Electric Supplementary Information (ESI) for

Phase-Segregated NiP_x@FeP_yO_z Core@Shell Nanoparticles: Ready-to-Use Nanocatalysts for Electro- and Photo-Catalytic Water Oxidation through in-situ Activation by Structural Transformation and Spontaneous Ligand Removal

Masaki Saruyama, *a Sunwon Kim,^b Toshio Nishino,^a Masanori Sakamoto,^a Mitsutaka Haruta,^a Hiroki Kurata,^a Seiji Akiyama,^{c,d} Taro Yamada,^e Kazunari Domen,^e and Toshiharu Teranishi*^a

^{*a*} Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan. Email: <u>saruyama@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp</u>, <u>teranisi@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp</u>

^b Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan

^c Mitsubishi Chemical Group Science and Technology Research Center, Inc., 1000 Kamoshidacho, Aoba-ku, Yokohama 227-8502, Japan

^d Japan Technogical Research Association of Artificial Photosynthetic Chemical Process (ARPChem), 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

^e Department of Chemical System Engineering, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyoku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Experimental Procedures

Chemicals

Ni(acac)₂ (95%), 1-octadecene (90%), Nafion perfluorinated resin solution (5 wt%), VO(acac)₂ (98%), KI (99+%), and p-benzoquinone (>98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., LLC. Oleylamine (C18 content 80–90%) was purchased from Acros Organics. Fe(CO)₅ (>95%) and tri-n-octylphosphine (TOP, >95%) were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O (99.9%) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. Di-n-octyl ether (>95%) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. All reagents were used without further purification.

Characterization

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) observations were performed on a JEM1011 (JEOL) operated at 100 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) and scanning TEM-energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-EDS) observations were performed on a JEM-ARM200F operated at 200 kV. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations were performed on a S-4800 (Hitachi). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were measured on PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD with CuK α radiation ($\lambda = 1.542$ Å) operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted in an ultrahigh vacuum combined system equipped with a hemispherical electron analyzer and a Mg K α X-ray source (1253.6 eV). The detail has been described in a previous paper ^[1]. Transmittance measurements were acquired on a U-3310 spectrophotometer (Hitachi). X-ray fluorescence (XRF) elemental analysis was performed on an Element Analyzer JSX-3202C (JEOL). Fourier Transform infrared (FT-IR) analyses were performed on a IR Prestige 21 (Shimadzu).

Synthesis of a-NiP_x NPs

Ni(acac)₂ (1 mmol), 1-octadecene (4.5 mL), and oleylamine (6.4 mL) were mixed and heated to 80 °C under a N₂ atmosphere to form a blue-green transparent solution. TOP (2 mL) was injected and the mixture was heated to 230 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹, and maintained at that temperature

for 30 min. The reaction solution was then cooled to room temperature and purified with ethanol. The precipitated solid NPs were redispersed in hexane and stored for further use.

Synthesis of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs

A 34-mg portion of a-NiP_x NPs was dispersed in a mixture of 1-octadecene (9 mL) and oleylamine (1.9 mL). After repeated degassing-refilling with N₂, TOP (2 mL) solution containing $Fe(CO)_5$ (0.4 mmol) was injected into the mixture at room temperature. The mixed solution was heated to 270 °C for 60 min. After the reaction, the solution was cooled to room temperature and purified with ethanol. The precipitated solid NPs were redispersed in hexane and stored for further use.

Synthesis of Ni₂P NPs

a-NiP_x NPs (85 mg) was dissolved in a mixture of di-*n*-octyl ether (9 mL) and oleylamine (1.9 mL). The mixture was heated to 270 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹ under a N₂ atmosphere. The solution was reacted at 270 °C for 60 min, cooled to room temperature, and purified with ethanol. The precipitated solid NPs were redispersed in hexane and stored for further use.

Synthesis of FeO_x NPs

The 5-nm FeO_x NPs were synthesized following a literature procedure ^[2]. Briefly, a mixture of Fe(CO)₅ and oleylamine (1:1 mol/mol) was heated to 80 °C at 2 °C min⁻¹ and held at that temperature for 30 min under a N₂ atmosphere. A deep red solution of Fe(CO)₅-oleylamine complex was injected into 1-octadecene at 180 °C in a N₂ atmosphere. The solution was reacted at 180 °C for 30 min, cooled to room temperature, and then purified with ethanol. The precipitated solid NPs were redispersed in hexane and stored for further use.

Preparation of NP-loaded carbon powder catalysts

The electrocatalyst powder was prepared by mixing NPs and conductive carbon powder (XC-72, Cabot). Typically, XC-72 (8 mg) was dispersed in hexane (8 mL) by sonication, followed by the dropwise addition of hexane (2 mL) containing NPs (2 mg). Further sonication was

applied for 30 min. The catalyst powder was collected by centrifugation, washed with acetone twice, and dried under vacuum.

Preparation of carbon powder catalyst working electrodes

Catalyst powder (1 mg) was mixed with water (396 μ L), 2-propanol (94 μ L), and Nafion resin solution (10 μ L), then sonicated for 30 min to form a homogenous catalyst slurry. The catalyst slurry was deposited on a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode 5 mm in diameter and dried by rotating at 700 rpm under ambient conditions.

Preparation of NP-loaded carbon paper electrodes

A 1×3 cm² piece of carbon paper (Toray, TGP-H-120) was washed with ethanol and acetone, and dried under vacuum. A hexane solution of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs was dropped on the carbon paper (0.01, 0.075 or 0.5 mg cm⁻²), washed with ethanol, and dried under vacuum.

Immobilization of NPs on FTO-coated glass electrodes

A $1.5 \times 5 \text{ cm}^2$ piece of FTO-coated glass (AGC Fabritech) was washed with ethanol and acetone. The hexane solution of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs (3 mg mL⁻¹, 50 µL) was dropped onto the FTO coated glass and spun at 1000 rpm for 10 s (the coated area was 2.25 cm²).

Acid etching of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs

The NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs-loaded carbon powder was mixed with 0.5 M H₂SO₄ aqueous solution (2 mL) and sonicated for 1 h. Then the powder was collected by centrifugation and washed with water and acetone. Finally, the powder was dried under vacuum for TEM and XRF measurements.

Preparation of BiVO₄ electrodes

We prepared porous BiVO₄ electrodes according to the method reported by Choi *et al.* ^[3]. Briefly, a BiOI film was deposited on an FTO coated glass substrate by immersing the substrate in a water/ethanol solution of Bi(NO₃)₂, KI, and p-benzoquinone, followed by the application of -0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) for 2 min (the deposited area was 1~1.5 cm²). A DMSO solution of VO(acac)₂ was placed on the BiOI film, followed by annealing at 450 °C for 2 h in air. The annealed electrode was immersed in 1 M NaOH for 30 min to remove V_2O_5 by-products, washed with deionized water, and dried under ambient conditions.

NP deposition on *BiVO*⁴ electrodes

Before loading the NPs on the BiVO₄ photoelectrodes, photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements of all BiVO₄ photoelectrodes were performed in 0.125 M K₂B₄O₇ (pH 9.4) with a 300 W Xe lamp with a >385 nm short-wavelength cut-off filter (see below), and photoelectrodes showing the similar photocurrents were selected for NP loading for comparison with the photocurrents precisely. The FTO surface of the BiVO₄ electrode was masked with scotch tape. A hexane solution of NPs (0.05, 0.25, 1 or 5 mg mL⁻¹, 25 μ L) was dropped on the BiVO₄ film, and spun at 1000 rpm for 10 s. The NP-deposited electrodes were washed with ethanol and dried under ambient conditions.

Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode electrochemical cell with a ALS620C electrochemical analyzer (BAS) at room temperature (~25 °C). Hg/HgO (1 M NaOH) or Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) was used as a reference electrode in 0.1 M KOH or 0.125 M $K_2B_4O_7$ electrolyte, respectively. The reference electrodes were calibrated with an unused saturated calomel electrode in saturated NaCl aqueous solution. A Pt coil was used as a counter electrode. Prior to each measurement, the electrolyte was deaerated by bubbling with Ar for 20 min to remove oxygen. Cyclic voltammograms and chronoamperometry were conducted under a continuous Ar flow. In the case of a rotating disk electrode, the working electrode was rotated at 1600 rpm during measurements with a RRDE-3A (BAS). In the case of carbon paper and FTO coated glass electrodes, the working electrode was immersed and the electrolyte solution was stirred during the measurements. All displayed voltammograms except for Figure S9 and S11b were *iR*-collected to account for any uncompensated resistance. The uncompensated resistance was measured with a function of the analyzer based on a previously reported

technique ^[4]. The conversion between potentials vs. reference electrode (E_{ref}) and vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) (E_{RHE}) was performed with the equation below:

 $E_{\text{RHE}} = E \text{ (vs. } E_{\text{ref}} + E_{\text{ref}} + 0.059 \times \text{pH} - iR$

PEC measurements

The PEC performance of the photoanodes was evaluated in a three-electrode configuration with a ALS620C electrochemical analyzer (BAS) and a light source (300 W Xe lamp, MAX-303, Asahi Spectra). Illumination was obtained by passing light from the source through a >385 nm short wavelength cut-off filter, and irradiated through the FTO side (back-side illumination). The power density of the incident light was ~190 mW cm⁻² at the BiVO₄/FTO electrode measured by a thermal sensor (PS10, Coherent). The simulated sunlight was obtained using 300 W Xe lamp equipped with AM filter. Power density was adjusted to 100 mW cm⁻² using standard Si solar cell (BS-500BK, BUNKOUKEIKI). Photocurrent measurements were performed in 0.125 M K₂B₄O₇ buffer solution (pH 9.4). Prior to each measurement, the electrolyte was deaerated by bubbling Ar for 20 min. Photocurrents were obtained with illumination and sweeping the potential in the positive direction at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ or applying a constant bias. All measurements were performed with a Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) reference electrode, and all results in this work are reported against RHE. The Ag/AgCl reference electrode was calibrated with an unused saturated calomel electrode in saturated NaCl solution. The conversion between potentials vs. Ag/AgCl and vs. RHE was performed using the equation below:

 $E_{\text{RHE}} = E \text{ (vs. Ag/AgCl)} + E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$

The surface charge transfer efficiency ($\eta_{surface}$) using the photocurrent of water (J_{water}) and sulfite ($J_{sulfite}$) oxidation according to the equation below.

 $\eta_{\text{surface}} \% = J_{\text{water}} / J_{\text{sulfite}} *100\%$



Fig. S1 Additional HRTEM images of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs.



Fig. S2 (a) TEM images and (b) XRD patterns of $NiP_x@FeP_yO_z$ NPs at various reaction time.



Fig. S3 Temporal change of Fe/Ni molar ratios of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs in the synthesis measured by XRF.



Fig. S4 (a) TEM image and (b) XRD pattern of NPs synthesized without Fe(CO)5.



Fig. S5 (a) Change in (111) diffraction peak positions of Ni_{2-x}Fe_xP phase depending on *x* using the data in [5]. (b) (111) diffraction patterns of Ni₂P NPs and NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs in this work.



Fig. S6 TEM images of (a) $NiP_x@FeP_yO_z$ NPs and (b) shell-etched NiP_x cores supported on carbon powder. (c) XRF spectra of $NiP_x@FeP_yO_z$ NPs (blue) before and (red) after etching. Inset shows the corresponding Ni:Fe molar ratios.



Fig. S7 (a, b) TEM images and (c, d) XRD patterns of (a, c) Ni₂P NPs and (b, d) FeO_x NPs.



Fig. S8 TEM images of (a) Ni₂P NPs, (b) FeO_x NPs, and (c) the mixture of Ni₂P+FeO_x NPs supported on carbon powder.



Fig. S9 Cyclic voltammograms of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z, FeO_x, Ni₂P, and FeO_x+Ni₂P NPs supported on carbon powder in 0.1 M KOH at 10 mV s⁻¹ without *iR* compensation.



Fig. S10 Cyclic voltammograms of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs at Ni/Fe molar ratio of 78/22 (black), 85/15 (red), and 72/28 (blue). The NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs at Ni/Fe molar ratio of 85/15 78/22, and 72/28 were synthesized at 270 °C for 20, 60, and 120 min, respectively.



Fig. S11 Cyclic voltammograms of bare and NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded carbon papers (a) with and (b) without *iR* compensation. (c) Current density-time curves of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded carbon paper at 1.9 V vs RHE without *iR* compensation. Electrolyte: 0.1 M KOH, RE: Hg/HgO, CE: Pt coil.



Fig. S12 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs supported on carbon paper at 1st and 100th cycle in 0.1 M KOH. (b) XRF spectra of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs supported on carbon paper (blue) before and (red) after 100 cycles CV in 0.1 M KOH. A table shows the molar ratios of Ni:Fe:P and the molar ratios of Ni:Fe in parentheses.



Fig. S13 XPS spectra of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs supported on carbon paper before and after 100 cycles OER in 0.1 M KOH. (a) Ni 2p, (b) Fe 2p, (c) P 2p, (d) O 1s. Each binding energy was calibrated by C 1s peak (284.6 eV). The Ar bombardment was carried out at 500 eV of the beam energy for 2 min.

Effect of Ar bombardments

(a) Ni 2p: *Before OER*; after Ar bombardment, strong peaks of Ni⁰, which corresponded to Ni in the NiP_x core appeared. *After OER*; the Ar bombarded sample also showed Ni⁰ peaks, which were attributed to reduced Ni cations in NiOOH formed during the Ar bombardment.

(b) P 2p: *Before OER*; after Ar bombardment, P⁰ peak at 130 eV became strong owing to the exposure of P⁰ in NiP_x cores. *After OER*; no peaks appeared even after Ar bombardment, indicating P was not only absent from the surface but was also eliminated from the bulk during OER.

(c) Fe 2p: *Before OER*; after Ar bombardment, a weak Fe⁰ peak emerged at 707.5 eV, which was attributed to Fe(0) in NiP_x cores. *After OER*; no peaks of Fe⁰ appeared because most of the NiP_x cores were oxidized during OER.

(d) O 1s: *Before OER*; after Ar bombardment, O1s peak slightly shifted. One explanation for this shift is that the outer and inner parts of the shell were mainly oxide (531 eV) and phosphate (532 eV), respectively. After OER, after Ar bombardment, a shoulder peak at a lower binding energy (530 eV) assigned to metal oxide appeared, indicating that the inner part of the sample after OER contained metal oxide and (Ni, Fe)O_xH.



Fig. S14 SEM images of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs supported on carbon paper (a) before and (b) after 100 cycles CV in 0.1 M KOH.



Fig. S15 (a) Cyclic voltammograms of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded on FTO coated glass at 1^{st} and 30^{th} cycle in 0.1 M KOH.



Fig. S16 (a) Cross sectional SEM image of the BiVO₄ photoelectrode. TEM images of (b) FeO_x NPs, (c) Ni₂P NPs, (d) the mixture of Ni₂P+FeO_x NPs, and (e) NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded on BiVO₄ photoelectrodes.



Fig. S17 Current density durability of bare and NPs loaded $BiVO_4$ in CA measurements @1.23 V vs RHE.



Fig. S18 Photocurrent density curves of (a) bare BiVO₄ and (b) FeO_x, (c) Ni₂P, (d) Ni₂P+FeO_x and (e) NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded BiVO₄ before and after CA measurements.



Fig. S19 (a) Cyclic voltammograms and (b) XRF spectra of the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs supported on carbon papers at 0, 100, and 1000 cycles in 0.125 M K₂B₄O₇ at 100 mV s⁻¹. A table shows the molar ratios of Ni:Fe:P and the molar ratios of Ni:Fe in parentheses. Decreased P molar ratio after CV suggests that the NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs transformed into the (Ni, Fe)O_xH_y during OER even in 0.125 M K₂B₄O₇.



Fig. S20 (a) LSV of bare and various amounts of NiP_x@FeP_yO_z NPs loaded BiVO₄ under simulated sunlight (100 mW cm⁻²). (b) Charge transfer efficiency of samples A and E. Currenttime curves of BiVO₄ electrodes after (c) 100 s and (d) 3 h (10800 s) at 1.23 V vs RHE. (A) Bare and (B) 0.05, (C) 0.25, (D) 1, and (E) 5 mg mL⁻¹ NPs ink spin-casted BiVO₄. Solid lines: in 0.125 M K₂B₄O₇, Dashed lines: in 0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer with 1 M Na₂SO₃, RE: Ag/AgCl, CE: Pt coil.

Table S1 Comparison of OER activities of various transition metal phosphide based OER electrocatalysts

Catalyst	Morphology	Electrolyte	Overpotential @10 mA cm ⁻² / V	loading amount / mg cm ⁻²	Ref.
NiP _x @FeP _y O _z on carbon powder			0.28	0.02	This wor
NiP _x @FeP _y O _z on carbon paper	~20 nm NP	0.1 м КОН	0.32	0.01	This wor
			0.29	0.075	This wor
			0.25	0.5	This wor
CoFeP	urchin shape 80 x 5 nm	0.1 м КОН 1 м КОН	0.37 0.28	0.10	[6]
CoP/C	20 nm rods	0.1 м КОН	0.36	0.05	[7]
CoMnP	~5 nm NP	1 м КОН	0.33	0.284	[8]
СоР	1-5 μm needle film	1 м КОН	0.27	4.95	[9]
NiFeP	a few μm thick film	1 м КОН	0.28	a few mm thickness	[10]
Ni phosphides	>300 nm nanoporous solid	1 м КОН	0.32	0.15	[11]
Ni ₅ P ₄	$40 \ \mu m$ thick film	1 м КОН	0.29	11.71	[12]
Ni ₂ P	50 nm solid	1 м КОН	0.29	0.14	[13]
Fe doped Ni ₂ P	1 µm nanosheet	1 м КОН	<0.2	1.4	[14]
NiFe-OH/NiFeP	several µm nanosheet	1 м КОН	0.199	1.8	[15]
Fe_3O_4 (a) NiP_x	~10 nm NP	1 м КОН	0.26	0.2	[16]
GDs/Co _{0.8} Ni _{0.2} P	~200 nm porous tubular	1 м КОН	0.287	no data	[17]
Mg/Ni ₂ P	thin film	1 м КОН	0.28	1.33	[18]
FeP-FeP _x O _y	100 x 800 nm hollow	1 м КОН	0.28	0.3	[19]
$Co_{0.63}Fe_{0.21}P_{0.16}$	200-500 nm sphere	1 м КОН	0.21	0.2	[20]
NiFe(3:1)-P	flower-like 1-1.5 µm	1 м КОН	0.233	0.1	[21]

Cocatalyst	Deposition method	Photocurrent @1.23V /mA cm ⁻²	Photocurrent retension	Ref.
СоРі	PED ^a	6.1	90% at $0.6V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 6h	[22]
Co4O4 Cubane	dropcasting	5	${\sim}50\%$ at $0.7V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 4h	[23]
β-FeOOH	impregnation	4.3	100% at $1V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 2h	[24]
FeOOH	PED ^a	4.2	100% at 0.6V vs CE in 48h	[25]
СоООН	nanosheet dropcasting	4	97% at $1V_{\mbox{\scriptsize RHE}}$ in 4h	[26]
NiB	NPs dropcasting	3.47	~50% at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 1.1h	[27]
In ₂ O ₃ /CoOOH	CBD ^b +PEC deposition	3.4	${\sim}85\%$ at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 1h	[28]
ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /Co ²⁺	PED ^a +immersion	2.84	${\sim}75\%$ at 1.23V_{RHE} in 0.83h	[29]
ZnFe ₂ O ₄	PED ^a	2.76	~60% at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 0.83h	[29]
Co ²⁺	immersion	1.53	${\sim}10\%$ at 1.23V_{RHE} in 0.83h	[29]
Co ₃ O ₄	NPs dropcasting+annealing	2.71	~80% at $0.6V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 0.1h	[30]
Ni:CoO _x	electrospray pyrolysis	2.62	${\sim}40\%$ at 0.77 V_{RHE} in 2h	[31]
NiPx@FePyOz	NPs dropcasting	2.32	17% at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 3h	this wor
NiP _x @FeP _y O _z	NPs dropcasting	2.28	46% at $1.23V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 3h	this wor
NiPx@FePyOz	NPs dropcasting	2.02	62% at $1.23V_{\text{RHE}}$ in 3h	this wor
CoLa(OH) _x	electrodeposition	2	93% at 0.8V vs CE in 1.1h	[32]
Ru-complex	dip-coating	1.4	~40% at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 1.8h	[33]
СоРі	electrodeposition	1.4	~80% at 1.23 V_{RHE} in 1.8h	[33]
CoFe-PB	immersion	1.1	~45% at 1.23V $_{\rm RHE}$ in 15 h	[34]

Table S2 Comparison of performance of cocatalyst-modified nondoped-BiVO4 photoelectrodeunder simulated sunlight.

^aPED = Photo-assisted electrodeposition

^bCBD = Chemical bath deposition

Gray rows: BiVO₄ is fully covered with robust cocatalyst layer

White rows: BiVO₄ is partially covered with nanosized particle or monolayer of molecule

References

- [1] S. Akiyama *et al.*, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 5468.
- [2] H. Kura et al., J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 5835.
- [3] T. W. Kim *et al.*, *Science*, 2014, **343**, 990.
- [4] P. He *et al.*, *Anal. Chem.*, 1986, **58**, 517.
- [5] A. H. Mudiyanselage *et al.*, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 6592.
- [6] A. M. Garcia et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 9642.
- [7] J. Ryu *et al.*, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4066.
- [8] D. Li et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, **138**, 4006.
- [9] P. Wang et al., ChemSusChem, 2016, 9, 472.
- [10] C. G. Read et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 12798.
- [11] J. Li et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 10826.
- [12] M. Ledendecker et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12361.
- [13] L. A. Stern *et al.*, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 2347.
- [14] J. Wang et al., Inorg. Chem., 2017, 56, 1041.
- [15] H. Liang et al., ACS Energy Lett., 2017, 2, 1035.
- [16] A. Dutta et al., ACS Energy Lett., 2018, **3**, 141.
- [17] J. Hou et al., ACS Appl. Mater., Interfaces 2017, 9, 24600.
- [18] J. Xu et al., ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 5450.
- [19] J. Xu et al., ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2018, 1, 617.
- [20] T. Wang et al., J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 25378.
- [21] P. Li et al., J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 2231.
- [22] H. S. Han *et al.*, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, in press.
- [23] Y.Wang et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 6911.
- [24] B. Zhang et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 2248.
- [25] T. W. Kim et al., Science, 2014, **343**, 990.

- [26] F. Tang et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 6228.
- [27] K. Dang et al., Nanoscale, 2017, 9, 16133.
- [28] W. Qiu et al., J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, **121**, 17150.
- [29] T. W. Kim et al., J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2016, 7, 447.
- [30] X. Chang et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 8356.
- [31] Y. Liu et al., J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, **120**, 23449.
- [32] M. Chhetri *et al.*, ACS Energy Lett., 2017, **2**, 1062.
- [33] M. de Repinis et al., J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 7275.
- [34] F. S. Hegner et al., ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 37671.