# Supporting Information

## A carbon-oxygen-bridged hexacyclic ladder-type building block for lowbandgap nonfullerene acceptors

Ting Li,‡<sup>a,b</sup> Honghong Zhang,<sup>‡b</sup> Zuo Xiao,<sup>b</sup> Jeromy J. Rech,<sup>c</sup> Helin Niu,<sup>\*a</sup> Wei You<sup>\*c</sup> and Liming Ding<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Chemistry for Inorganic/Organic Hybrid Functionalized Materials, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China. E-mail: niuhelin@ahu.edu.cn

<sup>b.</sup> Center for Excellence in Nanoscience (CAS), Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication (CAS), National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China. E-mail: ding@nanoctr.cn

<sup>c.</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. *E-mail: wyou@unc.edu* 

‡ T. Li and H. Zhang contributed equally to this work.

## 1. General characterization

- 2. Synthesis
- 3. NMR
- 4. UV-Vis
- 5. CV
- 6. Device fabrication and measurements
- 7. Optimization of device performance
- 8. SCLC
- 9. AFM

#### 1. General characterization

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance-400 spectrometer. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. Cyclic voltammetry was done by using a Shanghai Chenhua CHI620D voltammetric analyzer under argon in an anhydrous acetonitrile solution of tetra-n-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.1 M). A glassy-carbon electrode was used as the working electrode, a platinum-wire was used as the counter electrode, and a Ag/Ag<sup>+</sup> electrode was used as the reference electrode. The donor or acceptor was coated onto glassy-carbon electrode and all potentials were corrected against  $Fc/Fc^+$ . AFM was performed on a Multimode microscope (Veeco) using tapping mode.

#### 2. Synthesis

All reagents were purchased from J&K Co., Aladdin Co., Innochem Co., Derthon Co., SunaTech Co. and other commercial suppliers. (3,6-dimethoxythieno[3,2-b]thiophene-2,5-diyl)bis(trimethylstannane),<sup>[1]</sup> 2-ethylhexyl 2-bromothiophene-3-carboxylate<sup>[2]</sup> and FTAZ<sup>[3]</sup> were prepared according to literature.

То (3,6-dimethoxythieno[3,2-b]thiophene-2,5-Compound 1. solution of а diyl)bis(trimethylstannane) (526 mg, 1 mmol) and 2-ethylhexyl 2-bromothiophene-3carboxylate (795 mg, 2.5 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) and DMF (3 mL) was added Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (116 mg, 0.1 mmol) under argon. The mixture was heated to reflux for 48 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent to give compound 1 as a yellow oil (561 mg, 83%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 7.50 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 4.08-4.23 (m, 4H), 3.97 (s, 6H), 1.53 (br, 2H), 1.13-1.40 (m, 16H), 0.72-0.97 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, δ/ppm): 163.30, 147.81, 138.89, 131.27, 129.63, 127.93, 125.68, 114.03, 67.16, 59.31, 38.77, 30.32, 28.93, 23.64, 22.89, 14.06, 10.97. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 676.5 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**Compound 2.** To a solution of compound 1 (500 mg, 0.74 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) was added BBr<sub>3</sub> (2.5 mL, 1.75 M, 4.44 mmol) at room temperature under argon. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 h and was quenched by adding H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL). The organic layer was directly submitted to a silica gel column with CHCl<sub>3</sub> as the eluent. **Compound 2** was obtained as a yellow solid (455 mg, 95%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 10.46 (s, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* 

= 5.4 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 4H), 1.46 (br, 2H), 1.13-1.22 (m, 16H), 0.61-0.91 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz, δ/ppm): 163.12, 145.22, 139.52, 129.89, 129.19, 127.48, 126.34, 108.62, 66.41, 38.89, 29.82, 28.44, 23.10, 22.34, 13.97, 10.83. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 671.5 (M + Na<sup>+</sup>).

**Compound 3.** To a solution of compound 2 (455 mg, 0.7 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (120 mg, 0.7 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 1 h and was poured into MeOH. The precipitate was collected and dried under vacuum to give **compound 3** as a yellow solid (272 mg, 100%). NMR data were not acquired due to the extremely low solubility of compound 3.

**CO<sub>2</sub>6.** To compound 3 (272 mg, 0.7 mmol) was added (4-hexylphenyl)magnesium bromide (7 mL, 1 M in THF, 7 mmol) at room temperature under argon. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into ice water followed by extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> three times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in toluene (20 mL) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (240 mg, 1.4 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and was poured into MeOH. The precipitate was collected and purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent to give **CO<sub>2</sub>6** as a yellow solid (603 mg, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 7.17 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 8H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 1.52-1.64 (m, 12H), 1.29 (s, 24H), 0.85-0.87 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 143.30, 142.78, 140.75, 133.03, 129.92, 127.82, 127.80, 127.63, 125.25, 120.49, 113.57, 88.65, 35.61, 31.68, 31.20, 29.10, 22.57, 14.07. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 1001.9 (M + H<sup>+</sup>).

CO<sub>*i*</sub>6-CHO. To a solution of CO<sub>*i*</sub>6 (600 mg, 0.6 mmol) in dry THF (100 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (1.13 mL, 1.6 M, 1.8 mmol) at -78 °C under argon. The resulting mixture was warmed to -50 °C and stirred for 1.5 h. To the mixture was added N,N-dimethylformamide (475  $\mu$ L, 6 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h and was then quenched by water followed by extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> three times. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:petroleum ether (1:2) as eluent to give CO<sub>*i*</sub>6-CHO as an orange solid (507 mg, 80%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 9.72 (s, 2H),

7.14 (m, 16H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 2.54-2.64 (m, 8H), 1.54-1.66 (m, 8H), 1.24-1.37 (m, 24H), 0.87 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 181.81, 146.27, 143.57, 139.45, 139.26, 138.79, 136.21, 134.06, 128.17, 127.69, 127.52, 114.79, 88.71, 35.60, 31.66, 31.17, 29.07, 22.56, 14.06. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 1058.0 (M + H<sup>+</sup>).

**CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6IC.** To a solution of CO<sub>*i*</sub>6-CHO (100 mg, 0.095 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (15 mL) was added 2-(3-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-ylidene)malononitrile (IC) (114 mg, 0.95 mmol) and pyridine (0.3 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent to give **CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6IC** as a black solid (120 mg, 90%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF-d<sub>8</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 8.62 (s, 2H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.76-7.85 (m, 4H), 7.62-7.74 (m, 4H), 7.20 (m, 16H), 2.54-2.70 (m, 8H), 1.59-1.63 (m, 8H), 1.30-1.36 (m, 24H), 0.87 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 188.06, 159.27, 147.52, 144.50, 139.74, 139.41, 136.61, 136.45, 134.87, 134.57, 128.68, 128.28, 124.70, 123.33, 114.73, 114.59, 67.82, 35.66, 31.67, 31.11, 29.23, 22.61, 14.08. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 1409.6 (M<sup>+</sup>).

**CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6FIC.** To a solution of CO<sub>*i*</sub><sup>6</sup>-CHO (70 mg, 0.066 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) was added FIC (two isomers) (70 mg, 0.33 mmol) and pyridine (0.5 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent to give **CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6FIC** as a black solid (90 mg, 94%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF-d<sub>8</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 8.68 (m, 2H), 8.23 (br), 7.86 (br), 7.20-7.64 (br), 2.60 (m, 8H), 1.60 (m, 8H), 1.31 (m, 24H), 0.87 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 186.71, 167.79, 165.22, 157.90, 147.81, 147.77, 147.66, 144.81, 143.92, 141.86, 141.76, 139.50, 136.81, 136.64, 135.29, 135.00, 134.17, 132.64, 128.87, 128.30, 125.55, 125.45, 121.95, 121.73, 119.99, 117.02, 114.47, 114.39, 114.06, 112.48, 112.22, 89.17, 68.55, 67.71, 35.65, 31.68, 31.09, 29.21, 22.61, 14.08. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 1446.8 (M + H<sup>+</sup>).

**CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6DFIC.** To a solution of CO<sub>*i*</sub>6-CHO (70 mg, 0.066 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) was added DFIC (76 mg, 0.33 mmol) and pyridine (0.5 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified via column chromatography (silica gel) by using CHCl<sub>3</sub> as eluent to give **CO**<sub>*i*</sub>**6DFIC** as a black solid (84 mg, 86%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (THF-d<sub>8</sub>, 400 MHz,  $\delta$ /ppm): 8.60 (s, 2H), 8.11 (br, 2H), 7.78 (m, 2H), 7.19-7.36 (br, 18H), 2.60 (m, 8H), 1.61 (m, 8H), 1.30-1.36 (m, 24H), 0.87 (m, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C

NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz, δ/ppm): 185.82, 157.11, 148.02, 147.91, 145.15, 144.04, 139.31, 136.69, 135.33, 134.07, 129.07, 128.34, 128.05, 119.36, 117.15, 114.69, 114.48, 114.07, 113.99, 112.49, 112.30, 89.24, 68.47, 35.64, 31.67, 31.07, 29.19, 26.90, 22.60, 14.07. MALDI-TOF MS (m/z): 1482.7 (M + H<sup>+</sup>).

**3. NMR** 













Fig. S4 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of Compound 2.













Fig. S10 <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of CO<sub>i</sub>6IC.



















4. UV-Vis



Fig S15. Absorption spectra for CO<sub>i</sub>6IC, CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC, CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC and FTAZ in solution.





Fig. S16 Cyclic voltammograms for CO<sub>i</sub>6IC, CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC and CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC.

#### 6. Device fabrication and measurements

#### **Inverted solar cells**

The ZnO precursor solution was prepared according to literature.<sup>[4]</sup> It was spin-coated onto ITO glass (4000 rpm for 30 s). The films were annealed at 200 °C in air for 30 min. ZnO film thickness is ~30 nm. A FTAZ:acceptor blend in chloroform (CF) with DIO additive was spin-coated onto ZnO layer. MoO<sub>3</sub> (~6 nm) and Ag (~80 nm) was successively evaporated onto the active layer through a shadow mask (pressure ca. 10<sup>-4</sup> Pa). The effective area for the devices is 4 mm<sup>2</sup>. The thicknesses of the active layers were measured by using a KLA Tencor D-120 profilometer. *J-V* curves were measured by using a computerized Keithley 2400 SourceMeter and a Xenon-lamp-based solar simulator (Enli Tech, AM 1.5G, 100 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). The illumination intensity of solar simulator was determined by using a monocrystalline silicon solar cell (Enli SRC2020, 2cm×2cm) calibrated by NIM. The external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were measured by using a QE-R3011 measurement system (Enli Tech).

#### **Hole-only devices**

The structure for hole-only devices is ITO/PEDOT:PSS/FTAZ:acceptor/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al. A 30 nm thick PEDOT:PSS layer was made by spin-coating an aqueous dispersion onto ITO glass (4000 rpm for 30 s). PEDOT substrates were dried at 150 °C for 10 min. A FTAZ:acceptor blend in CF with DIO additive was spin-coated onto PEDOT layer. Finally, MoO<sub>3</sub> (~6 nm) and Al (~100 nm) was successively evaporated onto the active layer through a shadow mask (pressure ca. 10<sup>-4</sup> Pa). *J-V* curves were measured by using a computerized Keithley 2400 SourceMeter in the dark.

#### **Electron-only devices**

The structure for electron-only devices is Al/FTAZ:acceptor/Ca/Al. Al (~80 nm) was evaporated onto a glass substrate. A FTAZ:acceptor blend in CF with DIO additive was spincoated onto Al. Ca (~5 nm) and Al (~100 nm) were successively evaporated onto the active layer through a shadow mask (pressure ca.  $10^{-4}$  Pa). *J-V* curves were measured by using a computerized Keithley 2400 SourceMeter in the dark.

## 7. Optimization of device performance

D/A	V <sub>oc</sub>	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[w/w]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
1:1	0.82	14.60	45.8	5.52 (5.34) <sup>b</sup>
1:1.2	0.83	14.87	49.0	6.07 (5.82)
1:1.4	0.82	15.43	51.1	6.50 (6.35)
1:1.6	0.82	15.75	55.2	7.10 (6.94)
1:1.8	0.83	15.74	52.7	6.88 (6.68)
1:2	0.83	15.10	51.5	6.46 (6.21)

Table S1 Optimization of D/A ratio for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6IC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s.

<sup>b</sup>Data in parentheses stand for the average PCEs for 8 cells.

Tuble 51 Optimization of the delive layer unexhead for 1 1112.00 fore inverted solar cens	Table S2 Optimiza	ion of the active lay	er thickness for	FTAZ:CO <sub>i</sub> 6IC in	verted solar cells.
---	-------------------	-----------------------	------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------

Thickness	V <sub>oc</sub>	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[nm]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
98	0.82	15.53	52.2	6.61 (6.40) <sup>b</sup>
85	0.82	15.75	55.2	7.10 (6.94)
80	0.82	15.46	53.4	6.74 (6.50)
73	0.81	15.05	50.2	6.14 (5.93)

<sup>*a*</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF.

DIO	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[vol%]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
0	0.82	15.75	55.2	7.10 (6.79) <sup>b</sup>
0.1	0.82	17.20	57.6	8.21 (8.03)
0.2	0.82	17.45	59.0	8.43 (8.35)
0.3	0.82	16.91	53.2	7.45 (7.29)

Table S3 Optimization of DIO content for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6IC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s. <sup>*b*</sup>Data in parentheses stand for the average PCEs for 8 cells.

D/A	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[w/w]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
1:1	0.75	17.69	52.3	6.91 (6.70) <sup>b</sup>
1:1.2	0.74	18.27	53.9	7.25 (6.98)
1:1.4	0.74	18.38	57.9	7.85 (7.61)
1:1.6	0.75	18.63	58.5	8.13 (7.89)
1:1.8	0.73	18.30	56.1	7.54 (7.30)
1:2	0.74	17.82	54.5	7.15 (6.80)

Table S4 Optimization of D/A ratio for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s.

Thickness	V <sub>oc</sub>	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[nm]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
95	0.75	18.09	53.1	7.24 (6.95) <sup>b</sup>
87	0.75	18.63	58.5	8.13 (7.89)
80	0.74	18.54	54.6	7.47 (7.20)
76	0.74	18.14	54.5	7.27 (7.03)

Table S5 Optimization of the active layer thickness for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF.

Table S6 Optimization of DIO content for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

DIO	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[vol%]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
0	0.75	18.63	58.5	8.13 (7.89) <sup>b</sup>
0.1	0.74	19.31	60.9	8.71 (8.50)
0.2	0.75	19.38	62.6	9.12 (9.02)
0.3	0.74	18.95	58.1	8.17 (8.04)

<sup>*a*</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s. <sup>*b*</sup>Data in parentheses stand for the average PCEs for 8 cells.

D/A	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[w/w]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
1:1	0.68	15.46	48.9	5.16 (4.91) <sup>b</sup>
1:1.2	0.68	17.01	49.5	5.73 (5.54)
1:1.4	0.68	17.22	50.7	5.96 (5.71)
1:1.6	0.68	18.27	51.7	6.36 (6.15)
1:1.8	0.68	17.15	51.2	6.02 (5.85)
1:2	0.68	16.61	51.9	5.87 (5.60)

Table S7 Optimization of D/A ratio for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>Blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s. <sup>*b*</sup>Data in parentheses stand for the average PCEs for 8 cells.

**Table S8** Optimization of the active layer thickness for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC inverted solar cells.<sup>*a*</sup>

Thickness	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[nm]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
91	0.68	17.60	48.3	5.75 (5.53) <sup>b</sup>
83	0.68	18.27	51.7	6.36 (6.15)
75	0.68	17.40	50.0	5.94 (5.76)
69	0.68	16.70	48.4	5.52 (5.32)

<sup>a</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF.

DIO	$V_{\rm oc}$	$J_{ m sc}$	FF	PCE
[vol%]	[V]	[mA/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	[%]	[%]
0	0.68	18.27	51.7	6.36 (6.15) <sup>b</sup>
0.1	0.66	20.70	55.8	7.63 (7.35)
0.2	0.67	20.98	58.9	8.25 (8.11)
0.3	0.66	20.12	56.0	7.42 (7.19)

Table S9 Optimization of DIO content for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC inverted solar cells.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>D/A ratio: 1:1.6 (w/w); blend solution: 12 mg/mL in CF; spin-coating: 4000 rpm for 30 s. <sup>*b*</sup>Data in parentheses stand for the average PCEs for 8 cells.

### 8. SCLC

Charge carrier mobility was measured by SCLC method. The mobility was determined by fitting the dark current to the model of a single carrier SCLC, which is described by:

$$J = \frac{9}{8}\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r\mu\frac{V^2}{d^3}$$

where *J* is the current density,  $\mu$  is the zero-field mobility of holes ( $\mu_h$ ) or electrons ( $\mu_e$ ),  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of the vacuum,  $\varepsilon_r$  is the relative permittivity of the material, *d* is the thickness of the blend film, and *V* is the effective voltage ( $V = V_{appl} - V_{bi}$ , where  $V_{appl}$  is the applied voltage, and  $V_{bi}$  is the built-in potential determined by electrode work function difference). Here,  $V_{bi} = 0.1$  V for hole-only devices,  $V_{bi} = 0$  V for electron-only devices.<sup>[5]</sup> The mobility was calculated from the slope of  $J^{1/2}$ -*V* plots.



**Fig. S17** *J-V* curves (a) and corresponding  $J^{1/2}$ -*V* plots (b) for the hole-only devices (in dark). The thicknesses for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6IC, FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC and FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC blend films with 0.2 vol% DIO are 83, 85 and 79 nm, respectively.



Fig. S18 J-V curves (a) and corresponding J<sup>1/2</sup>-V plots (b) for the electron-only devices (in dark). The thicknesses for FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6IC, FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC and FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC blend films with 0.2 vol% DIO are 80, 82 and 83 nm, respectively.

FTAZ:acceptor	$\mu_{ m h}$ [cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu_{ m e}$ [cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu_{\rm h}/\mu_{\rm e}$
FTAZ:CO <sub>i</sub> 6IC	7.32×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.60×10 <sup>-6</sup>	11.09
FTAZ:CO <sub>i</sub> 6FIC	1.45×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.07×10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.00
FTAZ:CO <sub>i</sub> 6DFIC	1.23×10-4	1.29×10 <sup>-5</sup>	9.53

 Table S10. Hole and electron mobilities for FTAZ:acceptor blend films.



**Fig. S19** AFM height (left) and phase (right) images for the blend films. (a) and (b), FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6IC film with 0.2 vol% DIO; (c) and (d), FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6FIC film with 0.2 vol% DIO; (e) and (f), FTAZ:CO<sub>i</sub>6DFIC film with 0.2 vol% DIO.

### References

- [1] Z. Xiao, X. Jia, D. Li, S. Wang, F. Liu, J. Chen, S. Yang, T. P. Russell and L. Ding, Sci. Bull. 2017, 62, 1494.
- [2] D. He, L. Qian and L. Ding, Polym. Chem., 2016, 7, 2329.
- [3] S. C. Price, A. C. Stuart, L. Yang, H. Zhou and W. You, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 4526.
- [4] Y. Sun, J. H. Seo, C. J. Takacs, J. Seifter and A. J. Heeger, Adv. Mater., 2011, 23, 1679.
- [5] C. Duan, W. Cai, B. Hsu, C. Zhong, K. Zhang, C. Liu, Z. Hu, F. Huang, G. C. Bazan, A. J. Heeger and Y. Cao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 3022.