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Figure S1. UV-vis spectrum of TMV-tPA. Based on ratio between the absorbance at 646 nm ( $\lambda_{\max }$ of sCy 5 ) and 260 nm ( $\lambda_{\max }$ of TMV), it is determined that $108.6 \pm 11.8 \mathrm{sCy} 5$ molecules were conjugated to one TMV particle on average.

Table S1. Densitometric analysis for the determination of tPA loading.

|  | Band density [AU] |  |  | Fitting equation | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{tPA} / \\ 20 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{TMV} * \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{tPA} /$ mg TMV | Number of tPA/TMV** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tPA $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ | tPA $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ | TMVcp-tPA |  |  |  |  |
| Batch 1 | 4890.12 | 21621.18 | 4965.09 | $y=0.0002^{*} x$ | 0.993 | 49.651 | 27.946 |
| Batch 2 | 5733.46 | 23046.64 | 12838.65 | $y=0.0002^{*} x$ | 2.568 | 128.386 | 72.263 |
| Batch 3 | 8599.41 | 28088.54 | 14702.71 | $y=0.0002^{*} x$ | 2.941 | 147.027 | 82.755 |
| Batch 4 | 8659.19 | 23928.87 | 14377.45 | $\begin{gathered} \hline y=0.0003^{*} x \\ -1.268 \end{gathered}$ | 3.044 | 152.246 | 85.693 |
| Batch 5 | 8070.77 | 23826.47 | 16351.43 | $\begin{gathered} y=0.0003^{*} x \\ -1.049 \end{gathered}$ | 3.854 | 192.821 | 108.531 |
| Batch 6 | 8070.77 | 23826.47 | 14494.36 | $\begin{gathered} y=0.0003^{*} x \\ -1.049 \end{gathered}$ | 3.299 | 164.965 | 92.852 |
| Batch 7 | 10188.02 | 19865.11 | 12712.88 | $\begin{gathered} y=0.0004^{*} x \\ -3.211 \end{gathered}$ | 1.874 | 93.698 | 52.738 |
| Batch 8 | 10188.02 | 19865.11 | 16761.13 | $\begin{gathered} y=0.0004^{*} x \\ -3.211 \end{gathered}$ | 3.493 | 174.663 | 98.310 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 77.64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | SD | 26.25 |

[^0]
[^0]:    * calculated using the fitting equation
    ** calculated using MW $\mathrm{MPA}_{\text {tPA }}=57 \mathrm{kDa}$ and $\mathrm{MW}_{\text {TMV }}=39400 \mathrm{kDa}$

