## Graphene oxide size and oxidation degree govern its

## supramolecular interactions with siRNA

Giacomo Reina, \*<sup>a</sup> Ngoc Do Quyen Chau, <sup>a</sup> Yuta Nishina, <sup>b,c</sup> Alberto Bianco \* <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Strasbourg, CNRS, Immunopathology and therapeutic chemistry, UPR 3572, 67000 Strasbourg, France. E-mail : <u>a.bianco@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr</u>, <u>g.reina@ibmc-cnrs.unistra.fr</u>

<sup>b</sup>Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan. <sup>c</sup>Research Core for Interdisciplinary Sciences, Okayama University, Tsushimanaka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

## Electronic Supplementary Information



Figure S1. Lateral size distribution calculated by TEM, on the inset TEM image of GO<sub>s</sub>.



**Figure S2.** TGA spectra of the different graphene derivatives of  $GO_s$ .  $GO_s$  in black (a),  $rGO_s$  in red (b), and  $rGO_s$ - $O_3$  in blue (c).



**Figure S3.** XPS spectra of different graphene derivatives of  $GO_s$  and their corresponding PEI functionalization: a)  $GO_s$  in black (a),  $rGO_s$  in red (b), and  $rGO_s-O_3$  in blue (c); b)  $GO_s$ -PEI in black (a),  $rGO_s$ -PEI in red (b), and  $rGO_s-O_3$ -PEI in blue (c) The C(1s) photoelectron binding energy was set at 284.5 ± 0.2 eV and used as reference for calibrating the other peak positions.



Figure S4. Deconvolution of the C(1s) peak for GO<sub>s</sub> (a), rGO<sub>s</sub> (b), and rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> (c).



**Figure S5.** TGA spectra of PEI functionalized GO: a)  $GO_s$  in black (a),  $GO_s$ -PEI in red (b); b) rGO<sub>s</sub> in black (a), rGO<sub>s</sub>-PEI in red (b); c) rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> in black (a), rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub>-PEI in red (b). GO displays a typical thermogram with degradation in three steps. The significant weight loss below 100 °C is ascribed to mainly the desorption water. PEI decomposition was recorded above 300 °C. We estimate a functionalization between 6 and 10% in weight of PEI for the GO<sub>L</sub>, similar to that estimated for GO<sub>s</sub> [1].



**Figure S6.** High resolution XRD spectra of the GO<sub>s</sub> series. On the left: a) GO<sub>s</sub> (black line), b) rGO<sub>s</sub> (red line), and (c) rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> (blue line); on the right: a) GO<sub>s</sub>-PEI (black line), b) rGO<sub>s</sub>-PEI (red line), and (c) rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub>-PEI (blue line).















Figure S7. Complexation of different graphene derivatives of GO<sub>S</sub> (first column) and their corresponding PEI functionalized (second column): row a) GO<sub>s</sub>, row b) rGO<sub>s</sub>, row c) rGO<sub>s</sub>-O<sub>3</sub>. Top: image of the electrophoresis gel; bottom: histograms showing the free siRNA signal at different GO/siRNA mass ratios.



**Figure S8.** HPLC analysis of Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup>647 labelled siRNA: blue curve corresponds to native siRNA, red curve correspond to the siRNA denaturated by formaldehyde, and black curve corresponds to siRNA after incubation with GO<sub>s</sub>.



**Figure S9.** a) Calibration curve of Yakima Yellow signal at 522 nm; b) calibration curve of siRNA signal a 260 nm.



**Figure S10** Emission spectra of a) 3'-Cy3 (black line) and 3'-5'-Cy3/Cy5 (red line) labelled untouched siRNA and b) after incubation with  $GO_{s.}$  c) Emission spectra of 5'-Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup>546 (black line) and 5'-5'-Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup>546/ Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup>647 (red line) labelled untouched siRNA and d) after incubation with  $GO_{s.}$  Mass ratio siRNA/GO corresponds to 1:20. Excitation wavelegth 523 nm.

Table S1. N atomic % of PEI functionalized GO	calculated from the XPS peak N1s at 400 eV.

	Small GO		
	Starting	Hydrothermal	Re-epoxidation
PEI-functionalized	GO <sub>s</sub> -PEI	rGO <sub>s</sub> -PEI	rGO <sub>s</sub> -O <sub>3</sub> -PEI
N atomic %	7.4 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	10.1 ± 0.1

NOTE: The values for large GO<sub>L</sub> are reported in our previous publication [1].

**Table S2.** [002] Calculated distance from XRD using Bragg law,  $\lambda = 1.541$ Å.

Sample	XRD max. (2θ°)	Distance (Å)
GOs	11.6	7.6
rGO <sub>S</sub>	12.9	6.8
rGO <sub>S</sub> -O <sub>3</sub>	12.8	6.9
GO <sub>S</sub> -PEI	9.5	9.3
rGO <sub>s</sub> -PEI	9.5	9.3
rGO <sub>S</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -PEI	9.5	9.3

Equations (1) and (2):

$$E = 1 - \frac{A_D I_{DA}}{A_{DA} I_D}$$
(1)  $E = \frac{R_0^0}{R^6 + R_0^6}$ (2)

 $A_D$  and  $A_{DA}$  are the UV absorbance at the excitation wavelength of the donor (535 nm) alone and of the donor in the presence of the acceptor, respectively, while  $I_D$  and  $I_{DA}$  are the fluorescence intensities of the solution of the donor alone and the donor in the presence of the acceptor at the maximum (570 nm for Alexa Fluor<sup>®</sup>546, and 565 nm for Cy<sup>®</sup>3). The FRET distances (R) have been calculated following equation (2), where R is the distance between the donor and the acceptor, and  $R_0$  is the Förster radius distance.

## References

[1] N. D. Q. Chau, G. Reina, J. Raya, I. A. Vacchi, C. Ménard-Moyon, Y. Nishina and A. Bianco, *Carbon*, 2017, **122**, 643–652.