A Hybrid Description and Evaluation of Oxymethylene Dimethyl Ethers Synthesis based on the Endothermic Dehydrogenation of Methanol

Electronic Supplementary Information

Mohamed Ouda,^{a,b*} Franz Mantei,^a Kai Hesterwerth,^a Eleonora Bargiacchi,^a Harald Klein,^b and Robin J. White^{a*}

^a Sustainable Catalytic Materials Group, Division Hydrogen Technologies, Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems, Heidenhofstr. 2, 79110 Freiburg, Germany

Email: mohamed.ouda@ise.fraunhofer.de / Email: robin.white@ise.fraunhofer.de

^b Institute of Process and Plant Technology, Technical University Munich, Boltzmannstr. 15, 85748 Garching, Germany

Keywords

Oxymethylene Ethers; anhydrous formaldehyde; Gibbs minimisation; Process design and optimisation

Experimental results for equilibrium constant evaluation for Na₂CO₃



catalyst at 690 °C

Fig. S1 Dependency of MeOH concentration consumed for FA production on residence time at 690 °C, a first order reaction fitting is illustrated



Fig. S2 Dependency of MeOH concentration consumed for CO production on residence time at 690 °C, a zero order reaction fitting is illustrated.





Fig. S3 Concentration curves of MeOH, C, CO, FA and H_2 over residence time for $C_{A0} = 1 \text{ mol/L}$, T = 690 °C from kinetic FA reactor model



Fig. S4 Conversion of MeOH and Selectivity of MeOH regarding FA over residence time for $C_{A0} = 1 \text{ mol/L}$, $T = 690 ^{\circ}C$ from kinetic FA reactor model. **X**: measured conversion; **X**: measured selectivity.





Fig. S5 Comparison of chemical equilibrium composition of experimental results from Schmitz *et al.*¹ at T = 348 K, P = 2 bar with a feed composition of FA/MeOH = 0.89 and H₂O/MeOH = 0.54, with the results obtained from the Stochastic Global Optimizer model and the CHEMCAD®, Gibbs and equilibrium reactors modules





Fig. S6 (a) Composite curves and (b) Grand composite curve from PinCH 2.0 for generating the HEN



Fig. S7 HEN designed using PinCH 2.0 for the OME_{3-5} production process



Test stand for endothermic MeOH catalytic dehydrogenation to FA

Fig. S8 Simplified Process Flow Diagram for the laboratory test stand used for anhydrous FA synthesis.

Ergun's Equation for pressure drop evaluation in ACCR²

$$P = P_0 \times (1 - \frac{2 \times \beta_0 \times z}{P_0})^{0.5}$$
Equation S1
With
$$\beta_0 = \frac{G(1-\Phi)}{\rho_0 D_P \Phi^3} \times \left[\frac{150 \times (1-\Phi) \times \mu}{D_P} + 1.75 \times G\right]$$

P = pressure [Pa]

z = Length of the catalyst bed [m]

G = Superficial velocity [kg/(m min)]

 Φ = Porosity

 ρ = Density [kg/(m³)]

D_p = Lower limit of catalyst particle size [m]

 μ = Viscosity [kg/(m min)]



Photographs of the ACCR with relative dimensions

Fig. S9 Demonstration of the ACCR as installed in the electrically heated oven (a) and with relative dimensions as shown in (b)

Na₂CO₃ Catalyst Characterization



Fig. S10 SEM analysis for Na₂CO₃ catalyst with D_p = 400-800 µm (a) before test; (b) After test

References

- N. Schmitz, F. Homberg, J. Berje, J. Burger and H. Hasse, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2015, 54(25), 6409.
- 2. K. Schwister and V. Leven, eds., Verfahrenstechnik für Ingenieure, 2012.