Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2018

Supporting Information for

Synthesis of Cobalt-doped Nickel Sulfide Nanomaterials with Rich Edge Sites as

High-performance Supercapacitor Electrode materials

Shengli Xie^{ab}, Jianxia Gou^b, Bin Liu^a and Chenguang Liu^{a*}

a State Key Laboratory of Heavy Oil Processing, China University of Petroleum (East

- China), Qingdao 266580, PR China
- b College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Binzhou University, Binzhou 25660
- 3, PRChina
- * Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: shenglixie@126.com (S. Xie), cgliu@upc.edu.cn (C. Liu).



Figure S1. SEM images of precursors: (a) Ni/Co=1/0, (b) Ni/Co=4/1, (c) Ni/Co=3/1,

(d) Ni/Co=1/1, (e) Ni/Co=1/3, (f) Ni/Co=1/4, and (g) Ni/Co=0/1



Figure S2. EDS spectra of samples: (a) $Ni_{0.2}Co_{0.8}S_2$, (b) $Ni_{0.25}Co_{0.75}S_2$, (c)

 $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}S_2$, (d) $Ni_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2$ and (e) $Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.2}S_2$.



Figure S3. Elemental mapping of the $Ni_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2$.



Figure S4. TEM images (a and b) and HRTEM image (c) of the NiS₂, and the inset is

FFT (fast-Fourier transform) image obtained from the yellow square region.



Figure S5. A photograph of a red LED lighted up by two supercapacitors in series

III. Tables

Table S1. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of Ni 2p XPS of $\ensuremath{\text{NiS}}_2$ and

Sample	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Position (eV)	FWHM (eV)					
NiS ₂	853.8	1.77	871.2	2.52					
Ni _{0.75} Co _{0.25} S ₂	853.9	2.21	871.6	3.44					

 $Ni_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2.$

r			1	
Ref	Electrode	Maximum canacitance	Cycle	Capacitance retention
	materials	Maximum capacitanee	number	ratio
1	Ni ₃ S ₄	1213 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	2000	60 % at 2 A g ⁻¹
2	NiS	1636.4 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	1000	102.8 % at 50 mv s ⁻¹
3	NiS/rGO	905.2 F g ⁻¹ at 0.5 A g ⁻¹	2000	88.3 % at 4 A g ⁻¹
4	Ni ₃ S ₂ /carbon fiber	957 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	83.5 % at 4 A g ⁻¹
5	NiS	857.76 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	1000	41 % at 2 A g ⁻¹
6	NiS ₂	695 F g ⁻¹ at 1.25 A g ⁻¹	3000	93.4 % at 1.25 A g ⁻¹
7	Graphene/NiS ₂	478.1 F g ⁻¹ at 0.5 A g ⁻¹	2000	89.3 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
	composite			
8	α-NiS	717.3 F g ⁻¹ at 0.6 A g ⁻¹	1000	98.5 % at 20 A g ⁻¹
9	NiS ₂	1020.2 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	93.4 % at 2 A g ⁻¹
10	NiS ₂ /NiO	2251 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	2000	78 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
11	NiS-NiS ₂ /rGO	1169 F g ⁻¹ at 5 A g ⁻¹	3000	41.4 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
12	NiS ₂ /ZnS	1198 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	87 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
13	Ni ₃ S ₂ -NiS	1077.3 F g ⁻¹ at 5 A g ⁻¹	10000	76.3 % at 20 A g ⁻¹
14	NiS	1122.7 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	97.8 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
15	NiS ₂	1643 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	27.9 % at 1 A g ⁻¹
16	NiS	1315.4 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	5000	84.2 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
17	Ni _x S _v @CoS	2291 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	2000	37.6 % at 20 A g ⁻¹
10	Co ₉ S ₈ /RGO/	13.53 F cm ⁻² at 20 mA		
18	Ni_3S_2 on Ni foam	cm ⁻²	1000	91.7% at 80 mA cm ⁻²
10	Nickel	757 F g ⁻¹ at 0.5 A g ⁻¹	2000	100 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
19	sulfides/MoS ₂			
20	β-NiS	697.3 C g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	2500	80 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
21	Ni@rGO Ni ₃ S ₂	987.8 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	3000	97.9 % at 12 A g ⁻¹
22	NiS	1897 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹		
23	NiS	718 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	3000	82.6 % at 2 A g ⁻¹
24	NiS/Ni Foam	2.64 F cm ⁻² at 2.5 mA cm ⁻²	2000	90 % at 2.5 mA cm ⁻²
25	α-NiS	1092 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	2000	100 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
26	Ni ₃ S ₂ @β-NiS	1158 F g ⁻¹ at 2 A g ⁻¹	2000	97.4 % at 15 A g ⁻¹
27	NiS/CoO	1054 F g ⁻¹ at 6A g ⁻¹	3000	91.7 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
28	Co _{1.5} Ni _{1.5} S ₄	1370.7 F g ⁻¹ at 0.5 A g ⁻¹	2000	88.8 % at 0.5 A g ⁻¹
29	Ni _x Co _{3x} S ₄	1418 F g ⁻¹ at 5 A g ⁻¹	/	/
30	$Ni_{0.31}Co_{0.69}S_2$ /graphene	1166 F g ⁻¹ at 1 A g ⁻¹	1000	74.5 % at 5 A g ⁻¹
		238.0 mAh g ⁻¹	1000	81.8 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
This	Ni Ca C	(equivalent to 2142.0 F	2000	75.6 % at 10 A g ⁻¹
work	INI _{0.75} C0 _{0.25} S ₂		3000	75.3.% at 10 A g-1

Table S2. Performance comparison of the nickel sulfide-based electrode materials in

 three-electrode configuration with previously published results¹⁻³⁰

	g ⁻¹) at 2 A g ⁻¹		
	8) = 118		

As seen in the **Table S2**, the capacity values of $Ni_{0.75}Co_{0.25}S_2$ is somewhat inferior to that of $Ni_xS_y@CoS$ [17], but the capacity retention ratio is significantly higher than that of it.

References

- L. Wang, J. Liu, L. Zhang, B. Dai, M. Xu, M. Ji, X. S. Zhao, C. Cao, J. Zhang and H. Zhu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 8422-8426.
- 2. Z. Li, A. Gu, J. Sun and Q. Zhou, *New J. Chem*, 2016, **40**, 1663-1670.
- J. Yang, X. Duan, W. Guo, D. Li, H. Zhang and W. Zheng, *Nano Energy*, 2014,
 5, 74-81.
- 4. W. Yu, W. Lin, X. Shao, Z. Hu, R. Li and D. Yuan, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **272**, 137-143.
- 5. J. Yang, X. Duan, Q. Qin and W. Zheng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 7880-7884.
- H. Pang, C. Wei, X. Li, G. Li, Y. Ma, S. Li, J. Chen and J. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014,
 4, 3577.
- 7. X. Li, J. Shen, N. Li and M. Ye, *Mater. Lett.*, 2015, **139**, 81-85.
- C. Wei, C. Cheng, Y. Cheng, Y. Wang, Y. Xu, W. Du and H. Pang, *Dalton T.*, 2015, 44, 17278-17285.
- Y. Ruan, J. Jiang, H. Wan, X. Ji, L. Miao, L. Peng, B. Zhang, L. Lv and J. Liu, J. Power Sources, 2016, 301, 122-130.
- 10. D. Zhang, X. Zhou, K. Ye, Y. Li, C. Song, K. Cheng, D. Cao, G. Wang and Q. Li,

Electrochimi. Acta, 2015, 173, 209-214.

- Z. Xing, Q. Chu, X. Ren, J. Tian, A. M. Asiri, K. A. Alamry, A. O. Al-Youbi and X. Sun, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2013, **32**, 9-13.
- 12. G.-C. Li, M. Liu, M.-K. Wu, P.-F. Liu, Z. Zhou, S.-R. Zhu, R. Liu and L. Han, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 103517-103522.
- X. Zang, Z. Dai, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Huang and X. Dong, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2016, 8, 24645-24651.
- B. Guan, Y. Li, B. Yin, K. Liu, D. Wang, H. Zhang and C. Cheng, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **308**, 1165-1173.
- M. Lu, X.-P. Yuan, X.-H. Guan and G.-S. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 3621-3627.
- J. Zhao, B. Guan, B. Hu, Z. Xu, D. Wang and H. Zhang, *Electrochimi. Acta*, 2017, 230, 428-437.
- R. Gao, Q. Zhang, F. Soyekwo, C. Lin, R. Lv, Y. Qu, M. Chen, A. Zhu and Q. Liu, *Electrochimi. Acta*, 2017, 237, 94-101.
- Z. Zhang, Q. Wang, C. Zhao, S. Min and X. Qian, *ACS Appl. Mater. Inter.*, 2015, 7, 4861-4868.
- 19. X. Yang, L. Zhao and J. Lian, J. Power Sources, 2017, 343, 373-382.
- 20. Y. Ruan, D. Zha, L. Lv, B. Zhang, J. Liu, X. Ji, C. Wang and J. Jiang, *Electrochimi. Acta*, 2017, **236**, 307-318.
- 21. D. Ghosh and C. K. Das, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter.s, 2015, 7, 1122-1131.
- 22. Z. Li, X. Yu, A. Gu, H. Tang, L. Wang and Z. Lou, Nanotechnology, 2017, 28,

065406.

- X. Yan, X. Tong, L. Ma, Y. Tian, Y. Cai, C. Gong, M. Zhang and L. Liang, Mater. Lett., 2014, 124, 133-136.
- L. Yu, B. Yang, Q. Liu, J. Liu, X. Wang, D. Song, J. Wang and X. Jing, J. Electroanal. Chem, 2015, 739, 156-163.
- C. Sun, M. Ma, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, P. Chen, W. Huang and X. Dong, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, 4, 7054.
- W. Li, S. Wang, L. Xin, M. Wu and X. Lou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 7700-7709.
- 27. J. Wu, C. Ouyang, S. Dou and S. Wang, *Nanotechnology*, 2015, 26, 325401.
- Y. Tang, T. Chen, S. Yu, Y. Qiao, S. Mu, S. Zhang, Y. Zhao, L. Hou, W. Huang and F. Gao, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, 295, 314-322.
- 29. W. Chen, C. Xia and H. N. Alshareef, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 9531-9541.
- 30. G. Li and C. Xu, *Carbon*, 2015, **90**, 44-52.