Supporting Information

Ligand dynamics control structure, elasticity, and high-pressure behavior of nanoparticle superlattices

Tarak K. Patra,¹ Henry Chan,¹ Elena V. Shevchenko,^{1*} Subramanian KRS Sankaranarayanan,^{1*} and Badri Narayanan^{2,3*} ¹Center for Nanoscale Materials, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439

²Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439 ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292

Force Field



Figure S1. Details of coarse-grained model employed to simulate nanoparticle superlattices. Each PbS nanoparticle is modelled as an indivisible bead called NP. (a) MARTINI mapping scheme is used to obtain coarse-grained model (composed of beads C1-C4) from all-atom configuration of oleic acid. (b) Illustration of the steps used to prepare a CG configuration of NPSL. (c) MARTINI force field parameters used in this study are tabulated.

We employed the well-established MARTINI scheme to coarse-grain the oleic acid ligand molecules; each nanoparticle is modeled as an indivisible bead (Figure S1). The MARTINI force-field parameters are used to model interactions between different ligand molecules, and the nanoparticles (the parameters are summarized in Figure S1c). For unlike interactions, we employed Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rule where the LJ parameters for interactions between beads *i* and *j* are given by: $e_{ij} = (e_{ii}e_{jj})^{1/2}$, and

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S_{ii} + S_{jj} \right) ,$$

where, (e_{ii}, S_{ii}) , and (e_{ii}, S_{ii}) are the LJ parameters for *i*-*i* and *j*-*j* interactions respectively.

Equation of State (EOS)

We have computed the equation state of the NPSL from our coarse-grained simulation and compare with experiment. At each pressure, the system is equilibrated for 10ns in an isothermal isobaric ensemble at temperature T = 300K. The equilibrium volume is computed by averaging over 10000 frames collected over a period of 1 ns. The MARTINI force-field describes the equation of state of NPSLs in good qualitative accordance with experiments (Figure S2). This suggests that the coarse-grained model qualitatively capture the thermophysical properties of the experimental system.



Figure S2. Equation of state of a ligand-rich nanoparticle superlattice (ρ =4.9/nm²) from coarsegrained molecular dynamics and experiments at 300 K. The unit cell volume (V) at a given pressure is normalized by its value at pressure P = 1GPa (V₀).

Radial Distribution Function (RDF)

Figures S3 shows the NP-NP radial distribution in NPSL (for various ligand coverage densities) at selected pressures during a compression-release cycles. It clearly suggests the NPSL sustain high applied pressure without losing their crystallinity at high ligand coverage densities ($\rho = 1.8 - 5.5 \text{ nm}^{-2}$).



Figure S3. Radial distribution functions: a, b, c and d correspond to ligand density $\rho = 1.8 \text{ nm}^{-2}$, 2.4nm⁻², 3.6nm⁻² and 5.5nm⁻², respectively.

Thermogravimetric Analysis



Figure S4. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) data obtained for the PbS NPSLs obtained by destabilization of the toluene solution of 7 nm PbS by isopropanol.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)



Figure S5. The TEM image of a fragment of PbS NPSL after compression.

Small-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) experiments



Figure S6. (Left) Optical micrograph and (Right) SAXS data on face-centered cubic NPSLs grown on Si substrate from 9.5 nm PbS NPs compressed up to 55.86 GPa and after pressure release.



Figure S7. SAXS of face-centered cubic NPSLs (assembled from 9.5 nm PbS NPs) grown on Si substrate (black), and of individual NPSL at 55.86 GPa (red) and after pressure release (blue).