN)/CNT-COOH/MB

| pH | Removal (%) | Error bar | Dosage | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 2 | 30 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 75 | 0.125 |
| 4 | 58 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 80 | 0.2 |
| 6 | 98.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.1 |
| 8 | 99.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 98.9 | 0.15 |
| | | | 0.5 | 99 | 0.1 |

| C ₀ | Removal (%) | Error bar | t(min) | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 20 | 95.5 | 0.3 | 60 | 52.3 | 0.11 |
| 40 | 92 | 0.2 | 120 | 75.5 | 0.42 |
| 60 | 86 | 0.3 | 240 | 86 | 0.27 |
| 80 | 80.4375 | 0.1 | 360 | 95.5 | 1 |
| 100 | 75.655 | 0.1 | 720 | 98.1 | 0.34 |
| | | | 1440 | 98.111 | 0.14 |

P(ANI-co-IN)/CNT-COOH/MG

| pH | Removal (%) | Error bar | Dosage | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 2 | 20.2 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 71 | 0.1 |
| 4 | 45 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 82.56 | 0.45 |
| 6 | 89 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 90 | 0.15 |
| 8 | 97.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 98.5 | 0.4 |
| | | | 0.5 | 99 | 0.35 |

| C ₀ | Removal (%) | Error bar | t(min) | Removal (%) | Error bar |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| 20 | 94.5 | 0.1 | 60 | 50 | 0.19 |
| 40 | 90 | 0.4 | 120 | 62.4 | 0.34 |
| 60 | 85 | 0.1 | 240 | 72.9 | 0.41 |
| 80 | 78.75 | 0.2 | 360 | 88.4 | 1.1 |
| 100 | 74 | 0.3 | 720 | 97.55 | 0.2 |
| | | | 1440 | 97.6 | 0.38 |