Electronic Supplementary Information

Porous Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanofibers Assembled with

Nickel nanoparticles for Lithium-Sulfur Battery

Qi Li^a, Jiangna Guo^a, Jun Zhao^b, Cancan Wang^a and Feng Yan^{a,*}

^a Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Advanced Functional Polymer Design and Application, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, College of Chemistry, Chemical

Engineering and Materials Science, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215123, China.

^b Sichuan College of Architectural Technology, Deyang 618000, China.

E-mail: fyan@suda.edu.cn



Figure S1. SEM images of Ni-precursor film with different scales.



Figure S2. FTIR spectrum of Ni/PCNFO.



Figure S3. Higher magnification SEM images of Ni/PCNFO.



Figure S4. TEM images of Ni/PCNF with different scales.



Figure S5. The EDS pattern of (a) Ni/PCNF and (b) Ni/PCNFO-S composite.



Figure S6. SEM images of Ni/PCNFO-S.



Figure S7. Pore size distribution curves of Ni/PCNFO and Ni/PCNFO-S.



Figure S8. (a) Survey XPS spectrum of Ni/PCNFO-S. High-resolution XPS spectrum at Ni 2p, (c) N 1s, and (d) S 2p region of Ni/PCNFO-S.



Figure S9. CV curves of (a) PCNF-S and (b) pure S cathodes at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} at the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd cycles between 1.6 and 2.8 V with a sulfur loading of 2.4 mg cm⁻².



Figure S10. (a) EIS spectra and (b) cycling performance of Ni/PCNFO-S and Ni/PCNF-S cathodes with a sulfur areal loading of 1.8 mg cm^{-2} , respectively.



Figure S11. Coulombic efficiency of pure S cathode at 0.2 C with the sulfur loading of 1.8 mg cm^{-2} .



Figure S12. EIS spectra of Ni/PCNFO-S cathodes with different areal sulfur loadings.



Figure S13. SEM image of Ni/PCNFO-S cathode after 500 discharge-charge cycles.



Figure S14. Long-term cycling performances of Ni/PCNFO-S cathode under 0.2 C with the sulfur loading of 2.2 mg cm⁻².

Sulfur hosts structure	Sulfur content (wt. %)	Sulfur loading (mg cm ⁻²)	Current rate (C)	Initial capacity (mAh g ⁻¹)	Cycle number	Capacity Retention (mAh g ⁻¹)	Rate capacity (mAh g ⁻¹ /C)
PRC/S	66.4	2.0	0.2	923	500	325	153 /5C
PRC/Ni/S ⁴⁷	75.1	2.0	0.2	993	500	813	573 /5C
Highly ordered nitrogen-rich mesoporous carbon ⁵⁵	53.3	0.7-1.0	1.0	1209	200	600	595 /3C
Acetylene black ⁵⁶	36.0	1.5	0.1	935	50	500	-
Carbon black nanoparticles ⁵⁷	84.0	2.0	0.1	1250	100	865	850 /1C
Graphitized porous carbon ⁵⁸	90.0	0.8-1.0	0.1	908	100	739	-
Single-wall carbon nanotube network ³⁸	95.0	4.8	-	1212	140	842	-
Porphyrin-derived graphene-based nanosheets ¹⁶	-	~ 0.1	0.2	1212	300	798	988 /2C
Graphene oxide-carbon nanotube ⁵⁹	75.0	2.3	-	-	300	-	-
N,O dual-doped porous carbon microrods ⁶⁰	79.0-90.0	-	0.2	1327	160	1071	558 /4C
BCF/S	60.0		0.2	1019	200	447	188 /5C
PCGF/Ni/S ⁶¹	68.0	-	0.2	1198	200	1030	746 /5C

Table S1. Electrochemical performances of the representative carbon-based sulfur

composite cathodes.

MOFs-derived N-doped porous carbon/graphene ⁶²	64.0	~ 2.4	0.1	1372	300	608	786 /1C
Carbon nanofiber ⁶³	65.0	2.6	<0.05	>1600	70	1000	-
Rice husks derived porous carbon ⁶⁴	75.0	1.6	0.1	1032	50	742	-
Sulfur-nickel foam cathode ⁶⁵	-	-	0.5	1340	500	493	212 /5C
Conventional cathode	60%	-	0.2	750	50	810	-
3D sulfur-nickel foam cathode ⁶⁶	60.0	-	0.2	950	50	400	-
This work	81.1	1.8	0.2	1320	100	1070	780 /3C