# **Electronic Supporting Information**

# Reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> by a Masked Two-Coordinate Cobalt(I) Complex and Characterization of a Proposed Oxodicobalt(II) Intermediate

Lisa Roy,<sup>a,g,†</sup> Malik H. Al-Afyouni,<sup>b,†</sup> Daniel E. DeRosha,<sup>c,†</sup> Bhaskar Mondal,<sup>a</sup> Ida M. DiMucci,<sup>d</sup> Kyle M. Lancaster,<sup>d</sup> Jason Shearer,<sup>e</sup> Eckhard Bill,<sup>a</sup> William W. Brennessel,<sup>b</sup> Frank Neese,<sup>f</sup> Shengfa Ye,<sup>f,\*</sup> and Patrick L. Holland<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> Max Planck Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Stiftstraße 34-36, Mülheim an der Ruhr, D-45470, Germany.

- <sup>b.</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14618, USA.
- <sup>c.</sup> Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA.
- <sup>d.</sup> Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA.
- e. Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA.
- <sup>f.</sup> Max Planck Institute for Coal Research, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, Mülheim an der Ruhr, D-45470, Germany
- <sup>g.</sup> CSIR Central Mechanical Engineering Research Institute, Durgapur 713209, India.

+ These authors contributed equally.

\*Shengfa Ye, email: shengfa.ye@kofo.mpg.de

\*Patrick L. Holland, email: patrick.holland@yale.edu

# **Table of Contents**

A. Experimental section	Page 3
B. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra	Page 8
C. NMR kinetics of CO <sub>2</sub> reduction by L <sup>tBu</sup> Co	Page 12
D. Attempted synthesis of CO coordinated oxo complex 11	Page 15
E. X-ray absorbance spectroscopy of $L^{tBu}Co(O)CoL^{tBu}$ (3) and reference compounds	Page 16
F. X-ray crystallography	Page 17
G. Justification for truncating the computational ligand model	Page 19
H. Benchmarking the various density functional methods with DLPNO-CCSD(T)	Page 20
I. Different bridging binding modes of CO <sub>2</sub> with two metal complexes and their geometrical parameters	Page 21
J. Magneto-structural isomers of intermediate 10	Page 22
K. B3LYP calculated free energy profiles	Page 23
L. M06L calculated free energy profiles	Page 24
M. Optimized geometry of important intermediates and transition states at BP86/B1	Page 25
N. XYZ coordinates of optimized geometries	Page 26
O. Comparison of diketiminate bond metrics between $3$ and $L^{tBu}CoCl$	Page 30
P. Electronic structure of <b>3</b> from multi-configurational calculations.	Page 31
Q. Frontier orbital analysis during dinuclear associative pathway	Page 35
R. Thermodynamics of additional pathways with mononuclear species	Page 35
S. Why the mononuclear associative pathway is not predicted	Page 36
T. Total energies predicted at M06L level of theory	Page 38
U. Electronic Structure of Co-O-Co at different levels of Density Functional Theory	Page 40
V. References	Page 44

#### **A. Experimental Section**

**General Considerations**. All synthetic manipulations were performed under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or in an M. Braun glovebox maintained at or below 1 ppm O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Glassware was dried at 150 °C overnight. Hexane and toluene were purified by passage through activated alumina and Q5 columns from Glass Contour Co. (Laguna Beach, CA). Celite was dried at 300 °C under vacuum overnight. THF was dried by distilling from Na/benzophenone. THF-*d*<sub>8</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>12</sub>, and C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> were dried over CaH<sub>2</sub> and then over Na/benzophenone and vacuum transferred and stored over 3 Å molecular sieves. CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, and N<sub>2</sub>O were purchased from Airgas, Inc. and passed through a column of activated alumina. <sup>13</sup>CO was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. L<sup>tBu</sup>CoCl,<sup>1</sup> L<sup>tBu</sup>Co,<sup>2</sup> and L<sup>tBu</sup>Co(CO)<sub>2</sub><sup>2,3</sup> were synthesized according to published procedures.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz spectrometer. All spectra are referenced to residual protiated solvent signals:  $C_6D_6$  (7.16 ppm), THF- $d_8$  (3.58 or 1.73 ppm),  $C_6D_{12}$  (1.38 ppm). The NMR probe temperature was calibrated using methanol. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR spectrophotometer (FTIR-8400S). UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary 50 or Cary 60 spectrophotometer using Teflon-sealed quartz cuvettes. Elemental analyses were obtained from the CENTC Elemental Analysis Facility at the University of Rochester. Microanalysis samples were handled under argon, weighed with a PerkinElmer Model AD-6 Autobalance, and their compositions were determined with a PerkinElmer 2400 Series II Analyzer.

**Reaction of L<sup>tBu</sup>Co with CO<sub>2</sub>.** A resealable flask was charged with L<sup>tBu</sup>Co (158 mg, 0.28 mmol) and hexane (15 mL). The dark solution was frozen with liquid N<sub>2</sub> and the headspace of the flask was evacuated. While submerged in liquid N<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> (7.73 mL at 891 mBar, 0.28 mmol) was introduced to the flask and allowed to condense for 30 min. The solution was allowed to thaw at room temperature before stirring at 60 °C for 11 h to give a red solution.

The solution was filtered through Celite, and volatiles were removed to give a red solid mixture of **2** and **4**. Cold pentane (5 mL) was used to extract **2**. The volume was reduced to ~2 mL, and cooling the solution to -40 °C caused crystalline **2** to precipitate (36 mg, 66%). The spectroscopic characteristics of **2** (L<sup>1Bu</sup>CoCO) matched the literature.<sup>3</sup> The remaining solid residue was extracted with toluene (10 mL) and the volume was reduced to ~5 mL. Cooling to -40 °C gave crystals of **4** (44 mg, 40%). Characterization of **4**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  26.8 (36H, t-Bu), 23.0 (8H, *m*-Ar), -4.8 (12H, iPr-CH<sub>3</sub>), -6.9 (2H, backbone-CH), -41.0 (4H, iPr-CH), -45.4 (4H, *p*-Ar), -48.8 (12H, iPr-CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>71</sub>H<sub>106</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.22; H, 9.05; N, 4.74. Found: C, 72.42; H, 9.09; N, 4.51.

Synthesis of  $[L^{tBu}Co]_2(\mu-O)$  (3). A reseatable flask was charged with  $L^{tBu}Co$  (348.0 mg, 0.6206 mmol) and hexanes (50 mL). The dark brown solution was frozen using a liquid N<sub>2</sub> bath, and the headspace of the flask was evacuated. While frozen, N<sub>2</sub>O (13.34 mL at 633 mbar, 0.341 mmol) was introduced to the flask and condensed for 30 min. The solution was thawed and stirred at -78 °C for 4 h and then at ambient temperature for 2 h, resulting in precipitation of a red-orange solid. The mixture was filtered through Celite to collect redorange solid. This solid was washed with hexanes (20 mL), extracted into THF (30 mL), and dried under vacuum to afford crude 3 (228.6 mg, 65%). Analytically pure 3 was obtained by dissolving 76 mg of crude 3 in THF (10 mL), concentrating the red solution to 5 mL under vacuum, and storing at -40 °C overnight to yield crystals. Single crystals for crystallography were grown by slowly evaporating a concentrated toluene solution under reduced pressure. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  34.0 (br), 12.8, -20.5 (br), -34.0 ppm.  $\mu_{eff}$  (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 25 °C) 4.4(1)  $\mu_{B}$  IR (KBr): 3049 (w), 2958 (s), 2922 (m), 2900 (m), 2865 (m), 1596 (w), 1539 (m), 1488 (m), 1457 (m), 1429 (m), 1383 (s), 1361 (s), 1309 (m), 1250 (m), 1202 (m), 1179 (m), 1152 (w), 1098 (m), 1018 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>70</sub>H<sub>106</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OCo<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.92; H, 9.39; N, 4.92. Found: C, 73.54; H, 9.52; N, 4.54.

**NMR-scale reaction of 3 with CO<sub>2</sub>.** A vial was charged with **3** (2.0 mg, 0.0018 mmol) and 1.0 mL  $C_6D_{12}$ . The orange mixture was stirred vigorously for 5 min before transfering 0.5 mL of this solution to a J. Young NMR tube containing a capillary with a nickelocene integration standard. The J. Young tube was sonicated for 1 min, and then the contents of the tube were flash frozen in a liquid N<sub>2</sub> cold bath. The headspace of the tube was evacuated. While frozen, CO<sub>2</sub> (13.34 mL at 5 mbar) was introduced to the tube and condensed for 30 min. The solution was thawed and then immediately injected into an NMR spectrometer cooled to 10 °C. NMR analysis using a nickelocene integration standard indicated formation of **4** in 75% spectroscopic yield (see Figure S5).

**Computational details.** Geometry optimizations utilized the unrestricted Kohn Sham theory and employed the GGA density functional BP86<sup>4, 5</sup> in combination with the triple- $\zeta$  quality TZVP<sup>6</sup> basis set on Co, O, N, and selected C atoms, and double  $\zeta$  quality split-valence basis set, def2-SVP<sup>7</sup> on the rest of the atoms (B1 basis set). The broken-symmetry formalism developed by Noodleman<sup>8, 9</sup> was employed to describe states involving antiferromagnetic coupling. The following thresholds were used for optimizations: energy change tolerance of 5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> a.u., root mean square gradient of 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> a.u., maximum gradient of 3 × 10<sup>-4</sup> a.u., root mean square displacement of 2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> a.u., maximum displacement of 4 × 10<sup>-3</sup> a.u. The DFT integration grid was always set to Grid6 while the Hartree Fock exchange was evaluated by GridX6 for calculations with the B3LYP functional. Tight convergence criteria (energy tolerance 1 × 10<sup>-8</sup> a.u.) for self-consistent field (SCF) calculations were employed throughout all calculations. The atom-pairwise dispersion correction with Becke-Johnson damping (D3BJ), as implemented in ORCA<sup>10, 11</sup> was used throughout to treat non-covalent interactions. The resolution of identity (RI) approximation<sup>12-14</sup> was used to accelerate the calculations in conjunction with the auxiliary Coulomb-fitting basis set def2/J to accelerate

the calculations at the BP86 level. To account for relativistic effects, scalar relativistic zeroth order approximation (ZORA) was used.<sup>15-18</sup> Geometry optimizations were performed without any constraints. Solvent effects during optimization and single point calculations were modeled by employing the polarizable continuum model (CPCM)<sup>19</sup> using benzene ( $\varepsilon = 2.3$ ) as the solvent. Harmonic vibrational analyses were undertaken after the geometry optimization of each structure to differentiate local minima from transition states. The local minima were characterized by all positive eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix, whereas the transition states (TSs) have only one negative eigenvalue. The zero-point energies (ZPE), enthalpic corrections and entropic contributions were obtained from the frequency calculations. In order to obtain single-point energies closer to the basis set limit, B3LYP<sup>4, 20</sup> and M06L<sup>21</sup> calculations with the larger def2-TZVPP<sup>22</sup> basis set on all elements were carried out for which the auxiliary basis set def2-TZVPP/J was employed for the RIJCOSX or RI approximation as appropriate.<sup>23</sup> Empirical dispersion correction<sup>24, 25</sup> with the D3BJ keyword was employed all throughout except for calculations with M06L. The BP86 calculations on TS4 and 11 (at S = 0) leads to an erroneous change in the local spin state of the two cobalt centers, deviating from the desired electronic structure. Since both species are involved in the rate-determining step of the dinuclear dissociative pathway, we re-optimized them (at S = 0and 3 spin states) at the B3LYP/B1 level of theory. To be consistent, we optimized structures corresponding to rate-determining transition states and the reference intermediates/adducts among various pathways (such as 5, TS2, 6, 10, TS4, 11, 13, 14, and TS7) at B3LYP/B1 and evaluated single point energies at M06L/def2-TZVPP. Reported relative free energies in this work are calculated at the M06L/def2-TZVPP level of theory unless otherwise mentioned. Finally, highly accurate electronic energies were computed by using open-shell DLPNO-CCSD(T)<sup>26, 27</sup> approach in conjunction with the def2-TZVPP basis set and an additional correlation fitting auxiliary basis set, def2-TZVPP/C. For pair natural orbital (PNO)

generation, the default values of *TCutPNO*, *TCutPairs* and *TCutMKN* were used.<sup>28</sup> Localized orbitals according to the Pipek-Mezey scheme were computed before PNO generation. Solvent corrections to coupled-cluster electronic energies were obtained from the M06L calculations. Due to the challenging computational cost, only crucial barriers were computed with coupled-cluster theory and the rest with M06L/def2-TZVPP. The gas phase Gibbs free energy change on addition/binding of CO<sub>2</sub> was corrected to the standard state (1 M) by using a correction factor of 1.89 kcal/mol.<sup>29</sup> This value is added to the relative free energy, when one molecule of CO<sub>2</sub> interacts with the mononuclear or dinuclear metal complexes to form the corresponding CO<sub>2</sub> adducts, which requires transfer of CO<sub>2</sub> from the gas phase into benzene solution.

To determine the electronic structure of **3**, we employed the multi-reference CASSCF<sup>30, 31</sup> approach.<sup>32</sup> The crystal structure of **3** was used as a starting point for geometry optimization, and to reduce computational cost, the two *tert*-butyl groups in the ligand were replaced with methyl groups. Geometry optimizations were performed using the BP86 functional and the B1 basis set combination. This approximation does not alter the electronic structure of the Co-O-Co moiety. The state-specific CASSCF (in the singlet spin state) calculations employed the triple- $\zeta$  quality def2-TZVPP basis set for all atoms with optimization of the first root. The active space consists of all 3d orbitals of both cobalt centers and the 2p orbitals of the bridging oxo ligand, which results in the active space CASSCF(20,13), 20 electrons distributed into 13 orbitals. Furthermore, to explore the effects of electronic excitations from filled  $\pi$  to vacant  $\pi^*$  orbitals of the ligand, larger active spaces were constructed including the bonding and antibonding  $\pi$ -orbitals of the N-C-C-C-N of the  $\beta$ -diketiminate framework. This active space constituted of 24 electrons in 17 orbitals (CASSCF(24,17)). To make the computation of CASSCF(24,17) faster, the configuration interaction here has been treated with the ACCCI approximation.

# B. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra

**Figure S1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **3** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> at 25 °C.





Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4 in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> at 25 °C.

**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of reaction mixture of  $L^{tBu}Co$  (1) with 10 equiv CO<sub>2</sub> monitored over time at 10 °C in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>12</sub>. Integration with respect to a nickelocene internal standard shows that  $L^{tBu}Co(CO)$  (2) is made in 95% spectroscopic and  $L^{tBu}Co(OCO_2)CoL^{tBu}$  (4) is made in 86% spectroscopic yield after 3 h.



**Figure S4.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (25 °C) of crude product mixture from reaction of  $L^{tBu}$ Co with 10 equiv of CO<sub>2</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>12</sub>.



**Figure S5.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of a reaction mixture of **3** before (below) and 2 min after (above) adding 1.5 equiv of  $CO_2$  at 10 °C in  $C_6D_{12}$ . Integration relative to a nickelocene internal standard indicates formation of **4** in 75% spectroscopic yield.



## C. NMR kinetics of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction by L<sup>tBu</sup>Co.

A general procedure for one flooding concentration of  $CO_2$  is described. Under an Ar atmosphere, a stock solution of **1** in  $C_6D_{12}$  (0.200 mL, 13.4 mM, 0.00268 mmol), 0.400 mL of  $C_6D_{12}$ , and a nickelocene capillary integration standard were added to a J. Young NMR tube. The NMR tube was sealed with a rubber septum and the solution was flash frozen in a liquid N<sub>2</sub> bath. A stock solution of  $CO_2$  in  $C_6D_{12}$  (0.400 mL, 72.9 mM, 0.0292 mmol) was injected anaerobically by syringe. The solution was thawed and injected into an NMR spectrometer pre-cooled to 10 °C. Proton NMR spectra were acquired at 10°C every 10 min for 5 h.

This general procedure was followed to measure two different flooding concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> as well as two initial concentrations of **1** as indicated below. The concentration over time profiles of L<sup>tBu</sup>Co (**1**), L<sup>tBu</sup>Co(CO) (**2**), and L<sup>tBu</sup>Co( $\mu$ -OCO<sub>2</sub>)CoL<sup>tBu</sup> (**4**), as determined by integration of proton NMR data, were fit to first-order kinetics for each run. The value of the rate constant *k* for each run was then calculated as the average of the three observed rate constants obtained for a given experiment. Using these rate constants in the Eyring equation, a value of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=21.0$  kcal/mol is obtained.



**Kinetics Conditions** 

- **Run 1:** [LCo] = 2.67 mM, [CO<sub>2</sub>] = 29.2 mM
- **Run 2:** [LCo] = 2.67 mM, [CO<sub>2</sub>] = 58.4 mM
- **Run 3:**  $[LCo] = 5.34 \text{ mM}, [CO_2] = 29.2 \text{ mM}$

	LCo fit (k*10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	LCo(CO) fit (k*10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	LCo(OCO)CoL fit (k*10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Average (k*10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
Run 1	4.21	3.65	3.86	3.91
Run 2	3.79	3.81	3.55	3.72
Run 3	3.21	3.38	3.74	3.44

**Figure S6.** Reactant and product concentration over time data as determined by NMR spectroscopy in  $C_6D_{12}$  at 10 °C; data and first-order fits correspond to Run 1 above.



**Figure S7.** Reactant and product concentration over time as determined by NMR spectroscopy in  $C_6D_{12}$  at 10 °C; data and first-order fits correspond to Run 2 above.



**Figure S8.** Reactant and product concentration over time as determined by NMR spectroscopy in  $C_6D_{12}$  at 10 °C; data and first-order fits correspond to Run 3 above.



y = m2*exp(-m3*x)+m1						
	Error					
m1	0.00021326	2.6787e-6				
m2	0.0050861	1.6162e-5				
m3	0.00032073	1.2914e-6				
Chisq	7.4237e-9	NA				
R	0.99988	NA				

y = -m2*exp(-m3*x)+m1							
	Error						
m1	0.0017634	1.4222e-6					
m2	0.001834	9.3184e-6					
m3	0.00033761	2.1087e-6					
Chisq	2.2643e-9	NA					
R	0.9997	NA					

y = -m2*exp(-m3*x)+m1							
	Value	Error					
m1	0.0016877	1.8803e-6					
m2	0.0021566	1.4427e-5					
m3	0.00037393	2.9169e-6					
Chisq	4.5503e-9	NA					
R	0.99951	NA					

## D. Attempted synthesis of CO coordinated oxo complex 11

General procedure for CO gas addition to complex 3: Solid 3 (2.7 mg, 0.0023 mmol) was dissolved in 0.6 mL benzene- $d_6$  and transferred to a J. Young NMR tube containing a nickelocene capillary used as an integration standard. On the Schlenk line, the NMR sample solution was frozen using a liquid nitrogen cold bath. The headspace above the frozen solution was evacuated. CO (7 mbar, 12.55 mL, 0.0035 mmol) was condensed on the frozen solution for 20 min and then the NMR sample was sealed and thawed to ambient temperature with vigorous shaking. Proton NMR indicated formation of the known cobalt bis(carbonyl) complex L<sup>tBu</sup>Co(CO)<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup> in 34% spectroscopic yield in addition to unidentified minor species.

Figure S9. Proton NMR spectra of reactions between 3 and CO.



#### E. X-ray absorbance spectroscopy of L<sup>tBu</sup>Co(O)CoL<sup>tBu</sup> (3) and reference compounds

High Energy Resolution Fluorescence Detection X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (HERFD-XAS) data were collected at the Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source at C-line end station. The incident X-rays were monochromated using a Si(220) crystal monochromator. Emitted X-rays were analyzed using a set of three spherically bent Si crystals (531 reflection) mounted on a Rowland circle in combination with a Pilatus Area Detector as previously described.<sup>33, 34</sup> He-filled plastic bags were used to displace air from the beam flight path to minimize attenuation of the fluorescence. The Co HERFD-XAS spectra were collected by monitoring the intensity of the K $\alpha_1$  peak as a function of incident energy. Data were collected from 7650 to 7950 eV. A step size and count time of 10 eV (1 sec), 0.3 eV (5 sec), and 2 eV (2 sec) was used from 7650 to 7690 eV, 7690 to 7750 eV and 7750 to 7950 eV respectively. Data were collected at *ca.* 20 K in a Displex cryostat to minimize photoreduction and to maintain the samples in an inert atmosphere. The data were normalized using PyMCA and six experimental spectra were averaged for each compound. For normalization, the postedge region (E > 7750 eV) was set to an absorbance of 1.0. The first inflection point of Co foil was used as a calibrant, adjusting the energy axis to the reported literature value of 7709.5 eV. All samples were prepared in a nitrogen filled glovebox as 5% Co (wt/wt) solid dilutions in BN.

**Figure S10.** Cobalt K-edge X-ray absorbance spectra of  $L^{tBu}Co(O)CoL^{tBu}$  (**3**) and cobalt(II) (LCoCl, three-coordinate; [LCo(OH)]<sub>2</sub>, four-coordinate) and cobalt(III) ([LCo(O)]<sub>2</sub>, four-coordinate) reference compounds. The method of preparation for the last two compounds was recently described.<sup>35</sup>



#### F. X-ray crystallography

Crystals were placed onto the tip of a 0.1 mm diameter glass capillary tube or fiber and mounted on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD Platform diffractometer for a data collection at 100.0(5) K.<sup>1</sup> The full data collection was carried out using MoK $\alpha$  radiation (graphite monochromator). A randomly oriented region of reciprocal space was surveyed: six major sections of frames were collected with 0.50° steps in  $\omega$  at six different  $\phi$  settings and a detector position of -38° in 20. The intensity data were corrected for absorption.<sup>2</sup> Final cell constants were calculated from the xyz centroids of >3800 strong reflections from the actual data collection after integration.<sup>3</sup>

Structure (3) was solved using SIR97<sup>4</sup> and refined using SHELXL-2012.<sup>5</sup> The space group P-1 was determined based on intensity statistics. A direct-methods solution was calculated which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The structure suffered both from non-merohedral twinning and highly disordered solvent. After the non-merohedral twin law, [ -0.510 0.497 -0.020 / 1.470 0.490 -0.061 / -0.490 -0.497 -0.980 ], a 180 degree rotation about reciprocal lattice [-1-3 1], was determined,<sup>6</sup> the data were re-integrated,<sup>3</sup> and a new absorption correction was applied. There were 21623 unique reflections solely in the first component, 21604 unique reflections solely in the second component, and 4867 unique overlapping reflections. The mass ratio of the two components refined to 60:40. However, since the structure also contained highly disordered solvent (toluene and perhaps hexane), the overlapping reflection contributions from the minor mass component of the twin were removed.<sup>7</sup> Once the data were modified for twinning, they were further modified to remove reflection contributions from the disordered solvent.<sup>8</sup> There were 4 sites of ~200 Å<sup>3</sup> each in which around 75 electrons were removed, for a total of 297 electrons in 829 Å<sup>3</sup> removed per unit cell. Since the exact amount and identity of solvent were unknown, no solvent was included in the atom list or molecular formula.

Structure (4) was solved using SIR97<sup>4</sup> and refined using SHELXL-97.<sup>9</sup> The space group Cc was determined based on systematic absences and intensity statistics. A direct-methods solution was calculated which provided most non-hydrogen atoms from the E-map. Full-matrix least squares / difference Fourier cycles were performed which located the remaining non-hydrogen atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement parameters. The structure was refined as an inversion twin, with a final twin occupancy ratio of 87:13.

Table	<b>S1.</b>	Crystal	lograpl	hy of	3	and	4
			-0-1	J -	-		

Complex	3	4
Empirical formula	C <sub>70</sub> H <sub>106</sub> Co <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> O	$C_{71}H_{106}Co_2N_4O_3$
FW	1137.44	1181.46
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	P-1	Cc
a (Å)	13.475(2)	20.919(2)
b (Å)	21.712(2)	28.234(3)
<b>c</b> (Å)	25.061(3)	24.420(3)
α (deg)	95.195(3)	90
β (deg)	102.214(2)	114.237(2)
γ (deg)	97.097(3)	90
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	7060(1)	13152(3)
Z	4	8
ρ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.070	1.193
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.510	0.552
$R1, wR2 (I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.0745, 0.1714	0.0603, 0.1053
R1, wR2 (all data)	0.1348, 0.1960	0.1182, 0.1260
GOF	0.918	0.973

# **Crystallographic** References

- 1. APEX2, version 2012.4-3; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2012.
- 2. Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, version 2008/1; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2008.
- 3. SAINT, version 7.68A; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 2009.
- 4. Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G. L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. *SIR97: A new program for solving and refining crystal structures*; Istituto di Cristallografia, CNR: Bari, Italy, 1999.
- 5. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-2012 University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2012.
- 6. a) Parsons, S.; Gould, B.; Cooper, R.; Farrugia, L. *ROTAX*; University of Edinburgh: Edinburgh, Scotland, 2003; b) Sheldrick, G. M. *CELL\_NOW: A program that analyzes a list of reflections to find a cell and orientation matrix despite the presence of several twin domains or other junk*, version 2008/2; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2008.
- 7. Sheldrick, G. M. *TWINABS*, version 2008/4; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2008.
- 8. Spek, A. L. *PLATON: A multipurpose crystallographic tool*, version 300106; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2006.
- 9. Sheldrick, G. M. Acta. Cryst. 2008, 64, 112-122.

#### G. Justification for truncating the computational ligand model

**Figure S11.** Overlay of the optimized geometry of L<sup>tBu</sup>Co and L'Co with the crystal structure calculated at BP86/B1 with D3BJ correction (see below for basis set information).



**Table S2.** Crystallographically obtained and DFT calculated interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) for  $L^{tBu}$ Co and L'Co. Deviations from crystal structure are shown by  $\Delta$  and written in parenthesis.

	<b>Crystal Structure</b>	L <sup>tBu</sup> Co (Δ)	L'Co (Δ)
Co-N1	3.16	3.16 (0.0)	3.19 (0.03)
Co-C1	2.09	2.08 (-0.01)	2.09 (0.0)
Co-C <sup>Ar</sup>	2.15	2.16 (0.01)	2.12 (-0.03)
Co-N1-C1	30.8	30.3 (-0.5)	29.0 (-1.8)
Co-N2	1.90	1.88 (-0.02)	1.87 (-0.03)

Comparing the geometrical parameters of  $L^{tBu}$ Co and L'Co (Table S2) obtained at BP86(D3BJ)/B1 level of theory (B1 basis set includes TZVP basis employed on Co and N and def2-SVP basis on C and H atoms) and an overlay of their geometries with the actual crystal structure (Figure S11) show quite good agreement among all the three structures. The bond lengths and angles of the optimized geometries of the model complexes are consistent with the X-ray crystal structure with insignificant deviations (shown in Table S2). This also validates the reliability of the method chosen for optimization. Moreover, the  $\kappa$ - $N,\eta^6$ -arene ligation is well reproduced in the initial geometry of the complex, i.e. L'Co. The 'Bu substituents on the crystal structure and the methyl replacers in L'Co are almost collinear. This justifies the validity of the truncated model L'Co to be used in place of the actual  $L^{tBu}$ Co framework. However, whenever necessary, the original  $L^{tBu}$ Co framework has been used for calculations; for example in comparing the calculated and experimental structure for dinuclear carbonate (shown in Table 1, main text) and also for  $\mu$ -oxo dicobalt species (shown in Table 2, main text).

#### H. Benchmarking the various density functional methods with DLPNO-CCSD(T).

It is observed from Figure S12 that single point energy calculations on optimized geometries (optimized at BP86(D3BJ)/B1) along the reaction coordinate leading to transformation of 1 to 1' followed by CO<sub>2</sub> addition shows variations in the relative barriers depending on the choice of wavefunction based method and density functional. In particular, it is found that B3LYP with and without empirical dispersion correction deviates from the DLPNO-CCSD(T) predicted relative free energies. B3LYP functional predicted that the C-O bond cleavage in the dinuclear dissociative pathway via **TS4** is the rate determining step. However, it would indicate a buildup of the dinuclear carboxylate bridging intermediate 10, in contrast to the observed reaction. Repeated experimental attempts could not observe the  $\kappa^2 N N'$  isomer (1') of the starting material 1 which indicates that the RDS should be the ligand isomerization. Also kinetics studies showed that the rate does not depend on the concentration of  $CO_2$  (as has been discussed in section C of this supporting information), which further emphasized on the experimental identification of only the  $\kappa N$ ,  $\eta^6$ -arene isomer. B3LYP on the other hand underestimated the metal-aryl bonding and predicted the  $\kappa^2 N, N'$  isomer to be lower in energy by -10 kcal/mol than the  $\kappa N, \eta^6$ -arene isomer, in disagreement to the experiments (see Figure S12). Interestingly, M06L/def-TZVPP delivers a similar barrier for TS1 as DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP. This observation suggests that the B3LYP functional even with the non-covalent corrections cannot properly describe the metal aryl  $\pi$ -bonding in  $\kappa$ - $N,\eta^6$ -arene ligated L'Co, whereas M06L is designed to cover the weak interactions. Hence based on this observation, we predict all the important barriers and reaction free energies with DLPNO-CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP and M06L/def2-TZVPP in the main text.

**Figure S12.** Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) profile for isomerization of 1 (L'Co) to 1' followed by CO<sub>2</sub> activation at different levels of theory.



# I. Different bridging binding modes of CO<sub>2</sub> with two metal complexes and their geometrical parameters.



Figure S13. The two different binding modes of bridging CO<sub>2</sub> possible with two metal complexes.

**Table S3.** Binding parameters and geometries of  $CO_2$  bridged adducts. Distances in Å and angles in degrees.

$\eta^1 C, \eta^2 O, O$ binding	Co <sub>A</sub> - N1	Co <sub>A</sub> -N2	Co <sub>A</sub> -C	C-01	C-O2	01-C- 02	Co <sub>B</sub> -O1	Co <sub>B</sub> -O2	Co <sub>B</sub> -N3	Co <sub>B</sub> -N4
<sup>1</sup> 10 <sub>BS33</sub>	1.89	1.90	1.92	1.29	1.29	117.4	2.06	2.05	1.88	1.89
<sup>3</sup> 10 <sub>BS31</sub>	1.89	1.89	1.93	1.31	1.29	113.0	1.97	1.99	1.82	1.82
<sup>5</sup> 10 <sub>31</sub>	1.88	1.90	1.94	1.29	1.30	113.1	1.99	1.97	1.82	1.82
<sup>7</sup> 10	1.90	1.90	1.93	1.30	1.30	116.7	2.06	2.06	1.89	1.90
η <sup>1</sup> C, η <sup>2</sup> O,O binding										
<sup>1</sup> 10 <sub>BS11</sub>	1.88	1.83	1.81	1.30	1.28	125.0	2.84	1.91	1.78	1.80
<sup>3</sup> 10	1.88	1.83	1.81	1.30	1.28	125.2	2.86	1.91	1.78	1.80
<sup>3</sup> 10 <sub>BS13</sub>	1.88	1.83	1.81	1.33	1.27	119.0	2.14	2.05	1.89	1.89
<sup>5</sup> 10 <sub>13</sub>	1.88	1.83	1.81	1.33	1.27	119.2	2.14	2.03	1.89	1.89

The  $\kappa^1$ C,  $\kappa^2$ O,nding mode for the S=3 and S=0 (BS33) has been discussed in the main text.

#### J. Magneto-structural isomers of intermediate 10

**Figure S14.** The possible electronic structures of intermediate **10**. The overlap between nonorthogonal magnetic orbitals of broken symmetry UHF solution has been marked with the notation "S". The subscript represents the number of unpaired electrons locally on a center.



**Table S4.** Mulliken atomic spin population, total spin and free energy values as collected from B3LYP(D3BJ)/def2-TZVPP/B3LYP(D3BJ)/B1 calculations.

Intermediate	<i>₽Co</i> <sub>A</sub>	<i>₽Co</i> <sub>B</sub>	S	$\Delta G$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>1</sup> <b>10</b> <sub>BS11</sub>	1.05	-1.03	0	19.1
$^{1}10_{BS33}$	2.60	-2.62	0	0.8
<sup>3</sup> 10	1.06	1.02	1	18.6
$^{3}10_{BS31}$	2.60	-1.0	1	7.4
<sup>3</sup> 10 <sub>BS13</sub>	-1.10	2.66	1	3.3
<sup>5</sup> 10 <sub>13</sub>	1.13	2.65	2	3.3
<sup>5</sup> 10 <sub>31</sub>	2.59	1.04	2	9.1
<sup>7</sup> 10	2.63	2.67	3	0.0

From Figure SI4 and Table S4 we find that the high spin septet intermediate with S=3/2 on both the cobalt (II) centers (<sup>7</sup>10) possesses the same energy as the broken symmetry singlet, <sup>1</sup>10<sub>BS33</sub>. Also, the ferromagnetically coupled quintet <sup>5</sup>10<sub>13</sub> and its corresponding antiferromagnetic triplet counterpart <sup>3</sup>10<sub>BS13</sub> have slightly higher energies than the high spin states. Hence, to reduce computational effort, we have chosen the lowest energy septet and the broken symmetry singlet to explore the reaction mechanism. It is to be noted that geometries of the chosen intermediate on these two states are also similar.

# K. B3LYP calculated free energy profiles

**Figure S15.** B3LYP/def2-TZVPP Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) profile for mononuclear (left) and dinuclear (right) dissociative pathway



**Figure S16.** B3LYP/def2-TZVPP Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) profile for dinuclear associative pathway.



## L. M06L calculated free energy profiles

**Figure S17.** M06L/def2-TZVPP Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) profile for mononuclear and dinuclear dissociative and dinuclear associative pathways.







# N. XYZ coordinates of optimized geometries.

# (L<sup>tBu</sup>Co)<sub>2</sub>µ-CO<sub>3</sub>

# L<sup>tBu</sup>CoOCoL<sup>tBu</sup>

Co	7.13217946770792	26.13376195867381	17.80263950116836	C	2 12277752000200	2 07270220022125	17 270((214052012
Со	9.99228411947636	22.70740819360404	17.81099296671146	0	-3.133///53809389	3.0/3/8229033125	17.37966214852013
С	7.79613357253401	23.77789028777456	18.18569685668649	Co	-5.45924574779238	4.23624686024651	19.58857466310224
Ο	6.63255194663147	24.29161534497207	18.36736510878959	0	-4.18413216818778	3.83921027757140	18.50491384320510
0	8.75122954680276	24.56552223585289	17.72036234734129	N	-6.346906/050/1/6	3.31758851032229	20.98777503472765
0	8.09615756722283	22.55306062601858	18.42345691879625	Ν	-6.40361939722532	5.89250984026087	19.55188773468333
Ν	6.82147517750987	27.58974709430260	19.03316992701278	С	-8.67817357511030	2.57127624485501	21.77392277120834
Ν	6.51147324784066	26,90810352819571	16,14662318319071	С	-7.66470566527026	3.60422648688880	21.16866051691908
C	4.91546147990525	29.14358748260706	19.83687196237873	С	-8.21850082707133	4.80483388166122	20.68283901894907
Ċ	5 75560022799669	28 37314062726186	18 75469583016602	Н	-9.27729779331618	4.92345358953812	20.89671863508452
Ċ	5 21137265900650	28 44461420378086	17 45339207193712	С	-7.63139607679846	5.95883327588207	20.08161541448252
H	4 35843895847155	29 11349082416984	17 38262333586399	С	-8.13994607214257	1.66637308678147	22.90808653492655
C	5 59232290587258	27 88617688905426	16 20335057295230	Н	-8.97113170351857	1.02853796298722	23.26055194993374
c	4 87575558310163	28 56804422154542	14 98411154727617	Н	-7.77340038397527	2.24883173147741	23.76833919732611
c	5 56274869533388	20.36078320275432	21 22050536498660	Н	-7.32694885310256	1.00337030043680	22.58474846841851
н	4 80068234731106	29.83227144257115	21.22030330130000	С	-9.09928411031228	1.67130442066948	20.58322284661856
н	6 42652297981954	30 051/9180676707	21.07423223433732	Н	-9.82683152909637	0.90820436342624	20.91737014921023
н	5 80375383658315	28 44014346554600	21.70194549280776	Н	-8.22764068439481	1.14997131382487	20.15611396015153
$\hat{C}$	3 65647605226582	28.258/325565025/	20.05537047301396	Н	-9.56411503503027	2.27103745548794	19.78100236461809
ц	2 00310810583040	28.23043255050254	20.80870702400150	С	-9.95193631129882	3.25318191109959	22.33412574560982
и Ц	2.99319819383949	28.72231230027773	20.80879792409150	Н	-10.5853991069010	2.48685695635420	22.81491958362260
ц	3 08/0126652/602	28 12632103618841	10 1207/156632201	Н	-10.5680490530631	3.72889959215718	21.55233375883298
$\Gamma$	<i>A A</i> <b>8</b> 2008 7204 2002	20.12032193018841	19.12074130032291	Н	-9.70857730437158	4.01435153162156	23.09707029471877
с ц	4.46299672042992	30.34400327433017	19.55255060064002	С	-8.53285904355505	7.23411739114658	20.16417215332896
11 11	2 70225450025474	20 51158802618710	19 47259 490297991	С	-8.84163877735539	7.48384278939212	21.66587148306774
п	5.79223430953474	30.31138802018719	10.4/53040020/001	Н	-7.90615290038491	7.65598617551916	22.22832125317904
п	5 10262260450024	31.13244310419229	19.04552174009008	Н	-9.36421560170676	6.63565657224749	22.13729721896004
Ц	J.10302309430934	27.90884929043820	12 86642282216800	Н	-9.47628829811215	8.38287311643052	21.77267671312661
п	4.404/3019020233	26.01215420160206	12.80043283210809	С	-9.84935214461311	6.96037006491053	19.39135590852787
п	4.00241//0003/00	20.91515429100500	13.30392437394724	Н	-10.4958456454772	7.85618923284700	19.42999964043020
п	0.140903/088/833	20.02962157104664	13.24323033439003	Н	-10.4230504376642	6.11617102172920	19.80921619167432
U U	3.3/2909/1201/93	30.0380313/104004	14.94243042930240	Н	-9.64049851617865	6.73556912235462	18.33081453691703
H	4.89141082733803	30.3/43/319408401	14.10333343782842	С	-7.94891269320021	8.55370799962815	19.61610617789700
н	0.40390838233700	30.07800307300211	14.78994803087309	Н	-7.01962201211103	8.84810177677433	20.12743946645366
Н	3.14334248490803	30.3/834323002039	15.8/034/10190328	Н	-8.69636812183318	9.34914000621658	19.79221545453676
U U	3.3384/208/09143	28.33247009202032	13.21311244407288	Н	-7.74114149772433	8.52145078382554	18.53653203127680
H	2.83503198230111	28.9/9233/0083193	14.320851/014/011	С	-5.57320477251995	2.51859038487340	21.88148516813296
Н	3.01831042931997	29.14536053816269	16.08531405230702	С	-5.18401118506798	3.10121518114822	23.12594423426817
Н	2.96699486046171	27.52199748875039	15.35086025788610	С	-4.51620948343821	2.29519603426906	24.06523386173362
C	7.69/13552209/39	2/.81/8530/9/09/4	20.135/5054669482	Н	-4.23589962439878	2.72192749192894	25.03568764064683
C	8.4/448013164333	29.01/02410012469	20.15112/51954936	C	-4.18542994253161	0.96280021125886	23.77821362455983
U U	9.30843208804165	29.219//1/5186198	21.2104/394/33/88	H	-3.67478328962965	0.34534752379285	24.52741093002922
Н	9.90998/89345154	30.1352961914546/	21.2404/350595522	С	-4.46665156675809	0.44592793322876	22.50701191214421
U U	9.495/49/451//00	28.28001/58046542	22.23101084900268	Н	-4.15571254711102	-0.57695962050492	22.25880109796970
Н	10.1932683924476	28.46233422/4/464	25.0/8644/5125201	C	-5.14162259884707	1.20935897788284	21.53495370700798
C	8.72230433175950	27.11395720720569	22.22348079911131	Č	-5.43738287212315	4.57839123091487	23.41507778873095
Н	8.81265135236811	26.38166996503741	23.03430676717636	C			

С	7.81935027848898	26.85677320466372	21.17500866728144	Н	-5.48746959495396	5.07661288566134	22.42779556456626
С	8.33314244783555	30.05532239653097	19.04086066341879	С	-4.28517884291169	5.22010926675743	24.20872093420082
Η	7.26859638796409	30.08183867412973	18.74519693273754	Н	-4.39929988089835	6.31888400081564	24.22123092448779
С	8.70934113547510	31.48241802684345	19.46539871476518	Н	-3.30426074885567	4.98013048339727	23.76370262266293
Η	8.46293214578350	32.19282069591650	18.65587776485578	Н	-4.27318343091934	4.88191789798778	25.26143128123851
Η	9.79165412309242	31.58232683966904	19.66833159203374	С	-6.77610668254082	4.84364021108671	24.13126682440911
Η	8.16298343129658	31.79629078389917	20.37337784297235	Н	-7.63321360403685	4.52480608402490	23.51864871854727
С	9.11618794606461	29.62046571071085	17.79109933048700	Н	-6.89711438947328	5.92390517331208	24.33313341487610
Η	8.81513226255705	28.60755167428628	17.47909367427708	Н	-6.81790235326008	4.30800982683591	25.09832488971422
Η	10.2016188856433	29.60458729014735	17.99579468473233	С	-5.37651772035050	0.60515467447966	20.15803343815897
Η	8.93053535022665	30.31107184897039	16.94817565609616	Н	-5.96623395721180	1.33518406378478	19.57478103259000
С	6.95702448358682	25.60329192934051	21.22783086125597	С	-4.03460679474421	0.39513056796267	19.42984863369396
Η	6.38741605468324	25.54601242208778	20.28530410864616	Н	-3.52755990575240	1.35779016033502	19.23741952984501
С	5.93411723453686	25.66015346976632	22.38103394971981	Н	-4.19075465761482	-0.09712048494780	18.45520306633344
Η	5.25771775353561	26.52813940285606	22.29227025002732	Н	-3.35407899728309	-0.24335616237684	20.02264691760847
Η	6.44196981984812	25.72847807451174	23.36137567353663	С	-6.16380362743907	-0.71805668173546	20.22970628841541
Η	5.31205435975718	24.74638278173792	22.38530712871357	Н	-7.11149622006610	-0.60535031373589	20.78418516838367
С	7.81417330993976	24.33048271360625	21.33433297109642	Н	-5.57498161047033	-1.50654621458579	20.73338804713643
Η	8.63794045325565	24.32502566026299	20.60125480292124	Н	-6.40201773355500	-1.08243073978290	19.21409242597067
Η	7.19833750030841	23.43124214859296	21.16434067861612	С	-5.71889104085603	6.94483530062091	18.86688821742642
Η	8.28041652882943	24.24186890522660	22.33078493685506	С	-5.92747311390356	7.12519687609508	17.47398031349889
С	6.91568141214656	26.23534213018939	14.95097649041801	С	-5.27568705426615	8.19727466977124	16.83532504410346
С	6.26673313060117	25.02288453533102	14.58911037328125	Н	-5.44212985367990	8.35935044543201	15.76288891986572
С	6.61549069985030	24.42219736232154	13.36472245199333	С	-4.41630709340024	9.05077425434343	17.53875284354761
Η	6.10610584408714	23.49982647278946	13.06278685166610	Н	-3.92475951338245	9.88594308811551	17.02463482875087
С	7.58763367729051	24.98499070573030	12.52805995767318	С	-4.15693016207967	8.80538574970853	18.89526038933134
Н	7.82402470921494	24.51648970720871	11.56483307790440	Н	-3.44944808554755	9.44573356036896	19.43575199530516
С	8.27611394799098	26.13552956932843	12.93988743518923	С	-4.79111795722216	7.75252384897659	19.57791179829199
Η	9.06822387079347	26.54929991629300	12.30403213816550	С	-6.79170684660028	6.17689296767842	16.65449793445271
С	7.96686773081038	26.77045593714027	14.15573553572195	Н	-7.26602959061856	5.46328786153082	17.35269443263545
С	5.18608266338552	24.39008622336481	15.45774041725353	С	-5.89795804706013	5.37116849027988	15.69418068691960
Η	5.18776077935502	24.91483809138959	16.42889574450689	Н	-5.12156544988433	4.81576373560752	16.24793206452017
С	3.78331448248837	24.55434086361420	14.84059845710428	Н	-5.38509724665892	6.04046860498498	14.97951682606158
Н	3.51844859482283	25.61618849559573	14.70261325707224	Н	-6.48745615935979	4.64279366939216	15.11335781827874
Н	3.01780215948342	24.09597514182266	15.49311529180715	С	-7.91306965459134	6.90250221026513	15.88763074458255
Η	3.72538581838255	24.06205462378556	13.85164125425279	Н	-7.50107098901582	7.59074597185442	15.12656979502312
С	5.48688331242873	22.90612976436779	15.73013225870546	Н	-8.55372555108671	7.49709608465768	16.56293986303853
Н	6.52681218857544	22.74609050312387	16.05806928605168	Н	-8.55392144720258	6.17188162827497	15.36118809747673
Н	5.33783412447531	22.29311554159280	14.82427149071034	C	-4.44006428427745	7.44174958697830	21.02453701890841
Н	4.81939760697604	22.51510790311879	16.51782608913135	Н	-5.26924029205445	6.84243640190120	21.44457601172105
С	8.75212213725577	27.99123810959068	14.61276622610262	C	-3.17131129041669	6.56673052762708	21.03053779711491
Н	8.16524834886725	28.47579326826424	15.41241688300476	H	-3.33883486622336	5.62698300044702	20.47344221437472
C	10.0904108049376	27.53941887638371	15.23038166421933	Н	-2.86017052236149	6.30813970430126	22.05692152828397
Η	9.92651262746945	26.80765901057428	16.04000629135776	Н	-2.33436606243427	7.08884945150916	20.53575951610765
Н	10.6457570082370	28,40023007060021	15.64379722328598	C	-4 27895161457244	8 68984006350091	21 90679156451470
Н	10.7250067720190	27.05607500721567	14.46568920989237	Н	-5.17669659622464	9.33345444892334	21.86792101140156
C	8.97846210850299	29.03266184253006	13.50352100493142	Н	-3.40989136621815	9.30158066291087	21.60253439308189
H	8.02806027589556	29.34304788792945	13.03369104332761	Н	-4.11549638039988	8.39374936090216	22.95877875858672
Н	9.63791445953592	28.64576447698517	12.70501458911221	N	-1.23405792675512	3.16338550441589	17.51109297131588
Н	9.46313701238922	29.93405827722589	13.92065280039687	N	-3.35829741397303	1.96663749222432	15.86247668889557
				11			

N	10 4225650648025	21 20787724207560	16 56508280408750	0	2 (0250282022(20	0 21 421 457750270	14 001 (205 (401142
IN N	11 5622124071407	21.30787724297309	10.30396260496739	C	-2.09250383022620	-0.31421457759279	14.89103950491143
C	12 1077560022478	10.62056115528211	15.09702720721091	C	-2.39112894020009	1.03/3333396002	15.6258/0/8309344
C	12.10//3099334/0	19.03030113326211	15.49158050790572	C	-1.10011952901475	1.180485391/09/5	16.16850/334328/1
C	11.03844038301/3	20.70249290734719	10.480048401/822/	Н	-0.4116436248/681	0.38601100318383	15.8936045/033514
U U	12.0755550990044	21.1400/9/284/333	17.39734911307134	C	-0.48251845943236	2.23404924817751	16.90898697156933
Н	13.62/1595992948	20.64/488501/4080	17.21872162993402	С	1.07977284744354	2.19759170842833	16.86892958582198
C	12.6595765774809	21.94778884831942	18.55685585646970	С	-3.28230675313664	-1.24432384760439	15.98390279408232
C	14.01378826425431	21.9108402/929540	19.35254867395973	Н	-3.51783381952634	-2.23675143834741	15.55629160901576
C	11.07/22454754147	19.13714759336409	14.45229408905308	H	-4.21051443222679	-0.82132414967739	16.40047714002428
Н	11.53490743834149	18.29475863937584	13.90179324790961	Н	-2.56734271432159	-1.38102720334402	16.81421591320236
Η	10.80912113351713	19.90791367969914	13.71489839895331	С	-3.69563836780937	-0.24736902845268	13.71485399657455
Η	10.14477954874661	18.77111784963988	14.90610070462866	Н	-3.36215091004607	0.43739084198160	12.91892503324680
С	13.34707786240672	20.11313236408850	14.69191558044857	Н	-4.70231799737643	0.06521968867518	14.02234590053869
Η	13.67742749154000	19.31036944839835	14.00777430127933	Н	-3.77893762101220	-1.25941930115618	13.27807247989326
Η	14.20098620669393	20.37328901038444	15.33911221379823	С	-1.41117247555437	-0.98217555835960	14.33358936865114
Η	13.10556078769856	20.99951742849574	14.07888758237657	Η	-0.86481686460844	-0.31276351666136	13.64497433161003
С	12.50832291699759	18.39657031048826	16.34698300711485	Н	-1.69663987689528	-1.88585860950770	13.76652050939149
Η	12.81326378555521	17.56683309576895	15.68326603400294	Н	-0.71549189369242	-1.31006992760701	15.12466486502756
Η	11.65911963196698	18.04877507881016	16.96049553993850	С	1.49520468663032	2.30508894777476	15.37623142787738
Η	13.34638654374173	18.61091287643348	17.03011817371735	Н	1.08506201319312	1.48387367149309	14.76581284159687
С	15.12173240277976	22.45174909978637	18.40758069959684	Н	2.59737709235021	2.28436729334883	15.29013793069032
Η	16.09658381498093	22.43141700977983	18.92833113113500	Н	1.13531009934256	3.25636615225282	14.94400634145584
Η	14.91307565150347	23.49598306964293	18.11482089624651	С	1.56718402029816	0.84858470977684	17.45964625135410
Η	15.21971914712421	21.85864843972383	17.48330017703257	Н	1.22938920319268	0.73448241956870	18.50472109909392
С	14.11072335588597	22.72755660001237	20.65996004330512	Н	2.67207865581364	0.81957378249721	17.45270305955048
Η	15.14916310130273	22.64184690426346	21.02949478290566	Н	1.20883602840644	-0.02547292141921	16.89079276066968
Η	13.44378494217826	22.35312522932528	21.45005484207259	С	1.83663843526221	3.31485712832474	17.61799721902207
Η	13.89435340050091	23.79568980384829	20.52084204366540	Н	1.65588852354529	3.30649217018102	18,70300251694960
С	14.32873107883546	20.43910995946370	19.73126780047270	Н	1.58729082502284	4.31982407795045	17.24565511925551
Η	15.26677908416003	20.39907664279605	20.31477301841853	Н	2.91833094305136	3.15450157735045	17.45477846973992
Η	14.45334115105305	19.78757599253159	18.85078295055227	C	-4.39640172228455	2.28249882455433	14.93723397127185
Η	13.52267271075340	20.01319095252084	20.35336337352004	С	-4.03968554238276	3.02012833844505	13.76802012609627
С	9.36420323663318	21.09433892454600	15.64944283998992	Ċ	-5.02581793498367	3.25122623551321	12,79237520475465
С	8.27459941972950	20.25791174841426	16.01693977357808	Ĥ	-4.75851751377980	3,79233354687121	11.87648187735451
С	7.26437095234863	20.03631028393933	15.06180113876445	Ĉ	-6.34741935928019	2.81880084023840	12.97600797574082
Η	6.42836594448901	19.37165499839606	15.31208799482610	H	-7.10132213706317	2,99697791358241	12,19937105844951
С	7.30503007147357	20.65105839595110	13.80362098690038	C	-6 70577380818360	2 20275467968028	14 18249396726038
Н	6.51058050523048	20.45928686201384	13.07161530456565	Ĥ	-7 75143060949502	1 91577126173763	14 35268150512192
С	8.35055724874366	21.53292918605703	13.48870181266183	C	-5 75333835654647	1 94067029411574	15 18610500104839
Н	8.36426068227528	22.02360717531872	12.51040724827154	C	-2 63483669090446	3 59268763559343	13 59844483627409
С	9.39331213743764	21.77668963712902	14.39784931420813	н	-2 20571170614926	3 66034144182182	14 61618109423502
C	8.21728838887680	19.56118713258133	17.37249325485350	C	-2 66334738544475	5 01402168616909	13 00831405254918
Н	8.95796251391552	20.05891367461623	18.02465092378643	н Н	-1 66098331978617	5 47368906545733	13 07434291165505
C	6.83744441228449	19.70292101939463	18.03968263202338	Ц	-3 37509270555393	5 65875485086409	13 55161221085110
Ĥ	6 55184337997864	20 76387376075094	18 11594942485301	и П	-2 05203740186485	5 01000570716322	11 0/10585807/017
н	6 86531475629813	19 28002547098404	19 06041531680621	Г	-2.95205749100405	2 60211/75056575	12 76638274074287
н	6 05585762741749	19 15884662108500	17 47739327495967	U U	-2 11/20020260610	2.092114/0000070	11 75361026587258
C	8 61304371028460	18 07505679508328	17 26092992708166	п	1 55312065000426	2.52464662501500	12 2462200202020746505
н	9 62644461805317	17 95065397140116	16 84444581665030	п	0.707/77/00/4606	3 16208078025170	13.24022000/40303
н	7 91131/11/5/30/7	17 52771780168404	16 603815///722060	H C	6 2112154240900	J.10290070033478 1 30830057640145	16 40232720008460
*1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	11.52111100100404	10.0030134122009	C	-0.21131343407471	1.3003203/049143	10.47232/27990409

Η	8.59417544860859	17.59225361931011	18.25541427289296	Н	-5 30993532149189	1 14329362919719	17 10969819278245
С	10.56279429892097	22.69589155865462	14.05651930368936	C	-7 13722798248775	2 27116152281904	17 26087176105831
Η	11.47878378771620	22.20173607748261	14.42972945137906	Ĥ	-6 59811989928135	3 18614962230982	17 56598676011670
С	10.45491953681399	24.04228133295679	14.79438136722198	Н	-7 52378527705249	1 79517633940212	18 17787503366599
Η	10.48122119168918	23.91379338341399	15.89318489670643	н	-8 00589887104337	2 56874334414670	16 64524263693800
Η	9.51055115048130	24.55464148900304	14.55370397397726	C	-6 90725925160550	-0.04815911835851	16 26734530435836
Η	11.29482262625532	24.70553269410523	14.52139934007844	н Н	-6 27667427589237	-0 74379341013803	15 68681724787746
С	10.75805099395491	22.91739357091087	12.54928140542847	Н	-7 85848511765458	0.07677146237574	15 71797070641166
Η	10.82960765741099	21.96092058443335	12.00006025597737	Н	-7 14467058911513	-0 52645192589281	17 23467565564552
Η	11.68914453194513	23.48439410131644	12.37053282546247	C	-0 76418509137279	4 23121384739746	18 33820022762301
Η	9.92904317975868	23.50314586154816	12.11509237495664	C	-0 55774985455594	4 00131472231357	19 72448899210690
С	11.36256527838074	23.28758625541096	20.15620740420825	C	-0.05941933014002	5 05812960640213	20 50908283833911
С	11.50318348251458	24.69834514676661	20.25482409862782	н	0 11969645694736	4 88979825645709	21 57859477740587
С	11.38374960933366	25.28774707485305	21.52627457497033	C	0 19849044909104	6 31894620563768	19 95470722404410
Η	11.51485161261246	26.37081555582690	21.62751910528804	н	0 59338141707663	7 12788448305770	20 58172229523653
С	11.09213745680638	24.51679876554835	22.65956673332593	C	-0.09315862895617	6 55072003668564	18 60274547318977
Η	11.01354426990360	24.99446610494986	23.64406131325707	н	0.06240783439120	7 55022944164844	18 17789892069773
С	10.86203346592256	23.13930496787288	22.52637218232016	C	-0 58429598346847	5 52308356323889	17 77752816161487
Η	10.58993028246512	22.54883874934733	23.40989661224917	Č	-0.90591004841303	2 67443511192133	20 38463840155710
С	10.98345986657829	22.49880369286501	21.28057804143193	н	-1 22325391536003	1 97514984134829	19 58977041597430
С	11.82022199797994	25.55958068712108	19.03774952116796	C	-2.09982674816550	2 87644391304618	21 33500344775019
Η	11.67682244624901	24.92580993645467	18.14223715581927	H	-2.96900758169191	3 28683545062478	20 79384380234558
С	10.85517176526689	26.74877913692743	18.93012720210307	Н	-1 84488124946252	3 58460223882394	22 14439599020665
Η	9.80950744817566	26.40612968541098	18.88111093213745	Н	-2.40761815759438	1.92587135195547	21.80090266808357
Η	11.06702143123120	27.32909687287755	18.01647833508195	Ĉ	0.28845632184490	2.04043490731812	21.12179936145933
Η	10.94376240338186	27.43450161930009	19.79059645159733	Ĥ	1,15396634690660	1.89328946515998	20 4 51 4 9 8 9 51 4 6 8 9 9
С	13.28080671587268	26.05323807706038	19.03559090866313	Н	0.00551420787110	1 05578023472013	21 53662869249078
Η	14.00025852906713	25.21750820898186	19.02413860136532	Н	0.62315391380925	2.67206305121626	21.96545577625398
Η	13.49065117766052	26.66701675159558	19.93160148996356	C	-0.97035932895089	5.81566455010066	16.33618144739172
Η	13.47463754825979	26.67765254728672	18.14415085012659	Н	-1.12798944700659	4.84533846700180	15.83173677987173
С	10.69999768371516	21.00623747898424	21.14318672198624	C	-2.31266589049147	6.57064211099749	16.33755846249015
Η	11.13628893543413	20.66929360219353	20.18541501072445	Ĥ	-3.09669255598194	5.98513232415480	16.84914360854848
С	11.33644937362258	20.16595622153847	22.26505077179236	Н	-2.65674154508873	6.78593036020383	15.31115925138454
Η	12.41897895964505	20.36399274017827	22.36250104386745	Н	-2.22288065218473	7.52895768925434	16.87788010721153
Η	11.20245627696320	19.08850745282490	22.05915252996247	C	0.11637485017316	6.57480974670198	15.55642102613021
Η	10.86853159217330	20.37230639555635	23.24516497036085	Н	0.29517473346916	7.58193500928809	15.97592761724832
С	9.17831980038271	20.75939643327582	21.06326600881891	Н	-0.18927579705350	6.70828856748602	14.50281309606158
Η	8.71756803492985	21.33582230826914	20.24381425563770	H	1.07782907858994	6.02977865798034	15.56666447260357
Η	8.68748139753894	21.06330747097008	22.00675916010428				
Η	8.96651531421888	19.68679744439182	20.89736156709949				

# O. Comparison of diketiminate bond metrics between 3 and LtBuCoCl

For additional evidence that oxo complex **3** contains cobalt(II) ions, we compared the diketiminate bond metrics of the oxo (right ORTEP diagram) to the known three-coordinate cobalt(II) complex L<sup>tBu</sup>CoCl (left ORTEP diagram; from ref. 1 of ESI). All ligand backbone bonds are within error between the two compounds, arguing against ligand redox activity within the diketiminate supporting ligand.



# P. Electronic structure of 3 from multi-configurational calculations.

**Table S5**. Configuration state functions with weight % for the first root calculation of complex **3**, obtained by **CASSCF(20,13)**. (2: doubly occupied MO, 0: unoccupied MO, 1: singly occupied MO with charge = 0, multiplicity = 1, E= -5297.8932652040 hartree)

CSF	Weight %
2221111121222	0.29
2221111212122	0.34
2221111221122	0.45
2221112212112	0.90
2221112221112	1.22
2221112222111	0.45
2221121121221	0.94
2221121221121	1.48
2221121221211	0.55
2221122121211	0.41
2221122212111	0.45
2221122221111	3.95
2221211112122	0.51
2221211112212	1.06
2221211121122	0.68
2221211121212	1.45
2221211122211	0.54
2221212112112	0.94
2221212121112	1.30
2221212122111	0.47
2221221112121	0.26
2221221112211	0.53
2221221121121	2.23

CSF	Weight %
2221221121211	4.65
2221221221111	0.50
2221222112111	0.47
2221222121111	4.15
2222111111222	0.45
2222111112221	1.24
2222111211122	0.70
2222111211212	0.26
2222111212121	1.98
2222111212211	0.75
2222111221121	0.32
2222112112211	0.55
2222112211112	1.89
2222112212111	5.24
2222112221111	0.84
2222121111221	1.15
2222121211121	1.85
2222121211211	0.68
2222122111211	0.51
2222122211111	4.87
2222211111122	1.08
2222211111212	2.23
2222211112121	2.97

CSF	Weight %
2222211112211	6.20
2222211121121	0.49
2222211121211	0.98
2222211212111	0.65
2222212111112	2.01
2222212112111	5.51
2222212121111	0.88
2222221111121	2.78
2222221111211	5.74
2222221211111	0.61
222222111111	5.09

Table S5 shows that the first root of the CAS(20,13) calculation on intermediate 3 has several competing electronic configurations and has significant multiconfigurational character. However, all the CSFs reveal that the oxygen-based ligand orbitals, which are lowest in energy, are never singly occupied; they have predominantly oxygen  $n_{\pi}$  orbital character. This analysis confirms that the oxygen center has all p-orbitals filled and is best described as  $O^{2-}$ , i.e, intermediate **3** has relatively polar bonds and is best described as  $Co^{2+}-O^{2-}-Co^{2+}$ .

**Figure S18.** Natural orbitals for CASSCF(24,17) calculation at S=0 with def2-TZVPP basis set. Atomic contributions and occupancy (in parenthesis) are also shown.



We constructed a larger active space considering the doubly-filled  $\pi$  and vacant  $\pi^*$  orbitals of the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand in order to allow relaxation of the wave-function and explore excitation between these orbitals. The active space now consists of all metal based d-orbitals, the O centered p orbitals, and two high-lying  $\pi$  orbitals: one on each of the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligands and their corresponding antibonding  $\pi^*$  orbitals. This yielded an active space consisting of 24 electrons in 17 orbitals. In Figure S18, we have compared how the active space changes before and after calculation of CASSCF(24,17). Importantly, the  $\pi^*$  orbitals of the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand were essentially vacant with occupation numbers in the range 0.04-0.06. Moreover, the  $\pi$ -orbitals of  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand are not correlated to the Co-O-Co core (Figure S18) and the bonding between Co and the ligand N-C-N is primarily ionic in nature as evident from strong localization on the N-C-N motif (80-85%). Thus, inclusion of bonding and antibonding  $\pi$  orbitals of the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand does not change the overall description of the electronic structure of the cobalt centers as high-spin Co(II). This justifies the suitability of CASSCF(20,13) calculation for complex 3.

#### Q. Frontier orbital analysis during dinuclear associative pathway

Figure S19. Important orbitals during C-O cleavage mediated by dinuclear associative pathway.



The dinuclear associative pathway involves heterolytic C-O bond cleavage via **TS7**. Here charge neutralization around the target oxygen center occurs due to interaction with the nearest metal center. The Lewis acidity of the metal center therefore plays a key role in facilitating the C-O bond scission. The Co-O interaction polarizes the target C-O bond, which may be responsible for the lower barrier pathway in the bimetallic species.

#### R. Thermodynamics of additional pathways with mononuclear species



The formation of **3** from **6** or **7** in the presence of **1**, involving release of a CO molecule in **6** in the former reaction, was computed to have favorable driving forces; however, in the mononuclear dissociative pathway the generation of **6** and **7** has to transvers a high barrier for the C-O bond cleavage. Hence, these two pathways were not considered in the free energy profile in the main text.

#### S. Why the mononuclear associative pathway is not predicted

 $CO_2$  is a linear non-polar molecule featuring very short C-O bond lengths (1.16 Å). Due to the electronegativity difference between C and O, it has partially positive carbon and partially negative oxygen. Activation of  $CO_2$  is manifested by bending of the O-C-O angle (~ 130 °) and a decrease in the C-O bond order. To be noted that  $CO_2$  has a carbon-localized LUMO and the large HOMO-LUMO gap in linear molecule is not conducive for nucleophilic/electrophilic attack. Bending of the molecule lowers the energy of its LUMO, primarily of the in-plane orbital, and increases the carbon weight in its LUMO and oxygen content in its HOMO. These factors enhance the electron accepting ability of  $CO_2$ . Hence reduction of  $CO_2$  requires a synchronous bi-functional activation.<sup>36</sup>

To the best of our knowledge, the mononuclear associative pathway has not been discussed in the literature in the context of a  $(CO_2)_2$  dimer formation. Agarwal and others have proposed a mononuclear associative pathway consisting of association of **formic acid with CO**<sub>2</sub>.<sup>37</sup> In that paper, the presence of a proton on HCOOH ensures strong hydrogen bonding with oxygen of incoming CO<sub>2</sub> which should have promoted overall electron transfer process in the bent CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the dissociative pathway is a more common prediction and there are several examples of both mononuclear and dinuclear dissociative pathways studied theoretically by several groups with the  $\beta$ -diketiminate ligand based metal complexes of Fe, Co and Mn.<sup>38-41</sup> However, only a single study by Agarwal and others discusses the dinuclear associative pathway for insertion of CO<sub>2</sub> into a rhenium carboxylate dimer, [Re(dmb)(CO)<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, where dmb = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine, to release CO and form a carbonate-bridged rhenium dimer.<sup>42</sup> Hence to say associative pathway is a unique mechanistic channel studied in the present report which has very few precedence both for mononuclear and dinuclear associative pathway end by the several examples of the pathway is a unique mechanistic channel studied in the present report which has very few precedence both for mononuclear and dinuclear cases.

**Figure S20**. Various CO<sub>2</sub> activation pathways studied in this report: (a) CO<sub>2</sub> addition to the starting material, (b) CO<sub>2</sub> activation by cobalt-oxo species in the mononuclear dissociative pathway, (c) CO<sub>2</sub> activation by bridging CO<sub>2</sub> complex in intermediate **10** during dinuclear associative pathway, (d) CO<sub>2</sub> activation by the  $\mu$ -oxo dicobalt complex **3** in the dinuclear dissociative pathway and (e) the computationally unobserved association of CO<sub>2</sub> to the  $\eta^2$ -(C,O) adduct in intermediate **5** to a hypothetical **5**'.



In the various CO<sub>2</sub> activation pathways that we have summarized above in Figure S20, we found that in each of the feasible pathways, CO<sub>2</sub> activation is manifested by cooperative effects of a Lewis acid (like a second metal center) and a Lewis base, electron-rich negatively charged oxo group or a two electron-reduced  $[CO_2]^{2-}$ . In intermediate **5**, there is only partial electron transfer from Co<sup>I</sup> to bound CO<sub>2</sub> which makes it  $[CO_2]^{-1}$  in charge. Therefore, the nucleophilicity or basicity of this bound CO<sub>2</sub> is not conducive to promote electron transfer to another incoming CO<sub>2</sub>. Moreover, the lack of a neighbouring Lewis acid group which might help in bending of the incoming CO<sub>2</sub> prior to activation is also a reason that inhibits facile association of a second CO<sub>2</sub> unit to the mononuclear adduct **5** and hence a mononuclear associative pathway is not possible. However, during dinuclear associative pathway, the bridging CO<sub>2</sub> which is actually  $[CO_2]^{2-}$  has conducive basicity for nucleophilic attack to

the carbon of an incoming  $CO_2$ . Secondly, the second  $CO_2$  could properly anchor on one of the cobalt centres, which decreases the O-C-O angle to 156 °, and enhances the possibility of electron acceptance through the carbon-based  $CO_2$  LUMO. These two factors are responsible for a plausible dinuclear associative pathway.

Species	Energy in a.u.
1 (S = 1)	-2639.75459
TS1 (S = 1)	-2639.713401
1' (S = 1)	-2639.746122
$\mathrm{CO}_2(\mathrm{S}=0)$	-188.8549092
1a'(S=1)	-2828.612371
TS1a' (S = 1)	-2828.609281
5 (S = 1)	-2828.618511
TS2 (S=1)	-2828.582323
6 (S = 1)	-2828.591359
2 (S = 1)	-2753.283541
7 (S = 1)	-2715.090548
8 (S = 1)	-2903.950627
TS3 (S = 1)	-2903.938293
9 (S = 1)	-2903.99207
TS2' (S = 2)	-5467.278893
10 (S = 3)	-5468.453273
$(\mathbf{S}=0)$	-5468.454382
TS4 (S = 3)	-5468.417772
(S = 0)	-5468.420127

# T. Total energies predicted at M06L(CPCM)/def-2TZVPP level of theory

11 (S = 3)	-5468.448698
(S = 0)	-5468.45327
<b>3</b> (S = 3)	-5354.956605
(8 = 0)	-5354.964939
12 (8 = 3)	-5543.822192
(S = 0)	-5543.825493
TS5 (S = 3)	-5543.813262
(S = 0)	-5543.817719
4 (S = 3)	-5543.847435
(8 = 0)	-5543.848663
13 (8 = 3)	-5657.309157
(S = 0)	-5657.309298
TS6 (S = 3)	-5657.286463
(S = 0)	-5657.290172
14 (8 = 3)	-5657.297302
(S = 0)	-5657.29552
TS7 (S = 3)	-5657.284506
(S = 0)	-5657.284082
15(8=3)	-5657.314354
(S =0)	-5657.314219

## U. Electronic structure of Co-O-Co at different levels of Density Functional Theory.

**Figure S21.** Electronic structure of L'Co-O-CoL' at (a) BP86 (S=3), (b) BP86 (S=0), (c) M06L (S=3), (d) M06L (S=0), (e) B3LYP (S=3) and (f) B3LYP (S=0) level of theory with the def2-TZVPP basis set.







The choice of functional can have important influences on the result of a computational study, and therefore we used several different density functionals: BP86 (which is a local gradient corrected functional consisting of Becke's exchange and Perdew's correlation), M06L (the local meta-GGA functional developed by Truhlar and co-workers) and the most popular hybrid functional, B3LYP (consisting of 20% Hartree Fock exchange) to evaluate the electronic structure of intermediate 3. High-spin models using each of these functionals gave quasi-restricted DFT orbitals consistent with a d<sup>7</sup> configuration at cobalt, with 4 electrons in the doubly-occupied region and 3 electrons in the singly occupied region. The doubly occupied orbitals have > 90% Co d-parentage while the singly occupied orbitals have  $\sim$  70-90% contribution from metal-based d-orbitals. This is also true for the broken-symmetry models, where we also found three anti-ferromagnetic coupling (for e.g. small overlap in the range of 0.5 to 0.2 at BP86/def-TZVPP level) between the non-orthogonal orbitals of either metal site, in addition to four uncoupled doubly occupied orbitals. Therefore, analysis of electron occupancy with different HF exchange indicate unanimously the dicobalt(II) oxo electronic structure. The schematic representation of the electronic structure of species **3** (both with S=0 and S=3) along with occupancy and atomic contributions to each orbitals with these three different functionals containing different % HF exchange is provided above in Figure S21.

**Figure S22.** Molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) surface of intermediate **3** at BP86/def2-TZVPP. Value of isosurface = 0.02 au. The color spectrum ranges from negative (blue) to positive (red) electrostatic potential within  $\pm 1.0e-2$  a.u.



The MEP plot in Figure S22 reveals distribution of negative electrostatic potential (blue colored isosurface) centrally on oxygen and positive electrostatic potential (red colored isosurface) on some parts of the ligand. The cobalt centers are in the borderline region (green colored isosurface). The MEP mapping clearly shows that the oxygen in species **3** is enveloped by a negative electronic potential and explains the reason for its high reactivity.

**Table S6**. Mulliken gross atomic charges on intermediate **3** with different density functionals employing the def2-TZVPP basis set.

Atom	BP86	<b>B3LYP</b>	M06L
Сод	0.6380	0.7852	0.6762
0	-0.6812	-0.8504	-0.6881
Сов	0.6411	0.7829	0.6857

S = 3	

S	=	0
---	---	---

Atom	BP86	<b>B3LYP</b>	M06L
Сод	0.6036	0.7706	0.6593
0	-0.6195	-0.8169	-0.6645
Сов	0.5989	0.7689	0.6503

## **V. References for ESI**

- 1. K. Ding, P. L. Holland, D. Adhikari and D. J. Mindiola, *Inorg. Synth.*, 2010, 35, 43-45.
- 2. T. R. Dugan, X. Sun, E. V. Rybak-Akimova, O. Olatunji-Ojo, T. R. Cundari and P. L. Holland, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 12418-12421.
- 3. M. H. Al-Afyouni, E. Suturina, S. Pathak, M. Atanasov, E. Bill, D. E. DeRosha, W. W. Brennessel, F. Neese and P. L. Holland, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 10689-10699.
- 4. A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- 5. J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- 6. A. Schäfer, C. Huber and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, 100, 5829-5835.
- 7. A. Schäfer, H. Horn and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, 97, 2571-2577.
- 8. B. Kirchner, F. Wennmohs, S. Ye and F. Neese, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2007, 11, 134-141.
- 9. L. Noodleman, J. Chem. Phys., 1981, 74, 5737-5743.
- 10. F. Neese, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci., 2012, 2, 73-78.
- 11. F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2018, 8:e1327.
- 12. K. Eichkorn, Weigend, F., Treutler, O. et al., *Theor. Chem. Acta.*, 1997, 97, 119-124.
- 13. K. Eichkorn, O. Treutler, H. Öhm, M. Häser and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1995, 242, 652-660.
- 14. K. Eichkorn, O. Treutler, H. Öhm, M. Häser and R. Ahlrichs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1995, 240, 283-290.
- 15. E. v. Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, 99, 4597-4610.
- 16. E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys., 1994, 101, 9783-9792.
- 17. E. van Lenthe, R. van Leeuwen, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1996, 57, 281-293.
- 18. D. A. Pantazis, X.-Y. Chen, C. R. Landis and F. Neese, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2008, 4, 908-919.
- 19. J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci and R. Cammi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, 105, 2999-3094.
- 20. C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 21. Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 125, 194101.
- 22. F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, 7, 3297-3305.
- 23. F. Neese, F. Wennmohs, A. Hansen and U. Becker, *Chem. Phys.*, 2009, 356, 98-109.
- 24. S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- 25. S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104.
- 26. M. Saitow, U. Becker, C. Riplinger, E. F. Valeev and F. Neese, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, 146, 164105.
- 27. C. Riplinger and F. Neese, J. Chem. Phys., 2013, 138, 034106.
- 28. B. Mondal, F. Neese and S. Ye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 7192-7198.
- 29. J. Song, E. L. Klein, F. Neese and S. Ye, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 53, 7500-7507.
- 30. K. Ruedenberg, L. M. Cheung and S. T. Elbert, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1979, 16, 1069-1101.
- 31. B. O. Roos, P. R. Taylor and P. E. M. Siegbahn, *Chem. Phys.*, 1980, 48, 157-173.
- 32. C. Angeli, R. Cimiraglia, S. Evangelisti, T. Leininger and J. P. Malrieu, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, 114, 10252-10264.
- 33. K. D. Finkelstein, C. J. Pollock, A. Lyndaker, T. Krawcyk and J. Conrad, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 2016, 1741, 030009.
- 34. R. C. Walroth, J. T. Lukens, S. N. MacMillan, K. D. Finkelstein and K. M. Lancaster, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, 138, 1922-1931.
- 35. D. E. DeRosha, B. Q. Mercado, G. Lukat-Rodgers, K. R. Rodgers and P. L. Holland, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 3211-3215.
- 36. B. Mondal, J. Song, F. Neese and S. Ye, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2015, 25, 103-109.
- 37. J. Agarwal, B. C. Sanders, E. Fujita, H. F. Schaefer lii, T. C. Harrop and J. T. Muckerman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 6797-6799.

- 38. A. Ariafard, N. J. Brookes, R. Stranger, P. D. W. Boyd and B. F. Yates, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 7773-7782.
- 39. C. Liu, L. Munjanja, T. R. Cundari and A. K. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 6207-6216.
- 40. C. Liu, T. R. Cundari and A. K. Wilson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, 50, 8782-8789.
- 41. V. Canale, R. Robinson, A. Zavras, G. N. Khairallah, N. d'Alessandro, B. F. Yates and R. A. J. O'Hair, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, 7, 1934-1938.
- 42. J. Agarwal, E. Fujita, H. F. Schaefer and J. T. Muckerman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 5180-5186.