Supporting information: Tuning Thermoresponsive Network Materials

through Macromolecular Architecture and Dynamic Thiol-Michael

Chemistry

Progyateg Chakma,^a Zachary A. Digby,^a Jeremy Via, ^a Max P. Shulman^a Jessica L. Sparks,^b Dominik Konkolewicz^a*

a. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Miami University, 651 E High St. Oxford, OH 45056

b. Department of Chemical, Paper and Biomedical Engineering, Miami University, 650 E High St. Oxford, OH 45056

Kinetic Model of Small Molecule Exchange

The thiol-Michael dynamic equilibrium was modeled using the following scheme, which was described in an earlier publication,¹ which was adapted to a series of coupled differential equations:



The species X represents the free unreacted Michael acceptor in this case a Maleimide, A_1 and A_2 are the thiol-Michael adducts of X with thiol-1 (T₁) and thiol-2 (T₂). k_{a1} and k_{a2} are the Michael addition rate coefficients of thiol-1 and thiol-2 to X, respectively. k_{f1} and k_{f2} are the

fragmentation, or retro Michael reaction, rate coefficients of adduct-1 and adduct-2, respectively. Note that in these systems negligible free Michael acceptor (X) is observed.

Equilibrium constant between the A_1 , T_1 , A_2 and T_2 is given below, accounting for the nonmeasurable nature of the intermediate X:

$$K_{\text{overall}} = \frac{k_{\text{f1}}}{k_{\text{f2}}} \frac{k_{\text{a2}}}{k_{\text{a1}}} = \frac{[A_2][T_1]}{[A_1][T_2]}$$

Since the intermediate free Michael acceptor, X, is below the detection limit of the NMR experiments, it is not included in the overall equilibrium constant, but it is included in the simulations. This dynamic system can be represented using the following series of coupled differential equations. All equations were coded and solved using MATLAB_R2017a.

$$\frac{d[A_1]}{dt} = k_{a1}[X][T_1] - k_{f1}[A_1]$$
(1)

$$\frac{d[T_1]}{dt} = -k_{a1}[X][T_1] + k_{f1}[A_1]$$
(2)

$$\frac{d[A_2]}{dt} = k_{a2}[X][T_2] - k_{i2}[A_2]$$
(3)

$$\frac{d[T_2]}{dt} = -k_{a2}[X][T_2] + k_{t2}[A_2]$$
(4)

$$\frac{d[X]}{dt} = -k_{a1}[X][T_1] - k_{a2}[X][T_2] + k_{f1}[A_1] + k_{f2}[A_2]$$
(5)





Figure S1: ¹H-NMR data of PEA₅₀-TM₃-EGDMA₀.



Figure S2: ¹H-NMR data of FRP-PEA-7%TM.



Figure S3: ¹H-NMR data of deprotected PEA_{50} -TM₃-EGDMA₀.



Figure S4: ¹H-NMR data of deprotected FRP-PEA-7%TM.



Figure S5: Stacked NMR plot of dynamic exchange starting with ME and MM-TP adduct



Figure S6: Stacked NMR plot of dynamic exchange starting with TP and MM-ME adduct



Figure S7: Stress-strain curves for uncut $\mathsf{PEA}_{100}\text{-}\mathsf{TM}_3\text{-}\mathsf{EGDMA}_1$ materials



Figure S8: Stress-strain curves of uncut PEA_{50} -TM_{1.5}-EGDMA₁ materials



Figure S9: Stress-strain curves of uncut $\mathsf{PEA}_{100}\text{-}\mathsf{TM}_6\text{-}\mathsf{EGDMA}_1$ materials



Figure S10: Stress-strain curves of uncut $\mathsf{PEA}_{50}\text{-}\mathsf{TM}_3\text{-}\mathsf{EGDMA}_0$ materials



Figure S11: Stress-strain curves of uncut PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₁ materials



Figure S12: Stress-strain curves of uncut PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₀ materials



Figure S13: IR spectrum of PEA_{100} -TM₃-EGDMA₁ material

Peak (cm ⁻¹)	Proposed Assignment	Reference	
3275	O-H carboxylic acid stretch	2	
2940	C-H stretch 3,4		
1730	C=O ester stretch	4	
1600	C=C stretch of phenyl ring ²		
1410	CH ₂ bending adjacent to carbonyl group	2	
1251	C-O antisymmetric stretching	4	
1171	C-O symmetric stretching 4		
1020	C-N stretch	2	
850	C-H out of plane bending	2	

Table S1: IR assignment of PEA_{100} -TM₃-EGDMA₁ material.

Table S2: Swelling ratio of PEA-TM (RAFT) materials

Entry	Materials	Swelling Ratio (DCM)	Swelling Ratio (H ₂ O)	Swelling Ratio (Acetone)	Swelling Ratio (Hexane)
1	PEA ₁₀₀ -TM ₃ -EGDMA ₁	9.8 ± 0.1	1.0	6.2±0.1	1.0
2	PEA ₅₀ -TM _{1.5} -EGDMA ₁	9.2 ±0.1	1.0	5.8±0.1	1.0
3	PEA ₁₀₀ -TM ₆ -EGDMA ₁	8.3 ±0.1	1.0	4.2±0.1	1.0
4	PEA ₅₀ -TM ₃ -EGDMA ₀	8.2±0.1	1.0	3.7±0.1	1.0
5	PEA ₅₀ -TM ₅ -EGDMA ₁	6.4±0.1	1.0	3.0±0.1	1.0
6	PEA ₅₀ -TM ₅ -EGDMA ₀	6.8±0.1	1.0	3.1±0.1	1.0



Figure S14: DSC curve for PEA_{100} -TM₃-EGDMA₁ material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S15: DSC curve for PEA_{50} -TM_{1.5}-EGDMA₁ material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S16: DSC curve for PEA_{100} -TM₆-EGDMA₁ material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S17: DSC curve for PEA_{50} -TM₃-EGDMA₀ material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S18: DSC curve for PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₁ material measured from the second heating cycle.

Figure S19: DSC curve for PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₀ material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S20: DSC curve for FRP-PEA-7%TM material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S21: DSC curve for FRP-PEA-4%DVB material measured from the second heating cycle.



Figure S22: Rheology of PEA₅₀-TM₅-EGDMA₁ material



Figure S23: Rheology of PEA₅₀-TM₅-EGDMA₀ material



Figure S24: Rheology of FRP-PEA-7%TM material



Figure S25: Rheology Strain sweep data of FRP-PEA-7%TM material at angular frequency of 6.28 rad/s



Figure S26: Self-healing properties of PEA₅₀-TM_{1.5}-EGDMA₁ material after healing at different times at 90

°C.



Figure S27: Self-healing properties of PEA_{100} -TM₆-EGDMA₁ material after healing at different times at 90 °C.



Figure S28: Self-healing properties of PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₁ material after healing at different times at 90 °C.



Figure S29: Self-healing properties of PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₀ material after healing at different times at 90 °C.



Figure S30: Self-healing properties of FRP-PEA-4%DVB material after healing at 90 °C



Figure S31: Malleability of PEA₅₀-TM_{1.5}-EGDMA₁ materials at different time periods, performed in 90 °C.



Figure S32: Malleability of PEA_{100} -TM₆-EGDMA₁ materials at different time periods, performed in 90 °C.



Figure S33: Malleability of PEA₅₀-TM₃-EGDMA₀ materials at different time periods, performed in 90 °C.



Figure S34: Malleability of PEA₅₀-TM₅-EGDMA₁ materials at different time periods, performed in 90 °C.



Figure S35: Malleability of PEA₅₀-TM₅-EGDMA₀ materials at different time periods, performed in 90 °C.



Figure S36: Stress relaxation and creep deformation of $\mathsf{PEA}_{50}\text{-}\mathsf{TM}_{1.5}\text{-}\mathsf{EGDMA}_1$ material as a



Figure S37: Stress relaxation and creep deformation of PEA₁₀₀-TM₆-EGDMA₁ material as a



Figure S38: Stress relaxation and creep deformation of PEA₅₀-TM₃-EGDMA₀ material as a



Figure S39: Stress relaxation and creep deformation of PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₁ material as a function time



Figure S40: Stress relaxation and creep deformation of PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₀ material as a



Figure S41: Creep recovery of PEA_{50} -TM_{1.5}-EGDMA₁ material as a function of time after releasing from 100% strain for 2 days.



Figure S42: Creep recovery of PEA_{100} -TM₆-EGDMA₁ material as a function of time after releasing from 100% strain for 2 days.



Figure S43: Creep recovery of PEA_{50} -TM₃-EGDMA₀ material as a function of time after releasing from 100% strain for 2 days.



Figure S44: Creep recovery of PEA_{50} -TM₅-EGDMA₁ material as a function of time after releasing from 100% strain for 2 days.



Figure S45: Creep recovery of PEA₅₀-TM₅-EGDMA₀ material as a function of time after releasing

from 100% strain for 2 days.

References

- 1. B. Zhang, P. Chakma, M. P. Shulman, J. Ke, Z. A. Digby and D. Konkolewicz, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2018, **16**, 2725-2734
- 2. D. R. Palleros, *Experimental organic chemistry*, Wiley & Sons, 2000.
- 3. E. Vargün and A. Usanmaz, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2005, 43, 3957-3965.
- 4. L. Wang, W. Dong and Y. Xu, *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 2007, **68**, 626-636.