Structure Transformation in $Ca_{1-x-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_{x}Ag_{1-y}Sb$ ($0 \le \delta \le 0.7$) and

Related Thermoelectric Properties

Jia Guo, Min Zhu, Xin Li, Xu-Tang Tao, and Sheng-Qing Xia*

State Key Laboratory of Crystal Materials, Institute of Crystal Materials, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

Contents

- 1. EDS analyses on the compositions of single crystal of $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$.
- 2. Table S1. Selected crystal data and structure refinement parameters for $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$.
- 3. **Table S2.** Refined atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr _{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag _{0.931(3)}Sb.
- Table S4. Unit cell parameters refined from the powder diffraction patterns of Ca_{1-x-δ}Sr_δLa_xAg_{0.89}Sb with various compositions.
- Figure S1. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns for Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{1-y}Sb (y = 0.11, 0.13, 0.15) materials.
- 7. **Figure S2.** Components of the electronic (a) and lattice thermal conductivity (b) for materials $Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{1-y}Sb$ (y = 0.11, 0.13, 0.15).
- 8. **Figure S3.** Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of material Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.90}Sb. The theoretical predictions of Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.85}Sb and Ag were provided for comparison as well.
- 9. Figure S4. [AgSb] anionic framework structure of $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$ viewed along the *b*-axis. The long interlayered Ag-Sb distances (4.15 and 4.24 Å) as well as the almost planar [AgSb] net (inplane Ag–Sb–Ag angle: 119.9°) both suggest high similarity to the SrAgSb structure, which evidently suggest the structure transformation of $Ca_{1-x-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_xAg_{1-y}Sb$ from the LiGaGe type towards the ZrBeSi type.
- 10. **Figure S5.** Temperature dependence of the heat capacity of materials $Ca_{1.} \delta Sr_{\delta}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.89}Sb$ (δ = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7).
- 11. **Figure S6.** Band gaps (a) evaluated by the peaks of Seebeck coefficient measurements and corresponding effective mass (b) with various Sr contents.
- 12. **Figure S7.** Electronic band structures calculated for hypothetical LiGaGe-type Ca_{1-x}La_xAg_ySb (left) and ZrBeSi-type SrAgSb (right).
- 13. Figure S8. Partial density of states (DOS) for ZrBeSi-type SrAgSb.

| @ | ST Ag | | ¢ ¢ ¢ | | Spec | um 3 | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-----|------|------|-----------|
| D 1 Full Scale 2 | 2 2112 cts Curs | 3 4 or: 0.000 | 5 6 | 5 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 keV |
| Element | Weight% | Atomic% | Compositie | on | | | |
| Са | 2.62 | 6.86 | 0.20 | | | | |

0.67

0.13

0.87

1

Sr

Ag

Sb

La

19.45

31.39

40.58

5.95

23.25

30.48

34.92

4.49

EDS analyses on the compositions of single crystal of $Ca_{0.16(1)}Sr_{0.76(1)}La_{0.07}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$.

| Formula | $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{\ 0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$ | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| $fw/g \cdot mol^{-1}$ | 305.67 | | | |
| T / K | 273(2) | | | |
| Radiation, wavelength | Mo-Ka, 0.71073 Å | | | |
| Space group, No. | P6(3)mc (No.186) | | | |
| Ζ | 2 | | | |
| Cell dimensions | | | | |
| <i>a</i> / Å | 4.7512(4) | | | |
| c/Å | 8.3913(15) | | | |
| V/Å ³ | 164.05(4) | | | |
| $ ho_{calc}$ / g·cm ⁻³ | 6.188 | | | |
| $\mu_{Mo\ Klpha}/\ { m cm}^{-1}$ | 2.693 | | | |
| Final <i>R</i> indices ^a | <i>R1</i> = 0.0132 | | | |
| $[I\!\!>\!\!2\sigma_{(I)}]$ | wR2 = 0.0291 | | | |
| Final <i>R</i> indices ^a | <i>R1</i> = 0.0143 | | | |
| [all data] | wR2 = 0.0297 | | | |

Table S1. Selected crystal data and structure refinement parameters for $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb.$

^a $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|;$ $wR_2 = [\sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_o^2)^2]]^{1/2}$, and $w = 1/[\sigma^2 F_o^2 + (A \cdot P)^2 + B \cdot P]$, $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$; A and B are weight coefficients.

| Atoms | Wyckoff | <i>0CC</i> . | x | У | Ζ | $U_{eq}{}^{a}(A^{2})$ |
|-------|---------|--------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----------------------|
| Ag | 2b | 0.931(3) | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.2465(5) | 0.0230(5) |
| Sb | 2b | 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.7519(4) | 0.0134(3) |
| La | 2a | 0.068(13) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0145(3) |
| Sr | 2a | 0.77(3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0145(3) |
| Ca | 2a | 0.162(19) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0145(3) |

Table S2. Refined atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb.$

^{*a*} U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U^{ij} tensor.

| Atom pairs | Distances (Å) | | |
|------------|---------------|-----------|--|
| Sr/La/Ca – | $Sb \times 6$ | 3.434(2) | |
| | $Ag \times 2$ | 3.446(3) | |
| | $Ag \times 3$ | 3.461(3) | |
| Ag – | $Sb \times 3$ | 2.7435(3) | |

 $\textbf{Table S3.} Important interatomic distances (Å) in Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb.$

| $Ca_{1-x-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_{x}Ag_{0.89}Sb$ | a | b | c | αβγ |
|---|------------|---------|------------|--------|
| x=0.15, δ=0 | 4.703(1)Å | 4.703 Å | 7.792(2) Å | |
| x=0.15,δ=0.1 | 4.706(1) Å | 4.706 Å | 7.853(1) Å | |
| x=0.15,δ=0.2 | 4.708(1) Å | 4.708 Å | 7.935(1) Å | |
| x=0.15,δ=0.3 | 4.720(1) Å | 4.720 Å | 7.985(3) Å | α=90° |
| x=0.15,δ=0.4 | 4.730(2) Å | 4.730 Å | 8.070(4) Å | β=90° |
| x=0.15,δ=0.5 | 4.729(1) Å | 4.729 Å | 8.136(3) Å | γ=120° |
| x=0.15,δ=0.6 | 4.742(1) Å | 4.742 Å | 8.217(1) Å | |
| x=0.15,δ=0.7 | 4.749(2) Å | 4.749 Å | 8.299(7) Å | |
| x=0, δ=0.85 | 4.762(1) Å | 4.762 Å | 8.532(1) Å | |

Table S4. Unit cell parameters refined from the powder diffraction patterns of $Ca_{1-x-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_xAg_{0.89}Sb$ with various compositions.



Figure S1. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns for $Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{1-y}Sb$ (y = 0.11, 0.13, 0.15) materials.



Figure S2. Components of the electronic (a) and lattice thermal conductivity (b) for materials $Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{1-y}Sb$ (y = 0.11, 0.13, 0.15).



Figure S3. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of material $Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.90}Sb$. The theoretical predictions of $Ca_{0.85}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.85}Sb$ and Ag were provided for comparison as well.



Figure S4. [AgSb] anionic framework structure of $Ca_{0.16(2)}Sr_{0.77(3)}La_{0.07(1)}Ag_{0.931(3)}Sb$ viewed along the *b*-axis. The long interlayered Ag-Sb distances (4.15 and 4.24 Å) as well as the almost planar [AgSb] net (in-plane Ag–Sb–Ag angle: 119.9°) both suggest high similarity to the SrAgSb structure, which evidently suggest the structure transformation of $Ca_{1-x-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_{x}Ag_{1-y}Sb$ from the LiGaGe type towards the ZrBeSi type.



Figure S5. Temperature dependence of the heat capacity of materials $Ca_{1-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.89}Sb$ (δ = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7).



Figure S6. Band gaps (a) evaluated by the peaks of Seebeck coefficient measurements and corresponding effective mass (b) with various Sr contents in $Ca_{0.85-\delta}Sr_{\delta}La_{0.15}Ag_{0.89}Sb$.



Figure S7. Electronic band structures calculated for hypothetical LiGaGe-type Ca₁₋ _xLa_xAg_ySb (left) and ZrBeSi-type SrAgSb (right).



Figure S8. Partial density of states (DOS) for ZrBeSi-type SrAgSb.