Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers. This journal is © the Partner Organisations 2018

## **Supporting Information**

## Dual Carbon-Modified Nickel Sulfide Composites Toward High-Performance Electrodes for Supercapacitors

Jiapeng He<sup>a</sup>, Can Guo<sup>a</sup>, Shaowen Zhou<sup>a</sup>, Yinlong Zhao<sup>a</sup>, Qingpeng Wang<sup>c</sup>, Shun Yang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jiaqin Yang<sup>b,\*</sup>, Qinghong Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China.

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China.

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Biopharmaceutical Research, Liaocheng University, Liaocheng 252059, China.

E-mail: yangshun@jsnu.edu.cn (S. Yang), yjq8681@163.com (J. Q. Yang),

wangqh@jsnu.edu.cn (Q. H. Wang)



**Figure S1.** (a) XRD pattern, (b, c) SEM images and (d-f) TEM images of NiO@C/rGO precursor.



Figure S2. (a) XRD pattern, (b) SEM image and (c, d) TEM images of Ni/rGO

precursor.



Figure S3. (a) XRD pattern, (b) SEM image and (c, d) TEM images of NiO@C  $\ensuremath{\texttt{NiO}}$ 

precursor.



**Figure S4.** TGA curves of the as-prepared Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@C/rGO, Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>/rGO and Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@C composites.



Figure S5. (a) N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of the as-prepared Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@C/rGO, Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>/rGO and Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@C composites.



**Figure S6.** Charge-discharge curves of (a) Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>/rGO and (b) Ni<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>@C electrodes at different current densities.



Figure S7. CV curves of the (a)  $Ni_3S_2@C/rGO$ , (b)  $Ni_3S_2@C$  and (c)  $Ni_3S_2/rGO$  electrode at different scan rates. (d) Dependence of the peak current density on square root of sweep rate for the as-prepared  $Ni_3S_2$  electrodes (cathodic peaks).



**Figure S8.** (a) CV curves of the AC electrode at different scan rates. (b) Cycle performance of AC electrode at 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S9. TEM images of (a, b)  $Ni_3S_2@C/rGO$ , (c, d)  $Ni_3S_2@C$  and (e, f)  $Ni_3S_2/rGO$ electrodes after 1000 cycles at 5 A g<sup>-1</sup>.

Table S1 Rate performance of  $Ni_3S_2@C/rGO,\,Ni_3S_2@C$  and  $Ni_3S_2/rGO$  electrodes at

Current density	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @C/rGO	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /rGO	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @C
$(A g^{-1})$	(F g <sup>-1</sup> )	$(F g^{-1})$	(F g <sup>-1</sup> )
1	1171	980	910
2	1107	936	830
5	1023	900	670
10	936	830	620
20	848	680	510

different current densities