Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and Owner Societies 2018

> Photo-induced anticancer activity and singlet oxygen production of prodigiosenes

Savoie, Figliola, Marchal, Crabbe, Hallett-Tapley, Boyle, Thompson

## Photo-induced anticancer activity and singlet oxygen production of prodigiosenes

Huguette Savoie,<sup>a</sup> Carlotta Figliola,<sup>b</sup> Estelle Marchal,<sup>b</sup> Bry W. Crabbe,<sup>c</sup> Geniece L. Hallett-Tapley,<sup>\*c</sup> Ross W. Boyle,<sup>\*a</sup> Alison Thompson<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK; <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, PO BOX 15000, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada; <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, St. Francis Xavier University, PO Box 5000, Antigonish, NS, B2G 2W5, Canada.

ghallett@stfx.ca; r.w.boyle@hull.ac.uk; alison.thompson@dal.ca

## **Supporting Information**

## Description of singlet oxygen quantum yield calcuations ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ ):

 ${}^{1}O_{2}$  quantum yields were calculated based on literature precedents.  ${}^{1}$  9,10-Dimethylantracene was added as a  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  trap. The photooxidation of DMA to the corresponding endoperoxide was monitored as a function of time (over 10 min for rose bengal and over 120 min for photosensitizers **5**, **6** and **7**) and the corresponding kinetic plots are presented in Figure S3. The quantum yields of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  were calculated using Rose Bengal (RB) as a reference actinometer ( $\Phi_{\Delta}$ , RB = 0.54 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN).  ${}^{2}\Phi_{\Delta}$  for each photosensitizer (**5**, **6** and **7**,  $\Phi_{\Delta}$ , PS) was estimated using eq. S1 below.

$$\Phi_{\Delta}^{\rm PS} = \Phi_{\Delta}^{\rm RB} \frac{F^{\rm PS} m^{\rm PS}}{F^{\rm RB} m^{\rm RB}}$$

Here,  $\Phi_{\Delta, RB}$  is the known quantum yield of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$  from RB in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, m is the slope of linear portion of  $\Delta A$  of DMA @ 398 nm vs. 480 nm LED irradiation (plots and m values presented in Figure S3). F is a correction factor where F=1-10<sup>-A</sup> (A is the absorption of the photosensitizer at the irradiation wavelength of 480 nm).



**Figure S1.** UV-visible spectra of 70 µM DMA collected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 120 min of 480 nm LED light exposure.



Figure S2. UV-visible spectra of 10  $\mu$ M 7 and 70  $\mu$ M DMA collected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 120 min of 480 nm LED light exposure.



**Figure S3.** UV-visible spectra of 1.6 µM Rose Bengal and 70 µM DMA collected in CH<sub>3</sub>CN over 120 min of 480 nm LED light exposure.



**Figure S4.** Plot of  $\Delta A$  of DMA at 398 nm *vs.* irradiation time in the presence of (a) Rose Bengal, (b) 6 and (c) 7.



Figure S5. Image of 480 nm blue LED experimental design used as the irradiation source for the photophysical studies.

## References

- 1. W. Li, L. Li, H. Xiao, R. Qi, Y. Huang, Z. Xie, X. Jing and H. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, 3, 13417-13421.
- 2. A. M. Durantini, L. E. Greene, R. Lincoln, S. R. Martínez and G. Cosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 1215-1225.