## Supporting Information

Probing the limits of interrupted adenylation domains by engineering a trifunctional enzyme capable of adenylation, $N$-, and $S$-methylation
Taylor A. Lundy, ${ }^{a}$ Shogo Mori, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and Sylvie Garneau-Tsodikova ${ }^{\text {a, }}$,
${ }^{\text {a}}$ University of Kentucky, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Lexington, KY 40536-0596, USA. *sylviegtsodikova@uky.edu
Table of Contents
PagesFig. S1: Examples of structures of natural products containing dimethylated amino acidsS2

1. Bacterial strains, plasmids, materials, and instruments ..... S2-3
Fig. S2: NRPS assembly-line for thiocoraline biosynthesis ..... S3
Fig. S3: Sequence alignment used to determine insertion points of $\mathrm{M}_{3 S}$ in $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ ..... S42. Preparation of ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a and ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A-\mathrm{pET28a}$overexpression constructsS4-5
Table S1: Primers used in this study ..... S5
Fig. S4: Cloning strategy for the preparation of ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a ..... S6
2. Preparation of ptioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$-pET28a overexpression construct ..... S64. Co-overexpression and co-purification of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ andTioN(AM $\left.{ }_{N} A_{3} M_{3} A\right) D 818 A$ with MLP TioTS6-75. Substrate specificity and determination of kinetic parameters of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ andTioN(AM $\left.\mathrm{AMM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$ by ATP- $\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}$ exchange assaysS8-9
Fig. S5: A domain activity of TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$ ..... S8Fig. S6: Time course for adenylation activity of wt $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ andTioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ D167A with $N, S$-diMe-L-CysS9

Fig. S7: Michaelis-Menten kinetic plots of TioN( $\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ) and TioN( $\left.\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ D818A S9
6. Characterization of methylation (M) domain activity by TioN $\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3} \mathrm{~S} A\right)$ and TioN(AM $\left.{ }_{N} A_{3 S} A\right) D 818 \mathrm{~A}$ by TCA precipitation assays
Fig. S8: $M$ domain (in)activity of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$
7. Evaluation of methylated products liberated from the T domain by potassium hydroxide $(\mathrm{KOH})$ cleavage and Quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-TOF MS)

Fig. S9: Mass spectra of amino acid standards and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys from enzymatic reaction S13 Fig. S10: Tandem mass spectra $\left(\mathrm{MS}^{2}\right)$ of $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys standard and generated enzymaticallyS14
8. References ..... S14

Examples of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylated natural products:


Nordolastatin G


Examples of $N, N$-dimethylated natural products:



Fig. S1: Examples of structures of natural products containing dimethylated (blue) and trimethylated (pink) amino acids.

1. Bacterial strains, plasmids, materials, and instruments. The $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)^{1}$ and $\operatorname{Sfp}^{2}$ proteins were expressed and purified as previously reported. For the purpose of constructing the expression plasmids, chemically competent E. coli TOP10 cells were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). For expression of the di-interrupted A domains and the accompanying MbtH-like protein (MLP) TioT, the $E$. coli BL21 (DE3)ybdZ::aac(3)IV strain ${ }^{3}$ was used and was originally obtained as a gift from Professor Michael G. Thomas (University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA). The expression vector used for the construction of the di-interrupted A domains in the current study were originally purchased from Novagen (Gibbstown, NJ, USA). DNA primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All remaining reagents used for cloning were from New England BioLabs (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA). DNA
sequencing was performed at Eurofins Scientific (Louisville, KY, USA). All chemical reagents and buffer components used for protein purification and enzymatic assays were used without any further purification and were from Sigma-Aldrich or VWR (Atlanta, GA, USA), except for $N$-Me-L-Cys and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys whose synthesis was previously described. ${ }^{1}$ A Sorvall RC-6 PLUS (Thermo, Ashville, NC, USA) was used for large scale protein purification. A Microfuge ${ }^{\circledR} 18$ Centrifuge (Beckman Coulter, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used for table top centrifugation. PCRs were conducted on a Robocycler® Gradient 96 (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). The radioactive reagents used in the enzymatic assays, [methyl- $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ SAM ( $S$-adenosyl-Lmethionine) and $\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}$ were from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA, USA), and EcoLume ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ liquid scintillation cocktail was from MP Biomedicals (Santa Ana, CA, USA). Radioactivity was counted by using a TriCarb 2900TR Liquid Scintillation Analyzer (PerkinElmer).

Note: Explanation of naming of engineered plasmids. The wild-type (wt) proteins (Fig. S2) used for cloning of the novel di-interrupted adenylation (A) domains were: (i) TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ where the methylation $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ domain was naturally found to be inserted between two A domain fragments (a and b) between the a2 and a3 recognition motifs, ${ }^{4}$ and (ii) $\mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$ from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ module in the thiocoraline biosynthetic assembly-line where the $\mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}}$ domain was naturally found to be inserted between two A domain fragments (3a and 3b) between the a8 and a9 recognition motifs. In order to simplify the naming of the di-interrupted A domains that we constructed in this study, we omitted the subscript letter indicating each part of the A domain and used $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$ where the $M_{N}$ is located between $\mathrm{a} 2-\mathrm{a} 3$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3 S}$ between a8-a 9 .

Thiocoraline NRPS:


Fig. S2: NRPS biosynthetic assembly-line for the production of thiocoraline. The wild-type (wt) proteins, $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right), \mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$, and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ used in this study are highlighted in brown, peach, and blue, respectively. Domain abbreviations: $\mathrm{A}=$ adenylation; $\mathrm{ACP}=\operatorname{acyl}$ carrier protein; $\mathrm{C}=$ condensation; $\mathrm{E}=$ epimerization; $\mathrm{M}=$ methylation; $\mathrm{T}=$ thiolation; $\mathrm{TE}=$ thioesterase. Note: The following enzymes presented above have been previously studied: $\mathrm{TioJ} / \mathrm{FabC},{ }^{5} \operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{35} \mathrm{~A}_{3 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{~T}_{3}\right),{ }^{1} \operatorname{TiOS}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{45} \mathrm{~A}_{46} \mathrm{~T}_{4}\right),{ }^{6}$ and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{MNAb}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) .{ }^{7}$


Fig. S3: Alignment of TioS( $\left.\mathrm{A}_{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{45} \mathrm{~A}_{46}\right),{ }^{6} \mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{35} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ (current study), and $\operatorname{Ecm6}\left(\mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{M}_{35} \mathrm{~A}_{16}\right)^{8}$ generated by using Multalin ${ }^{9}$ and showing the insertion site of $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}}\right)$ (orange) of the two engineered interrupted A domains compared to the M domain insertion naturally found in $\mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{A}_{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{45} \mathrm{~A}_{46}\right)$ (blue). The ten conserved motifs of A domains are underlined in red. The cut sites generated by the sequential ligation explained in Fig. S3 are in yellow.

## 2. Preparation of ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a and ptioN(AM $\left.M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$-pET28a overexpression

constructs. The constructs used for heterologous co-overexpression of di-interrupted A domains designed based on the sequence alignment presented in Fig. S3, with the MLP TioT, were generated by using the primers presented in Table S1, in a series of PCRs and ligations outlined in Fig. S4 similar to that reported in ref ${ }^{8}$. Initially, two PCRs were done with ptioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$-pET28a ${ }^{7}$ as a template with primers 1 and 2 (fragment a) and primers 5 and 6 (fragment b) and one PCR with ptioS $\left(A_{3 a} M_{3 S} A_{3 b}\right)$-pET28a ${ }^{1}$ as the template and primers 3 and 4 (fragment c ). The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation for 30 s at 98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ cycles of 30 s at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ or $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for fragments a, b, and c, respectively), and 1 $\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kb}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR products were analyzed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel and purified by using the QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The purified PCR products were then subjected to enzymatic digestion with NdeI and NheI (fragment a), EcoRI and HindIII (fragment b), or NheI and EcoRI (fragment c) following manufacturer's (NEB) instructions. The digested PCR products were purified by using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) for use in subsequent ligation reactions. Fragment a was ligated into pET28a (digested with NdeI and NheI) using T4

DNA ligase (NEB) to generate ptio $N\left(A M_{N} A\right)$-pET28a, which was transformed into chemically competent E. coli TOP10 cells. The resulting ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A\right)$-pET28a from positive transformants, as confirmed by double digest and colony PCR, was purified by using the QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen), digested with NheI and EcoRI and ligated with fragment c as described above. The ligation was transformed, screened, confirmed as detailed above. The resulting ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{35}\right)$-pET28a was then purified by using the QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen), digested with EcoRI and HindIII, ligated with fragment b and the process repeated to yield the final ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a expression vector. The purified plasmid was subject to DNA sequencing and comparison to the section of the wt enzymes $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and TioS $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}_{3 \mathrm{~b}}\right)$ from Micromonospora sp. ML1 (protein accession number CAJ34370 and CAJ34375, respectively) used to generate these chimeras.

To establish that both methylation domains were functional, a point mutant of the added TioS( $\mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~s}}$ ) M domain was utilized. We previously demonstrated that mutating aspartate 599 to alanine (D599A) abolished methylation activity in the wt $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}_{3 b}\right) .{ }^{8}$ In the engineered $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ that corresponding mutation is D818A. Therefore, we utilized the single overlap extension (SOE) method and previously reported mutation primers 7 and 8 to first create D818A overhang fragments then used those fragments as a template to generate the full length $\operatorname{tioN}\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$ PCR product. Round one of PCR reactions used ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a as the template with primers 1 and 8 (fragment tioN( $\left.A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$ -overhang-fwd) and primers 6 and 7 (fragment tioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$-overhang-rev) as described above with the exception that the annealing temperature was set to $68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting PCR products were analyzed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel and purified as above. The purified products were used in equal amounts ( 1 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of each) as templates in a subsequent round two PCR with the reaction conditions described in round one using primers 1 and 6. The resulting PCR product was purified and digested with NdeI and HindIIII, and ligated into pET 28 a as described above to yield the final ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$-pET28a construct. The point mutation was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

| Table S1: Primers used in this study. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primer \# Primer name | Primer sequence ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5' or 3' primer | Restriction site |
| $1^{\mathrm{b}}$ - tioN(AMNA)-fwd | TCTCCGcatatgCCCTGGAGCGTATGGAACCAG | 5 | NdeI |
| $2-$ tioN(AMNA)-rev | GGGAAGgctagcGGCCGTCGGAACGTAGAAGG | $3{ }^{\prime}$ | NheI |
| 3---- tioS(M3S)-fwd | CCCGATgctagcACGGTGGAGAGCGTGGTC | 5 | NheI |
| 4 c tios $\left(M_{3 S}\right)$-rev | GGTGCCgaattcGGCCACCGGGAGGTAGG | $3^{\prime}$ | EcoRI |
| 5 tioN(A)-fwd | GTTCGGgaattcGAGCCGCTTCCCACGGCCGAG | 5 | EcoRI |
| 6 tioN(A)-rev | CCACAGaagcttTCAGAGCCGGCTGAGCAGCG | 3' | EcoRI |
| 7c --- tio N(AMNAM3SA)D818A-fwd | GTACTGGGCGACCgccTTCTCGGAGCCGG | 5 | N/A |
| $8 \mathrm{8c}$ tioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A-r e v$ | CCGGCTCCGAGAAggccGGTCGCCCAGTAC | 3 | N/A |
| 9--- tioN(AaMNAb)D167A fowd | GGTGAACTTCTTCgccTTCGTGTCGAGCGC | 5 | N/A |
| $10 \quad \operatorname{tioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A-rev | GCGCTCGACACGAAggcGAAGAAGTTCACC | 3 ' | N/A |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The introduced restriction sites are in NHis6-tagged protein. <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Primer 1 was previously used in ref ${ }^{7}$. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Primers 3, 4, 7, and 8 were previously | se in each relevant primer. The codon mutated is in bo <br> ref $^{8}$ and were labeled primers $7,8,11$, and 12 , respe | ic lowercase. A <br> in that previou | onstructs encod <br> tudy. |



Fig. S4: Cloning strategy employed for the construction of the ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a overexpression construct.
3. Preparation of ption $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A-pET28a overexpression construct. For activation and loading of $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys, $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$, which $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$ domain was inactivated, was used. The point mutation was achieved by using the SOE method by using pairs of primers 1 and 10 as well as 6 and 9 to first create the D167A overhang fragments, which were then used as templates to generate the full length $\operatorname{tioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$ PCR product. Round one of PCR reactions used ptioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$-pET28a ${ }^{7}$ as the template with primers 1 and 10 to generate fragment $\operatorname{tioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A-overhang-fiwd, and primers 6 and 9 to generate fragment $\operatorname{tioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A-overhang-rev as described above in section 2 with the exception that the annealing temperature was set to $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting PCR products were analyzed on a $1 \%$ agarose gel and purified as above. The purified products were used ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each) as templates in a subsequent round two PCR with the reaction conditions described in round one using primers 1 and 6 . The resulting PCR product was purified and digested with NdeI and HindIIII, and ligated into pET28a as described above to yield the final ptioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$-pET28a construct. The point mutation was confirmed by DNA sequencing.
4. Co-overexpression and co-purification of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{N_{N}} M_{3 S} A\right)$, $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$, and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathbf{b}}\right)$ D167A with the MLP TioT. ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28a, ptioN( $\left.A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A-$ pET28a, and ptioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$-pET28a were co-overexpressed and co-purified with the wt TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ )'s MLP partner TioT, previously shown to be necessary for successful heterologous expression of active protein. ${ }^{7}$ ptioN $\left(A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right)$-pET28, ptioN $\left.A M_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$-pET28a, and $\mathrm{p} t i o N\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$-pET28a were individually transformed into chemically competent $E$. coli strain

BL21 (DE3)ybdz::aac(3)IV containing the previously reported ptioT-pACYCDuet-1.7 The transformation mixture was then plated on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar containing $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of kanamycin and $35 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of chloramphenicol for selection of positive transformants harboring both expression plasmids, and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Colonies from the transformation were used to inoculate $7 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of LB medium supplemented with antibiotics. The $2-\mathrm{mL}$ cultures were incubated for several hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reach an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $\sim 1.4$, at which point the cultures were combined into one tube and mixed for homogeneity. From the homogenous culture, 2 mL was inoculated into 1 L of LB medium ( 6 L total) containing both antibiotics and 10 mM MgCl 2 . The $6 \times 1 \mathrm{~L}$ cultures were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 200 rpm until an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.2-0.3. From there, the temperature was reduced to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the cells were incubated with shaking at 200 rpm until the culture reached an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of $0.5-0.8$, at which point 0.2 mM isopropyl- $\beta$-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added and incubation continued at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional $16-18 \mathrm{~h}$. To collect the cells, the cultures were centrifuged at $5,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting cell pellet was then resuspended in $\sim 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of lysis buffer ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $10 \%$ glycerol at pH 8.0 adjusted at room temperature (rt)) until homogenous consistency and lysed on ice by sonication (4 cycles of 2 min alternating with 2 s "on", 10 s "off"). The lysate was then divided equally into 8 centrifuge tubes and tubes were filled to the top with lysis buffer $(\sim 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cell debris was cleared from the lysate by centrifugation at $16,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for $35-45 \mathrm{~min}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was incubated with $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ of culture of washed $\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{NTA}$ agarose resin (Qiagen) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h with gentle mixing. The resin/lysate was passed through a column and the flow-through was collected and run once more. The resin was then washed with $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of wash buffer ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, and $10 \%$ glycerol at pH 8.0 adjusted at rt ). After washing, the (di-)interrupted A domains and TioT were co-eluted with $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of elution buffer ( 25 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, and $10 \%$ glycerol at pH 8.0 adjusted at rt ). After analysis by SDS-PAGE, the fractions that contained the proteins of interest were dialyzed by using 3,500 MWCO SnakeSkin ${ }^{\circledR}$ dialysis tubing (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA) in $3 \times 2$ L of dialysis buffer ( 40 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \beta$-mercaptoethanol, and $10 \%$ glycerol at pH 8.0 adjusted at rt) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $18-20 \mathrm{~h}$ with a minimum of 3 h between dialysis buffer changes. The resulting solution was concentrated by using Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter Units (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) with a 3 K membrane, and the concentration was spectrophotometrically determined by calculated extinction coefficient at $280 \mathrm{~nm}\left(178,390 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ for $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ) D818A, and $98,530 \quad \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\left.\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}\right)$ (http://protcalc.sourceforge.net/cgi-bin/protcalc). The production yields for $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$, TioN(AM $\left.{ }_{N} A_{3 S} A\right) D 818 \mathrm{~A}$, and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A were $2.3,2.2$, and $3.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of culture, respectively.
5. Substrate specificity and determination of kinetic parameters of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{M_{N}} A_{3 S} A\right)$,
 interrupted A domain, different amino acids and amino acid derivatives were evaluated to determine substrate specificity using the following reactions, done in duplicate (Fig. 2). Each $100-\mu \mathrm{L}$ reaction contained 75 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ adjusted at rt), 5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na} 4_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ spiked with $\sim 500,000-800,000 \mathrm{cpm}$ of $\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ amino acid substrate, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of enzyme. Reactions were initiated by the addition of the substrate and incubated for a total of 2 h at rt . To quench the reactions, $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a quenching solution ( $1.6 \%(w / v)$ activated charcoal, $4.5 \%(w / v) \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, and $3.5 \%(v / v)$ perchloric acid in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added. The quenched reactions were centrifuged at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 7 min at rt to pellet the charcoal. The supernatant was discarded and the pelleted charcoal was resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a wash solution ( $4.5 \%(w / v) \mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and $3.5 \%(v / v)$ perchloric acid in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) and pelleted again by centrifugation as above. The supernatant was discarded and the process repeated once more. After the supernatant was again discarded, the charcoal pellet was resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water and transferred to 5 mL of scintillation cocktail. The radioactivity was then counted with a liquid scintillation analyzer. The A domain activity of TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ D167A was compared with that of wt TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ for L-Cys, $N$-Me-L-Cys, $S$-Me-L-Cys, and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys by using the assay described above. These data are presented in Fig. S5. For $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys, the time course assay was performed to test if $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$ can be used to activate it. In this assay, the reactions were performed with $2.35 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of enzyme, quenched at $0,0.5,1,2,4,8,12 \mathrm{~h}$, and processed as mentioned above. These data are presented in Fig. S6.


Fig. S5: Substrate profile of A. wt TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ (purple bars) and B. TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{b}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$ (turquoise bars) with L-Cys, $N$-Me-L-Cys, $S$-Me-L-Cys, and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys. Note: All $\%$ activity values are relative to the $\%$ activity of wt $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ with L-Cys.


Fig. S6: Time course of adenylation activity for wt $\mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{b}\right)$ (purple squares) and $\mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{b}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$ (turquoise squares) with $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys.

The kinetic parameters ( $k_{\text {cat }}$ and $K_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) for the adenylation of L-Cys, $N$-Me-L-Cys, and $S$-Me-L-Cys by $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$ were determined. The reaction conditions, done in duplicate, were the same as described above for the substrate profile with the exception that the concentration of the substrate varied $(0,0.05,0.1,0.25,0.5,1,1.75,2.5,5,10$, and 15 mM$)$ and the reactions were incubated for 12 min (determined to be within the linear range of the enzyme based on a time course experiment) at rt before being quenched. After quenching, the reactions were washed and counted as described for the substrate profile. The Michaelis-Menten kinetic plots are presented in Fig. S7 and the kinetic parameters summarized in Table 1.


Fig. S7: Michaelis-Menten kinetic plots of TioN( $\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3} \mathrm{SA}$ )-catalyzed adenylation of A. L-Cys, B. $N$-Me-L-Cys, and C. $S$-Me-L-Cys, as well as those for TioN(AMNAM3sA)D818A-catalyzed adenylation of D. L-Cys, E. $N$-Me-LCys, and F. S-Me-L-Cys.

## 6. Characterization of methylation (M) domain activity of $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathbf{A M}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{M}_{3} \mathrm{SA}\right)$, TioN(AMNAM $\left.M_{3 S} A\right) D 818 A$, and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$ by trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation

assays. To investigate the methylation activity of both M domains in the di-interrupted A domains, TCA precipitation assays were performed by using selected substrates with differing methylation sites available or blocked. These assays were conducted with both TioN( $\left.\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$, as the M domains have differing methylation capabilities. The time courses were initiated by the addition of a SAM mixture ( 0.5 mM SAM (spiked with $\sim 1,000,000 \mathrm{cpm}$ of $\left[\right.$ methyl $\left.\left.-{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{SAM}\right)$ ) and quenched at specific time points post-initiation. For L-Cys and N -Me-L-Cys, the reactions were started after a 2 h pre-incubation period to allow time for the apo to holo conversion of $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$, adenylation, and loading of the substrate. The pre-incubated mixture ( $22.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ reaction) for L-Cys and $N$-Me-L-Cys contained 83.33 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.5 adjusted at rt ), $11.11 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.11 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, 0.55 mM coenzyme $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{CoA}), 0.55 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sfp}$, $55.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 1.39 \mathrm{mM} \operatorname{ATP}, 2.22 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ or $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$, and 1.39 mM substrate. $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ reaction of the SAM mixture was added to start the reaction. The final reaction ( 25 $\mu \mathrm{L})$ contained 75 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ adjusted at rt$), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CoA}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Sfp, $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 1.25 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ or $\operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{N} A M_{3 S} A\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$, and 1.25 mM substrate. At $0,3,6,15,30,60$, and $90 \mathrm{~min}, 25-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquots were quenched with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \% \mathrm{TCA}$ to precipitate the protein. The precipitated protein was then pelleted by centrifugation at $14,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 7 min at $r t$. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet washed with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ TCA and centrifuged again. The supernatant was again discarded and the protein pellet washed once more. The pellet was dissolved in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $88 \%$ formic acid and added to 5 mL of scintillation cocktail and counted by using a liquid scintillation analyzer. These data are presented in Fig. 3A,B. To test if TioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ D167A had lost its methylating activity, the assay described above was performed by using L-Cys as a substrate and $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$ and wt $\operatorname{TioN}\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$ as enzymes. These data are presented in Fig. S8.


Fig. S8: Time course methylation activity of wt TioN $\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ (purple circles) and $\mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{a} \mathrm{M}_{N} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$ (turquoise circles) with L-Cys.

For $S$-Me-L-Cys and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys, the pre-incubation was done overnight using a methylation deficient mutant of TioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right)$, TioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$. Two equal doses of TioN $\left(A_{a} M_{N} A_{b}\right) D 167 A$ were used to yield a final concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, one initially when the overnight pre-incubation was started and one again 1 h before starting the reaction. The pre-incubated mixture ( $21.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) for $S$-Me-L-Cys and $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys contained 88.03 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}\left(\mathrm{pH} 7.5\right.$ adjusted at rt ), $11.73 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1.17 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, 0.59 mM CoA, $0.59 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sfp}, 58.69 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 1.47 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, $2.35 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}$, and 1.46 mM substrate. After pre-incubation, $\mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ or $\mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$ was added and the reactions were subsequently initiated by the addition of the SAM mixture as above. The final reaction $(25 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ contained 75 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ adjusted at rt$), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, 0.5 mM CoA, 0.5 $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sfp}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 1.25 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, $2 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{D} 167 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ TioN $\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right) \mathrm{D} 818 \mathrm{~A}$, and 1.25 mM substrate. At $0,3,6,12,24,36$, and $48 \mathrm{~h}, 25-\mu \mathrm{L}$ aliquots were quenched, washed, and counted as described above. These data are presented in Fig. 3C.
7. Evaluation of methylated products liberated from the $T$ domain by potassium hydroxide ( KOH ) cleavage and evaluated by quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-TOF MS). To further confirm the formation of $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys, mass spectrometry (MS) and tandem mass spectrometry (MS ${ }^{2}$ ) experiments were performed. We carried out the enzymatic reaction followed by cleavage of the product formed from the T domain and extraction with EtOAc. We analyzed the resulting extract from the enzymatic reaction and chemical standards by using Q-TOF MS to detect the mass of the product and compare fragmentation patterns between the extract and the standards. Doing so, we confirmed the formation of $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys by TioN $\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$. The reaction mixture ( $484.38 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) containing 77.4 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ adjusted at rt$), 10.3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.29 \mathrm{mM}$ L-Cys, 1.03 mM TCEP, 0.52 mM CoA, $1.03 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sfp}, 72.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 5.16 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, and $5.16 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TioN}\left(\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ was incubated at rt for 2 h . The methylation reaction was initiated by the addition of $15.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SAM to bring the final reaction volume to $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ( 75 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.5 adjusted at rt ), $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ L-Cys, 1 mM TCEP, 0.5 $\mathrm{mM} \operatorname{CoA}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sfp}, 70 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right), 5 \mathrm{mM}$ ATP, 1 mM SAM, and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ TioN( $\left.\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3 S} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ ) and allowed to react for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by the addition of 2 mL of ice-cold MeOH . The mixture was incubated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to allow the protein to precipitate. The precipitated protein mixture was then equally divided into two microtubes and centrifuged at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 10 min at rt . The supernatant was removed and the protein pellet was washed by the addition of 1 mL of ice-cold $80 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$. The mixture was centrifuged as above and the supernatant was removed and the protein pellet was washed once more. After centrifugation, the supernatant was removed as much as possible and the tubes were inverted to air dry. To cleave the amino acid from the precipitated protein, $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 N KOH was used to fully dissolve the protein pellet in one microtube, then the solution was transferred to the second microtube and
used to dissolve that protein pellet as well so that all the precipitated protein from the methylation reaction was dissolved in $500 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH}$. The mixture was then incubated in a $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ hot water bath for 30 min . After incubation, the reaction was neutralized with $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 N HCl . The pH was then adjusted to $\sim 2-3$. The resulting 1 mL mixture was extracted 4 times with equal volume of EtOAc in the following manner: 1 mL EtOAc was added, the mixture was vortexed for 1 min and then centrifuged ( $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 5 min at rt ). The top layer was transferred to a pre-weighed glass vial and the process repeated. The EtOAc was dried under reduced pressure by using a rotary evaporator and subsequent vacuum desiccation overnight. The sample was then dissolved in HPLC grade MeOH to a concentration of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and subsequently used to make $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ for direct sample injection on AB SCIEX TripleTOF ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} 5600$ (SCIEX, Concord, ON, Canada). The pure chemical standards were also prepared as $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ from purchased or synthesized compounds. The software used to analyze the data were Analyst $® T F$ and PeakView. The MS and $\mathrm{MS}^{2}$ data are presented in Figs. S9 and S10, respectively.


Fig. S9: Mass spectra for the synthetic or commercially available standards: A. L-Cys, B. $N$-Me-L-Cys, C. $S$-Me-LCys, and D. $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys. Panel $\mathbf{E}$ shows the mass spectrum for the product generated by enzymatic reaction using TioN( $\mathrm{AM}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{AM}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ) and $\operatorname{TioS}\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$ as shown in Fig. S10. Panel $\mathbf{E}$ confirms that the product of enzymatic reaction is $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys.


Fig. S10: Tandem mass spectra $\left(\mathrm{MS}^{2}\right)$ at 17 volts of A. $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys synthetic standard and B. $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys generated by the enzymatic reaction shown in panel D. Fragments with $m / z$ ratios between 20 to 300 for $N, S$-diMe-LCys were collected. The fragments of interests in each panel that indicated the position of methylation were labeled both in the spectra and in $\mathbf{C}$. the structure of $N, S$-diMe-L-Cys with the calculated $m / z$ ratios for the fragments shown in panels $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.
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