Electronic Supporting Information

Morphology and electronic structure modulation induced by fluorine doping in nickel-based heterostructures for robust bifunctional electrocatalysis

Pin Hao,^{a,} †, * Wenqian Zhu,^{a,} † Fengcai Lei,^a Xiaoye Ma,^a Junfeng Xie,^a Hua Tan,^b Liyi Li,^c Hong Liu^{b*} and Bo Tang^{a*}



Fig.S1 The magnified SEM image of FN-20



Fig.S2 TEM images of (a) FN-10, (b) FN-15, (c) FN-25 and (d) FN-30



Fig.S3 XRD patterns of samples obtained at different hydrothermal reaction times

XPS analysis (atom%)					
Sample	С	Ni	S	0	F
pristine	32.66	11.73	3.83	51.79	
FN-10	25.83	20.7	9.47	42.50	1.50
FN-15	27.36	19.63	7.10	44.21	1.70
FN-20	29.27	20.18	7.08	40.95	2.52
FN-25	29.83	20.18	7.02	40.31	2.67
FN-30	27.49	20.36	8.93	40.06	3.16

Table S1. XPS analysis of the samples at different addition of NH₄F.

(b) (a) 300 pristine pristine 1.68 F-Ni₃S₂/NF F-Ni₃S₂/NF my dec ^ຈິຮູ ²⁵⁰ Ni(OH)2/NF Ni(OH),/NF 1.64 NI(OH),/F-NI,S,/NF(NF-20) Ni(OH),/F-Ni,S,/NF(FN-20 Current density / mA Potential / V vs.RHE 200 1.60 1.56 150 99 mV dec 1.52 100 1.48 dec 69 mV 50 1.44 1.40 0 1.0 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 0.5 1.5 2.0 1.2 1.3 0.0 Log i / mA cm⁻² (c) ₃₀₀ Potential / V vs.RHE (d) pristine 1.68 pristine mV dec FN-10 ^ຈຮູ ²⁵⁰ **FN-10 FN-15** 1.64 85 mV dec **FN-15 FN-20** Potential / V vs.RHE **FN-20** Current density / mA **FN-25** 200 1.60 **FN-25 FN-30 FN-30** 1.56 150 78 mV dec 1.52 100 1.48 50 1.44 1.40 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 1.5 1.6 1.8 0.0 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.7 1.1 Log i / mA cm⁻² Potential / V vs.RHE

Fig.S4 OER performances in 1 M KOH. (a, b) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves without IR correction at 2 mV s⁻¹ and the corresponding Tafel plots of pristine, F-doped Ni_3S_2 nanorods, $Ni(OH)_2$ nanosheets and FN-20 samples, (c, d) LSV curves without IR correction at 2 mV s⁻¹ and the corresponding Tafel plots of samples with different addition of NH_4F .

The investigation of the electrochemical surface area (ECSA) of the samples was carried out according to literature.¹ ECSA was estimated by measuring the electrochemical double-

layer capacitance. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was employed at various scan rates from 20 to 200 mV s⁻¹ in 1.0-1.1 V vs. RHE region, which could be considered as the double-layer capacitive behavior. The electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) can be calculated based on the CV curves (Fig.S5a-f). The value of C_{dl} is estimated by plotting the ΔJ (J_a - J_c) at 1.05 V vs. RHE against the scan rate, where the slope is twice C_{dl} . The calculated values of double-layer capacitance are as follows: 3.25 mF cm⁻², 3.95 mF cm⁻², 4.0 mF cm⁻², 6.0 mF cm⁻², 5.65 mF cm⁻² and 3.8 mF cm⁻² for pristine Ni₃S₂, FN-10, FN-15, FN-20, FN-25 and FN-30, respectively. It can be seen that the sample of FN-20 displays a larger C_{dl} than the other counterparts, indicating that more effective active sites can be exposed for FN-20 and thus contributing to the excellent OER and UOR activity.



Fig.S5 CV curves of the samples with different NH_4F addition at the various scan rates from 20-200 mV s⁻¹ in non-redox region for the calculation of electrochemical double-layer capacitance.



Fig.S6 LSV curves of FN-20 after five CV cycles.



Fig.S7 Comparison of UOR and OER activity of various catalysts, the solid lines and dash lines represent UOR and OER behaviors, respectively.



Fig.S8 Comparison of UOR and OER activities of NF and FN-20, the solid lines and dash lines represent UOR and OER behaviors, respectively.

Reference

1 J. Xie, J. Zhang, S. Li, F. Grote, X. Zhang, H. Zhang, R. Wang, Y. Lei, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17881-17888.