Poly(ester)-Poly(silyl methacrylate) Copolymers: Synthesis and Hydrolytic

Degradation Kinetics

Qingyi Xie^{†‡}, Chunfeng Ma^{*†}, Guangzhao Zhang[†], Christine Bressy^{*‡}

[†]Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, P. R. China [‡]Laboratoire MAPIEM, E.A.4323, SeaTech Ecole d'Ingénieurs, Université de Toulon, CS 60584, 83041 Toulon Cedex 9, France.



Figure S1. ¹H-NMR spectra of PMT and PMTO (a), and spectra of PTIO and PTBO (b) in $CDCl_3$.



Figure S2. Kinetic plots of silyl methacrylate (a) and MDO (b) during copolymerization in dioxane.

Determination of reactivity ratios

The reactivity ratios for copolymerization of silyl methacrylates and MDO were determined by the least squares method, in which the values of r_{SMA} and r_{MDO} minimize the sum of the squares of the difference between experimental values of F_{SMA} and the values calculated with the Mayo-Lewis equation (*r* is the reactivity ratio, *f* is the feeding molar ratio, *F* is the molar ratio in the copolymer and SMA means silyl methacrylate):

$$F_{SMA} = \frac{r_{SMA} * f_{SMA}^2 + f_{SMA} * f_{MDO}}{r_{SMA} * f_{SMA}^2 + r_{MDO} * f_{MDO}^2 + 2 * f_{SMA} * f_{MDO}}$$

Table S1. Experimental data of *f* and *F* for silvl methacrylates.

РМТО	$f_{\rm MATM2}$	F _{MATM2}
1	0.90	0.97
2	0.70	0.86
3	0.50	0.64
4	0.30	0.43
5	0.10	0.18

PTIO	$f_{ ext{TIPSiMA}}$	F_{TIPSiMA}
1	0.90	0.95
2	0.70	0.84
3	0.50	0.66
4	0.30	0.41
5	0.10	0.15

РТВО	$f_{ m TBSiMA}$	F _{TBSiMA}
1	0.90	0.98
2	0.70	0.87
3	0.50	0.71
4	0.30	0.44
5	0.10	0.19



Figure S3. DSC heating traces of PMT and silyl methacrylate-MDO copolymers.



Figure S4. Topography (a) and phase (b) images of PMT and silyl methacrylate-MDO copolymers by AFM.