## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

## Single and Polycrystalline CeO<sub>2</sub> Nanorods as Oxygen–Electrode Materials for Lithium–Oxygen Batteries

Myeong-Chang Sung, ‡ Gwang-Hee Lee, ‡ and Dong-Wan Kim\*

School of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, Korea University, Seoul 136-713, Korea

*‡ These authors contributed equally to this article.* 

\* Tel: +82-2-3290-4863, E-mail: dwkim1@korea.ac.kr (D.-W. Kim)



**Fig. S1** TEM image of (a, b)  $CeO_2$  NPs at the beginning of the reaction (0h), (c, d) PC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NRs at the reaction time of 0.5h, and (e, f) SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NRs at the reaction time of 1h.



**Fig. S2** (a) XRD patterns of CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs (t = 0h), PC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NRs (t = 0.5h) and SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NRs (t = 1h). (b) Magnified XRD patterns of red rectangle in (a).

	Intensity (311)	Intensity (222)	I <sub>(311)</sub> / I <sub>(222)</sub>
0h	58.4	22.3	2.6
0.5h	142.0	46.3	3.1
1h	174.0	46.3	3.8

Table S1. The degree of preferential orientation for CeO<sub>2</sub> materials from the XRD patterns.



Fig. S3 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption curves for (a) PC-CeO<sub>2</sub> and (b) SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NRs.



**Fig. S4** (a)  $1^{st}$  discharge-charge curve of SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR at a current rate of 500 mA g<sup>-1</sup>. (b-e) Li 1s XPS spectra of SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR at different stages in the  $1^{st}$  cycle, corresponding to stage I -IV in (a), respectively.



Fig. S5 FESEM images of (a) discharged and (b) charged SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR electrodes.



**Fig. S6** (a)  $50^{\text{th}}$  cycle discharge–charge curves of SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR at a current rate of 500 mA g<sup>-1</sup> and (b) CV curve for SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR at  $3^{\text{rd}}$  cycle.

Electrode	Cycle	RC equivalent circuit model	$R_e$ ( $\Omega$ mg <sup>-1</sup> )	$R_i$ ( $\Omega$ mg <sup>-1</sup> )	$R_{ct}$ ( $\Omega$ mg <sup>-1</sup> )
	OCV		47.2	-	3666.9
PC-CeO <sub>2</sub> NRs -	Charge	Re Ri W	37.0	3450.4	61347.0
SC-CeO <sub>2</sub> NRs	OCV	Re Ret W CPE1	37.0	-	2132.1
	Charge	− R <sub>0</sub> R <sub>1</sub> R <sub>ct</sub> W CPE1 CPE2 W <sub>0</sub>	34.6	704.0	16461.9

**Table S2** RC equivalent circuit model and fitting values of PC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR and SC-CeO<sub>2</sub> NR electrodes.

Reference	Material	Current rate	Voltage gap @500 mA h g <sup>-1</sup> (V)	1st discharge capacity (mA h g-1)
Our work	SC-CeO <sub>2</sub> NRs	500 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> ), 0.16 (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1.53	16300
[20]	NP-CeO <sub>2</sub>	0.05 (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	-	2128
[31]	$Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O_2$	80 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	-	1620
[32]	$LaFe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_3\text{-}CeO_2$	100 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	-	4700
[33]	GNS/ZDC	0.2 (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1.77	3254
[34]	$CeO_2/\delta$ -MnO <sub>2</sub>	200 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	1.42	8260
[35]	CeO <sub>2</sub> @RGO	400 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> ),	1.02 (1000 mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	11900
[36]	Ag@CeO <sub>2</sub>	100 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	-	3415
[37]	ZDC/carbon	0.2 (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	1.65	8435
[38]	CeO <sub>2</sub> /CNT	20 (mA g <sup>-1</sup> )	-	2000

Table S3 Comparison of the present work with  $CeO_2$  electrocatalysts for LOBs.