Supporting Information

## High crystallization of multiple cation perovskite absorber for low-temperature stable ZnO solar cells with high-efficiency over 20%

Xuemei Dong<sup>a,b</sup>, Dong Chen<sup>a</sup>, Junshuai Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yanzhen Zheng<sup>b,\*</sup>, Xia Tao<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organic-Inorganic Composites Beijing University of Chemical Technology

<sup>a</sup>State Key Laboratory of Organic-Inorganic Composites

Beijing University of Chemical Technology

15 Beisanhuan East Road, Beijing, 100029, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Research Center of the Ministry of Education for High Gravity Engineering &

Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology

15 Beisanhuan East Road, Beijing, 100029, P. R. China

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +86-10-6445-3680 Fax: +86-10-6443-4784



Figure S1 *J-V* curves of the  $FA_{1-x}Cs_xPbI_3$  perovskite PSCs with different Cs contents (x values).

	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
x=0.05	1.12	19.66	64.34	14.17
x=0.1	1.11	20.36	70.60	15.96
x=0.15	1.12	21.40	69.69	16.70
x=0.2	1.10	19.56	68.95	14.48
x=0.25	1.10	19.50	63.44	13.61

**Table S1** Photovoltaic parameters of the  $FA_{1-x}Cs_xPbI_3$  PSCs with different Cs contents (x values).



Figure S2 Magnified XRD patterns of the (101) lattice plane of  $MA_yFA_{0.85-x}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$ thin films with various MA contents (y values).



Figure S3 *J-V* curves of the  $MA_yFA_{0.85-y}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$  perovskite PSCs with different MA contents (y values).

	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
y=0.05	1.12	22.72	72.04	18.33
y=0.1	1.13	23.15	76.81	20.09
y=0.15	1.13	23.08	74.94	19.54
y=0.25	1.12	22.81	71.40	18.24

**Table S2** Photovoltaic parameters of the  $MA_yFA_{0.85-y}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$  PSCs with different MA contents (y values).



Figure S4 EDS mapping of  $MA_{0.1}FA_{0.75}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$  films on ZnO layer.

Samples	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1	1.07	20.01 59.00		12.63
2	1.11	19.53	61.23	13.27
3	1.11	18.65	65.10	13.48
4	1.12	18.76	62.98	13.23
5	1.11	19.59	64.32	13.99
6	1.12	19.13	63.18	13.54
7	1.12	19.33	63.69	13.79
8	1.11	19.63	63.54	13.84
9	1.12	18.79	63.84	13.44
10	1.10	18.66	63.75	13.09
11	1.11	18.92	64.11	13.46
12	1.13	17.65	64.32	12.83
13	1.11	18.46	63.21	12.95
14	1.12	18.76	60.11	12.63
15	1.10	18.32	67.11	13.52
16	1.10	17.68	65.31	12.70
17	1.11	17.31	64.77	12.44
18	1.12	18.76	63.98	13.44
19	1.12	19.24	63.78	13.74
20	1.10	17.11	54.00	10.16
21	1.10	19.34	63.82	13.58
22	1.08	19.63	63.11	13.38
23	1.11	18.35	64.01	13.04
24	1.09	19.65	62.75	13.44
25	1.11	18.91	63.11	13.25
26	1.10	18.74	63.33	13.05
27	1.12	18.26	64.10	13.11
28	1.14	19.42	60.55	13.41
29	1.11	18.79	63.21	13.18
30	1.09	19.22	56.00	11.73
Average	1.11±0.01	18.82±0.71	62.84±2.65	13.11±0.73

**Table S3** Short-circuit current density ( $J_{sc}$ ), open-circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), fill factor (*FF*) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 30 perovskite solar cells employing FAPbI<sub>3</sub>. The data were obtained under AM 1.5G one sun illumination (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>)

**Table S4** Short-circuit current density ( $J_{sc}$ ), open-circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), fill factor (*FF*) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 30 perovskite solar cells employing FA<sub>0.85</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>. The data were obtained under AM 1.5G one sun illumination (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Samples	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1	1.08	21.40	68.69	15.88
2	1.09	20.35	67.98	15.08
3	1.11	21.46	67.10	15.98
4	1.12	21.21	67.65	16.07
5	1.12	20.98	67.35	15.83
6	1.10	20.95	70.02	16.14
7	1.13	20.76	67.86	15.92
8	1.12	21.10	67.31	15.91
9	1.12	21.13	65.12	15.41
10	1.09	21.03	69.31	15.89
11	1.11	21.34	66.98	15.87
12	1.11	22.12	64.96	15.95
13	1.13	20.43	69.12	15.96
14	1.12	21.70	65.98	16.04
15	1.12	21.50	64.65	15.57
16	1.10	21.01	70.10	16.20
17	1.12	20.69	63.99	14.83
18	1.11	21.50	69.89	16.68
19	1.10	21.03	60.32	13.95
20	1.10	22.03	66.98	16.23
21	1.11	21.30	66.98	15.84
22	1.12	21.87	67.12	16.44
23	1.11	20.97	68.11	15.85
24	1.13	20.34	67.67	15.55
25	1.12	20.65	70.01	16.19
26	1.09	21.89	67.10	16.01
27	1.11	21.94	65.13	15.86
28	1.12	21.30	69.32	16.54
29	1.12	21.10	67.99	16.07
30	1.09	20.97	63.78	14.58
Average	$1.11 \pm 0.01$	21.2±0.48	67.15±2.20	$15.81 \pm 0.57$

**Table S5** Short-circuit current density ( $J_{sc}$ ), open-circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), fill factor (*FF*) and power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 30 perovskite solar cells employing MA<sub>0.1</sub>FA<sub>0.75</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub>. The data were obtained under AM 1.5G one sun illumination (100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>)

Samples	Voc (V)	$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1	1.12	22.74	74.81	19.05
2	1.12	22.83	74.65	19.09
3	1.12	22.78	75.10	19.16
4	1.13	22.89	76.81	19.87
5	1.12	23.40	76.51	20.05
6	1.12	22.89	75.64	19.39
7	1.11	22.76	75.11	18.98
8	1.13	23.31	75.63	19.92
9	1.13	23.24	75.92	19.94
10	1.12	22.73	75.43	19.20
11	1.12	22.57	74.86	18.92
12	1.12	22.78	75.25	19.20
13	1.12	22.45	75.57	19.00
14	1.13	22.54	74.16	18.89
15	1.13	23.62	76.20	20.34
16	1.12	23.59	75.58	19.97
17	1.14	22.73	76.22	19.75
18	1.12	22.70	72.36	18.40
19	1.15	22.72	75.52	19.73
20	1.13	23.11	74.43	19.44
21	1.13	23.35	74.34	19.61
22	1.13	22.98	76.12	19.77
23	1.13	23.05	76.09	19.82
24	1.14	22.78	75.89	19.71
25	1.14	22.80	75.86	19.72
26	1.13	23.13	75.67	19.78
27	1.13	22.79	75.98	19.57
28	1.13	22.95	75.95	19.70
29	1.13	22.89	76.10	19.68
30	1.13	22.87	72.05	18.62
Average	1.13±0.01	22.93±0.29	75.33±1.07	19.48±0.46

Solvent of  $V_{\rm oc}({\rm V})$  $J_{\rm sc}$  (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) *FF* (%) **PCE (%)** perovskite Ref. precursor DMF: DMSO 10 1.13±0.25 22.69±0.75  $74.8 \pm 0.018$ 19.20±0.91 (4:1)Our DMSO  $1.13 \pm 0.01$  $22.93 \pm 0.29$ 75.33±1.07 19.48±0.46 work

**Table S6** Comprehensive comparison of the solvent of perovskite precursor and reproducibility between our work and the other reported mixed-cation perovskite solar cell.



Figure S5 The long-term (a)  $V_{oc}$  (b)  $J_{sc}$  (c) FF of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>, FA<sub>0.85</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> and MA<sub>0.1</sub>FA<sub>0.75</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> devices without encapsulation stored in the ambient environment with relative humidity of 40–60% at room temperature.

**Table S7** Fit results of the TR-PL spectra in Figure 8a. The decay curves were fitted with a tri-exponential function as PL intensity =  $A + \Sigma B_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$ , and the relative content (A<sub>i</sub>) of each lifetime constant ( $\tau_i$ ) was calculated by  $A_i = B_i \tau_i / (\Sigma B_i \tau_i)$ . The average PL lifetime constants ( $\bar{\tau}$ ) are calculated by  $\bar{\tau} = A_1 \tau_1 + A_2 \tau_2 + A_3 \tau_3$ .

Sample	$\tau_1(ns)$	A <sub>1</sub> (%)	$ au_2$ (ns)	A <sub>2</sub> (%)	<b>τ</b> 3 (ns)	A <sub>3</sub> (%)	$ au_{avg}(ns)$
FAPbI <sub>3</sub>	6.29	3.27	47.43	23.46	110.30	73.27	92.15
$FA_{0.85}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$	7.11	8.68	40.74	42.13	107.08	49.19	70.45
MA <sub>0.1</sub> FA <sub>0.75</sub> Cs <sub>0.15</sub> PbI <sub>3</sub>	8.74	11.35	46.15	63.80	105.36	24.85	56.62



Figure S6 SS-PL of FAPbI<sub>3</sub>, FA<sub>0.85</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> and MA<sub>0.1</sub>FA<sub>0.75</sub>Cs<sub>0.15</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> perovskite films.



Figure S7 The equivalent circuit model for PSCs in EIS under dark condition.

Sample	$R_{s}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm rec}(\Omega)$
FAPbI <sub>3</sub>	28.78	282.7
$FA_{0.85}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$	26.39	499.8
$MA_{0.1}FA_{0.75}Cs_{0.15}PbI_{3} \\$	23.01	1116.0

**Table S8** EIS parameters for the PSCs under dark condition.



Figure S8 Plots of  $R_{rec}$  at different applied biases extracted from impedance measurements of the three devices under dark conditions.



Figure S9 The equivalent circuit model for PSCs in EIS under AM 1.5G illumination.

Sample	$\boldsymbol{R}_{s}(\Omega)$	$R_{\rm co}(\Omega)$	$\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{rec}}(\Omega)$
FAPbI <sub>3</sub>	23.55	214.9	1551
$FA_{0.85}Cs_{0.15}PbI_3$	23.80	305.0	1877
$MA_{0.1}FA_{0.75}Cs_{0.15}PbI_{3} \\$	32.67	331.8	5361

**Table S9** EIS parameters for the PSCs under AM 1.5G illumination.