Supplementary Information

A novel class of functional additives on cyclability enhancement of sulfur cathode in lithium sulfur batteries

Kailong Zhang, ‡^{ab} Liangbiao Wang, ‡^a Wenlong Cai, ^b Can Wang, ^c Gaoran Li, ^c Zhoupeng Li, *^c Wutao Mao, *^a Yitai Qian^b

a. Resource Environment and Clean Energy Laboratory, Jiangsu University of Technology,

Changzhou, 213001, China.

^{b.} Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Science at Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China.

^{c.} College of Chemical & Biological Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author. Tel: 86-519-86953470; Fax: 86-519-86953470.

E-mail address: zkl045@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Method and procedures

The synthesized samples were identified *via* X-ray diffraction (XRD) (RigakuD/MAX-2550-PC) with Cu K radiation (λ =1.54059 Å). Their morphological observation was conducted with field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Zeiss Ultra55) at 5 kV.

The hierarchical macroporous carbon (MPC) was synthesized as previously reported.^{1, 2} Sulfur-loaded MPC (S/MPC, S:MPC=7:3) was prepared *via* calcination of the ball-milled mixture of sulfur and MPC at 155 °C for 4 h in N₂ atmosphere.³

The Li_2S_4 solution was prepared by adding sublimed S and Li_2S in a molar ration of 3:1 by stoichiometrically into anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) at the same time. Then aging for 10 h and homogeneous solution was obtained.

Coin cells (CR2025) were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box by using a lithium foil as the counter electrode and Celgard 2400 membrane as the separator. The working electrode was prepared by casting the electrode slurry on an Al foil before drying at 60 °C for 12 h in vacuum. The S/MPC, S/MPC-741 and S/MPC+LCO electrodes were prepared by mixing S/MPC, SP, PVDF and LCO at the mass ratio of 7:2:1:0, 7:4:1:0 and 7:2:1:2, respectively. The sulfur content in cathode was measured by the thermogravimetric analyzer. A total of 80 μ L electrolyte and 2 mg electrode materials including sulfur, additive, and PVDF were employed in the test cell. The electrolyte consisted of lithium bis (trifluoromethane sulfonimide) (LiTFSI: 1 mol L⁻¹) and LiNO₃ (1 wt.%) in a DOL-DME solution (1:1 ratio in volume, DOL: 1, 3-dioxolane, DME:1, 2-dimethoxyethane).

Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were recorded at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. The galvanostatic charge and discharge tests were conducted within 1.8-2.6 V (*vs.* Li⁺/Li). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS, CHI604E) measurements were carried out from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with the amplitude of 5 mV. All electrochemical measurements were performed at 25 °C.

2. Supporting Figures



Figure S1. The comparison of the coulombic efficiency for S/MPC and S/MPC+LCO electrodes.



Figure S2 XRD patterns of the S/MPC+LCO electrode before and after cycling.



Figure S3 Visual discrimination of LCO@Li₂S₄ in THF, (a) before and (b) after 24 h.



Figure S4 The S2p spectrum of polysulfide before and after adsorption.



Figure S5. N2 adsorption-desorption isotherms of LCO with ball-mixed and without ball-mixed.



Figure S6 Cycling performance of the cell with different kind of additives.

Table 51.1 enormance comparison of the batteries with additives					
Sulfur	Additives	Content of	Performance	Decay rate	Ref.
cathodes		additives (%)	$(mAh g^{-1})$	(%)	
S	SBA-15	9.5	ca.370 (40 th)	0.28	4
			at 1 C.		
S	Al ₂ O ₃	10	660 (25 th)	0.23	5
S	Mg _{0.6} Ni _{0.4} O	16	430 (20 th) at	2.5	6
			100 mA/g		
S/Graphene	SiO ₂	3	681 (150 th) at	0.018	7
			0.1 C		
S	Chitosan	3.5	646 (100 th) at	0.18	8
			1C		
S/C-V ₂ O ₅	Mg _{0.8} Cu _{0.2} O	10	422 (30 th)	0.75	9
S	La ₂ O ₃	10	450 (80 th)	3.8	10
S	TiO ₂	30	680 (100 th) at	0.23	11
			0.2 C		
S	LiCoO ₂	3	715 (150 th) at	0.13	This work
			1 C.		
			375 (1200 th)	0.034	
			at 2 C.		

Table S1. Performance comparison of the batteries with additives

references

- B. Xu, L. Shi, X. W. Guo, L. Peng, Z. X. Wang, S. Chen, G. P. Cao, F. Wu and Y. S. Yang, *Electrochim.* Acta, 2011, 56, 6464.
- 2 F. He, J. Yang, R. Li, B. H. Liu and Z. P. Li, J. Power Sources, 2015, 274, 48.
- 3 W. Cai, G. Li, F. He, L. Jin, B. Liu and Z. Li, J. Power Sources, 2015, 283, 524.
- 4 X. Ji, S. Evers, R. Black and L. F. Nazar, Nat. Commun., 2011, 2, 325. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1293 (2011).
- 5 Y. J. Choi, B. S. Jung, D. J. Lee, J. H. Jeong, K. W. Kim, H. J. Ahn, K. K. Cho and H. B. Gu, Phys. *Scripta*, 2007, 62.
- 6 Y. Zhang, Z. Bakenov, Y. Zhao, A. Konarov, T. N. L. Doan, K. E. K. Sun, A. Yermukhambetova and P. Chen, *Powder Technol.*, 2013, 235, 248.
- 7 P. Wei, M. Fan, H. Chen, D. Chen, C. Li, K. Shu and C. Lv, Int. J. Hydrogen. Energ., 2016, 41, 1819.
- 8 Y. Chen, N. Liu, H. Shao, W. Wang, M. Gao, C. Li, H. Zhang, A. Wang and Y. Huang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 15235.
- 9 Y. Zhang, X. Wu, H. Feng, L. Wang, A. Zhang, T. Xia and H. Dong, Int. J. Hydrogen. Energ., 2009, 34, 1556.
- 10 W. Zheng, X. G. Hu and C. F. Zhang, Electrochem. Solid State Lett., 2006, 9, A364.
- 11 Q. Li, Z. Zhang, K. Zhang, L. Xu, J. Fang, Y. Lai and J. Li, J. Solid State Electrochem, 2013, 17, 2959.