Electronic supplementary information for: "Access to the β -scission rate coefficient in acrylate radical polymerization by careful scanning of pulse laser frequencies at elevated temperature"

Anil B. Vir,¹ Y.W. Marien,¹ Paul H.M. Van Steenberge,¹ C. Barner-Kowollik,^{2,3,*} Marie-Françoise Reyniers,¹ Guy B. Marin,¹ Dagmar R. D'hooge^{1,4,*}

¹Laboratory for Chemical Technology (LCT), Ghent University, Technologiepark 914, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium.

²School of Chemistry, Physics and Mechanical Engineering, Queensland University of Technology (QUT), George Street 2, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia

³Macromolecular Architectures, Institut für Technische Chemie and Polymerchemie,

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Engesserstrasse 18, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

⁴Centre for Textile Science and Engineering, Ghent University, Technologiepark 907, B-9052 Gent, Belgium

*Corresponding author: dagmar.dhooge@ugent.be, christopher.barnerkowollik@qut.edu.au

Contents

S1 Experimental SEC details for application of the proposed method	2
S2 Correction for SEC broadening	2
S3 Kinetic modeling details	3
S3.1 Photodissociation kinetics	3
S3.2 Negligible impact of macromonomer addition reaction under PLP conditions	3
S3.3 Chain length dependencies	5
S4 Extra information related to effect of the beta-scission rate coefficient on the infle point for different laser pulse frequencies (related to Figure 2 and 3 in the main text)	ction
S4.1 Effect of temperature	6
S4.2 Effect of solvent fraction	6

S1 Experimental SEC details for application of the proposed method

This section describes the details of the bulk PLP experiments of *n*-butyl acrylate (*n*BuA) at 413 K. Typical SEC traces with the corresponding derivative at the outer pulse laser frequencies of the considered range are shown in **Figure S1**. These SEC traces used to determine the apparent propagation rate coefficient ($k_{p,app}$; **Equation (1)** in the main text; *i*=1) by locating the (first) inflection point of the log MMD plot.



Figure S1 Experimental PLP-SEC trace (full blue line) and corresponding first derivative (full green line) for PLP of *n*BuA at 413 K under bulk conditions; Initial conditions: $[DMPA]_0 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ and } \text{E}_{pulse} = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J}$. Number of pulses: 20 at frequency of 10 Hz and 60 at frequency of 50 Hz.

S2 Correction for SEC broadening

The molar mass distribution (MMD) obtained from PLP experiments shows broadening.¹ In this work, SEC-broadening is accounted based on the method proposed by Buback *et al.*² For a more detailed description and derivation the reader is referred to previous work.^{3,4} The log-MMD after SEC broadening is calculated as:

$$w_{SEC}(\log M) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{0.5} (\sigma_v b)} \int_0^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{(\log(M) - \log(M'))^2}{2(\sigma_v b)^2}\right) w(\log M') d\log(M')$$
(S1)

In this work $(\sigma_v b) = 0.04$ used based on the literature data.^{1,5}

S3 Kinetic modeling details

The reaction scheme and corresponding literature based Arrhenius parameters of PLP of nbutyl acrylate (nBuA) at high temperature used in the kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) simulations are summarized in **Table 1** in the main text. Thermal self-initiation and macropropagation reactions are neglected based on the results (*cf.* Figure 1 in main text and detailed discussion in this section)

S3.1 Photodissociation kinetics

The concentration of initiator radicals generated after each laser pulse ($\Delta[R]_0$) is calculated as:^{6,7}

$$\Delta[R]_{0} = 2\Phi_{\text{diss}} \frac{E_{\text{pulse}}\lambda}{h c N_{\text{A}} \Omega l} \Big[1 - \exp(-2.303 \varepsilon \text{ [DMPA] } l) \Big]$$
(S2)

where Φ_{diss} is the quantum yield of photodissociation (0.42⁶), E_{pulse} the laser pulse energy (1.5×10⁻³ J), λ the laser wavelength (351×10⁻⁹ m), *h* the Planck constant (6.63×10²³ J s), *c* the speed of light (3×10⁹ m s⁻¹), N_A the Avogadro constant (6.02×10²³ mol⁻¹), Ω the optical cross-sectional area (3.85×10⁻⁵ m²), *l* the optical path length (1.04×10⁻² m), ε the molar absorptivity of the photoinitiator (28 m² mol⁻¹), and [DMPA] is the concentration of photoinitiator.

S3.2 Negligible impact of macromonomer addition reaction under PLP conditions

The macromonomers formed in the beta-scission reaction can further propagate with endchain and mid-chain radicals. The macromonomer propagation reaction adds more complexity to the kinetics of *n*-butyl acrylate free-radical polymerization. The simulations were carried out considering the macromonomer propagation reaction and its rate coefficient was varied from minimum to maximum values as reported in the literature.⁸ For simplicity, MCRs were treated as ECRs to even enlarge a possible effect. The effect is although negligible as shown in **Figure S2-Figure S4**.



Figure S2 Effect of macromonomer propagation reactivity on the apparent propagation rate coefficient ($k_{p,app}$; **Equation (1)** in the main text; *i*=1) in bulk PLP of *n*-butyl acrylate. The simulations were carried out by changing the macromonomer propagation rate coefficient (k_{mac}). Other parameters as in **Table 1** in main text; 413 K. For simplicity the MCR rate coefficient is taken equal to the one of ECRs and to even enlarge a possible effect.



Figure S3 Corresponding log-MMD for **Figure S2** at frequency =10 Hz (also **Figure 1 (right)** in the main text).



Figure S4 Corresponding macromonomer concentrations for Figure S3.

S3.3 Chain length dependencies

Chain length dependent propagation kinetics are accounted based on (details in reference 6; based on work of Heuts and Russell ⁹).

$$k_p(i) = k_p \left[1 + C_1 exp^{[m]} \left(-\frac{\ln(2)}{i_{1/2}} i \right) \right]$$
(S3)

Here *i* refers to the chain length (0 for an initiator-derived radical), in contrast to the equation as originally reported in which i = 1 for an initiator-derived radical.

Chain length dependent termination kinetics are evaluated via the composite k_t model, For the low monomer conversion ranges as encountered during PLP, it suffices to consider:

$$k_{t}^{app}(i,i) = k_{t}^{app}(1,1)i^{-\alpha_{S}} \qquad i \le i_{c}$$
(S1)

$$k_{t}^{app}(i,i) = k_{t}^{app}(1,1)i_{c}^{-\alpha_{S}+\alpha_{L}}i^{-\alpha_{L}} \quad i > i_{c}$$
(S2)

in which $\alpha_{\rm S}$ and $\alpha_{\rm L}$ express the chain length dependence for short and long radicals, and $i_{\rm c}$ is the cross-over chain length (details in reference 5).

S4 Extra information related to effect of the beta-scission rate coefficient on the inflection point for different laser pulse frequencies (related to Figure 2 and 3 in the main text)



S4.1 Effect of temperature

Figure S5 Sensitivity of β -scission rate coefficient (k_β) on $k_{p,app}$ in PLP of *n*-butyl acrylate by kinetic Monte Carlo (*k*MC) simulations at temperatures (a) 353 K (left) and (b) 383 K (right). Reference $k_\beta = 0.52 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 353 K and reference $k_\beta = 2.9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 383 K. Simulation conditions: $\phi_s=0$; other parameters: **Table 1** in main text.

S4.2 Effect of solvent fraction



Figure S6 Influence of solvent amount on sensitivity of beta-scission rate coefficient (k_{β}) on $k_{p,app}$ in PLP of *n*-butyl acrylate by kinetic Monte Carlo (*k*MC) simulations. (a) $\phi_s=0.5$ (left) and (b) $\phi_s=0.75$ (right). Simulation conditions: 413 K, $k_{\beta}=12.41$ s⁻¹; other parameters: **Table 1** in main text.



Figure S7 Solvent fraction effect on log-MMD in PLP of *n*-butyl acrylate at selected laser pulse frequencies by *k*MC simulations. Simulation conditions: 413 K, k_{β} = 12.4 s⁻¹; other parameters: **Table 1** in main text.



Figure S8 log-MMD for solvent fraction influence on sensitivity of beta-scission rate coefficient (k_{β}) on $k_{p,app}$ in PLP of n-butyl acrylate by kMC simulations. (a) $\phi_s=0$ (top-left), (b) $\phi_s=0.5$ (top-right) and (c) $\phi_s=0.75$ (bottom-center). Simulation conditions: Temperature=413 K; frequency=10 Hz; other parameters: **Table 1** in main text.

References

- A. N. Nikitin, R. A. Hutchinson, M. Buback and P. Hesse, *Macromolecules*, 2007, **40**, 8631–8641.
- 2 M. Buback, M. Busch and R. a Liimmel, *Macrolol. theory simul*, 1996, **861**, 845–861.
- 3 Y. W. Marien, P. H. M. Van Steenberge, K. B. Kockler, C. Barner-Kowollik, M.-F. Reyniers, D. R. D'hooge and G. B. Marin, *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, 7, 6521–6528.
- 4 Y. W. Marien, P. H. M. Van Steenberge, C. Barner-Kowollik, M. F. Reyniers, G. B. Marin and D. R. D'hooge, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 1371–1385.
- 5 P. Drawe and M. Buback, *Macromol. Theory Simulations*, 2016, **25**, 74–84.
- 6 Y. W. Marien, P. H. M. Van Steenberge, K. B. Kockler, C. Barner-Kowollik, M.-F. Reyniers, G. B. Marin and D. R. D'hooge, *Polym. Chem.*, 2017, **8**, 3124–3128.
- 7 G. Odian, *Principles of Polymerization*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 4th edn., 2004.
- 8 W. Wang, A. N. Nikitin and R. A. Hutchinson, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2009, **30**, 2022–2027.
- 9 J. P. A. Heuts and G. T. Russell, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2006, **42**, 3–20.