

UV-A screening in *Cladophora* sp. lowers internal UV-A availability and photoreactivation as compared to non-UV screening *Ulva intestinalis*

Author: Frauke Pescheck

Electronic supplemental information

Table S1. Collection dates and locations of algal material used in the indicated experiments. Locations: DAEN = Dänisch-Nienhof, Schwedeneck, Kiel; FAL= Falckensteiner Strand, Friedrichsort, Kiel; FRI = light house Friedrichsort, Kiel; MWD = Marina Wentdorf, Stein, Kiel; NOK = Kiel channel, Holtenau, Kiel. Exp. No. refers to independent replication of the experiments, Uint = *U. intestinalis*, Csp. = *Cladophora* sp..

Experiment	Exp. No.	Collection date	Location	Species
DNA damage analysis <i>in situ</i>	1	01/06/15	FAL	Uint & Csp
	2	22/06/15	FAL	Uint & Csp
	3	21/07/15	FAL	Uint & Csp
Spectral transmittance		29/08/18	FRI	Uint & Csp
Repair under different conditions	1	28/07/15	MWD	Uint & Csp
	2	18/08/15	NOK	Uint
	3	17/09/15	FAL	Uint & Csp
	4	27/07/16	DAEN	Csp
	5	22/09/16	FAL	Uint & Csp
Dose-dependency of photoreactivation	1	27/07/17	FRI	Uint & Csp
	2	11/08/17	FRI	Uint & Csp
	3	05/07/18	FRI	Uint & Csp

Table S2. Photon flux densities of experimentally employed irradiation sources unweighted and weighted for photoreactivation (PR) and corrected for apparent UV screening in *U. intestinalis* and *Cladophora* sp.

Irradiance source	UVA fluorescent tube	Metal halide lamp
	$\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	
Unweighted	52.6	100
Weighted for photoreactivation	48.5	13.9
Internal PR-weighted <i>U. intestinalis</i>	46.5	11.7
Internal PR-weighted <i>Cladophora</i> sp.	26.2	10.9