Electronic supplementary information (ESI)

Biocompatible Zwitterionic Copolymer-stabilized Magnetite Nanoparticles: A Simple Onepot Synthesis, Antifouling Property, and Biomagnetic Separation

Supannika Boonjamnian, Thanida Trakulsujaritchok, Klaokwan Srisook, Voravee P. Hoven and Piyaporn Na Nongkhai

Page

- 2 The synthesis of a series of PMA_xMPC_y
- 3 **Fig. S1.** ¹H NMR spectrum for $PMA_{37}MPC_{63}$ (50 kDa)
- 3 **Table S1** Summary of composition and molecular weight information of a series of PMA_xMPC_y
- 4 **Fig. S2.** TGA curves of (a) uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs synthesized by (b) two-step and (c) one-step methods.
- Fig. S3. Magnetization curves of (a) uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄ NPs
 prepared by (b) two-step and (c) one-step methods.
- 5 **Fig. S4**. XRD patterns of (a) uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs prepared by (b) two-step and (c) one-step methods.
- 5 **Table S2** Summary of peak area of uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs prepared by two-step, and one-step methods.
- 6 The calculation of the particle size
- 6 **Table S3** Summary of calculated particle size of uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs and PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs prepared by two-step and one-step methods from FWHM of XRD pattern.
- Fig. S5. TGA curves of PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄ NPs prepared by the two-step method using varied amount of PMAMPC in feed.

The synthesis of a series of PMA_xMPC_y

MPC monomer (1.5 g, 5 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of mixed solvent (1:1, EtOH:PBS). After the MPC monomer was completely dissolved, MA monomer (0.43 g, 5 mmol), 4,4'-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA, 18.78 mg, 0.067 mmol), and 4-cyanopentanoic acid dithiobenzoate (CPD, 55.87 mg, 0.2 mmol) were added to the monomer solution. The solution was bubbled with Ar gas for 30 min and then put in an oil bath at 70 °C for a set reaction time. The samples were withdrawn from the solution periodically to monitor the average molecular weight and dispersity (Đ) by GPC. The reaction was terminated by cooling the reaction mixture with an ice bath. The polymer solution was then purified by dialysis in DI water for 3 days and then lyophilized.

In order to vary the copolymer composition, the mole ratio of the MA and MPC monomers in the feed was varied at 30:70, 50:50 and 70:30. The ratio of monomer to CTA and the polymerization time were also varied in order to vary the molecular weight of the copolymer. The composition of the copolymer was determined by ¹H NMR. Fig. S1 shows a representative ¹H NMR spectrum of the synthesized PMAMPC. The peaks at 3.15, 0.60-1.40, and 7.4-8.2 ppm attributed to the $-N(CH_3)_3$ proton of the MPC unit, CH₃ protons of both MPC and MA units and the dithiobenzoate group at the chain end of PMAMPC. The copolymer composition can be calculated using equation below.

$$repeating unit (A) = \frac{(peak integration at 0.6 - 1.4 ppm)/3}{(peak integration at 7.4 - 8.2 ppm)/5}$$
(eq. S1)

$$MPC unit (B) = \frac{(peak integration at 3.15 ppm)/9}{(peak integration at 7.4 - 8.2 ppm)/5}$$
(eq. S2)

$$MA unit (C) = A - B$$
 (eq. S3)

$$MPC \ composition \ (\%) = \frac{100(B)}{A}$$
(eq. S3)

$$MA \ composition \ (\%) = \frac{100(C)}{A}$$
(eq. S4)



Fig. S1. ¹H NMR spectrum for PMA₃₇MPC₆₃ (50 kDa)

Table S1 Summary of composition and molecular weight information of a series of PMA_xMPC_y

Abbreviation	[monomer] :CTA	Copolymer ratio in feed (mol %)		Copolymer composition (mol %) ^a		Polymerization time (h)	\overline{M}_n	Đ⊧
	-	MA	MPC	MA	MPC	-	(x 10 ³)	
PMA ₂₁ MPC ₇₉	200	30	70	21	79	8	49.8	1.24
PMA ₃₉ MPC ₆₁	50	50	50	39	61	2	12.0	1.24
PMA ₃₉ MPC ₆₁	50	50	50	39	61	8	25.9	1.21
PMA ₃₇ MPC ₆₃	200	50	50	37	63	8	54.5	1.23
PMA ₆₆ MPC ₃₄	200	70	30	66	34	8	49.5	1.24

a = Determined from ${}^{1}H$ NMR data

b = Determined by GPC analysis



Fig. S2. TGA curves of (a) uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs synthesized by (b) twostep and (c) one-step methods.



Fig. S3. Magnetization curves of (a) uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs, PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄ NPs prepared by (b) two-step and (c) one-step methods.



Fig. S4. XRD patterns of (a) uncoated Fe_3O_4NPs , PMAMPC- Fe_3O_4NPs prepared by (b) two-step and (c) one-step methods.

Table S2 Summary of peak area of uncoated Fe_3O_4NPs and PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs prepared by two-step and one-step methods.

Samples	Peak area at different 20 (degree)				
	30.17	35.45	43.19	57.46	62.92
Uncoated Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	1.26	2.80	0.21	0.58	0.68
Two-step PMAMPC- Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	1.08	2.40	0.24	0.50	0.63
One-step PMAMPC- Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	0.90	2.27	0.18	0.45	0.43

The calculation of the particle size

The particle size of the nanoparticles was calculated with the Scherrer formula, according to the following formula:

$$D = \frac{0.89\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$
 (eq. S5)

where D is nanoparticles size (nm), λ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation source (0.154 nm), β is full width at half maximum (FWHM) of XRD pattern and θ is Bragg's diffraction angle. The calculated values of the nanoparticles size are summarized in Table S3, ESI. The size of PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs

Table S3 Summary of calculated particle size of uncoated Fe₃O₄NPs and PMAMPC-Fe₃O₄NPs prepared by two-step and one-step methods from FWHM of XRD pattern.

Samples	20	FWHM	Cos θ	Particles size
	(degree)	(radians)		(nm)
Uncoated Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	35.64	0.0785	0.9520	18.33
Two-step PMAMPC- Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	35.52	0.0126	0.9523	11.45
One-step PMAMPC- Fe ₃ O ₄ NPs	35.46	0.0148	0.9525	9.75



Fig. S5. TGA curves of PMAMPC-Fe $_3O_4$ NPs prepared by the two-step method using varied amount of PMAMPC in feed.