## **Supporting Information**

## Ionic organic cage-encapsulating phase-transferable metal clusters

Su-Yun Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Zdravko Kochovski,<sup>c</sup> Hui-Chun Lee,<sup>a</sup> Yan Lu,<sup>c,d</sup> Hemin Zhang,<sup>e</sup> Jie Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jian-Ke Sun,<sup>\*a</sup> and Jiayin Yuan<sup>\*<sup>b</sup></sup>

<sup>a</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Cluster Science, Beijing Key Laboratory of Photoelectronic/Electrophotonic Conversion Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, P.R. China.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Materials and Environmental Chemistry, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden.

<sup>c</sup> Soft Matter and Functional Materials, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany.

<sup>d</sup> Institute of Chemistry, University of Potsdam, 14469 Potsdam, Germany.

<sup>e</sup> School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science & Technology (UNIST), Ulsan 689-798, Republic of Korea.



**Scheme S1.** Scheme illustrating the procedure to prepare the ionic organic cage (I-Cage) from its CC3 precursor, (a) CC3, (b) R-CC3, and (c) I-Cage-Cl (The Cl<sup>-</sup> counter anions are omitted for clarity).



**Figure S1**. Chemical structures and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of (a) I-Cage-Cl (The integration of the spectrum can be referred in Figure S38) and (b) Au@I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O. The inset on the top left shows the comparison between I-Cage-Cl and Au@I-Cage-Cl.



Figure S2. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of (a) I-Cage-Cl and (b) Au@I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O.



**Figure S3.** ESI(+)-MS spectrum of I-Cage-Cl, selected  $[M+H]^+$  at m/z 1155.5 and selected  $[M+Na]^+$  at m/z 1177.8 are marked in the picture.



**Figure S4**. Cryo-EM image of the I-Cage-Cl (dark dot) on a Lacey carbon grid. The inset is the corresponding size distribution histogram of I-Cage-Cl.



**Figure S5**. Cryo-EM image of the neutral RCC3 Cage (some dots highlighted by white circles) on a Lacey carbon grid. There are also a large number of RCC3 cage on Lacey carbon (highlighted by white dotted ellipses). The inset is the corresponding size distribution histogram of RCC3 cage.



Figure S6. The number-average size distribution of the Au@I-Cage-Cl in aqueous solution observed by DLS.



Figure S7. The HAADF-STEM images of (a) Au, (b) Pd and (c) Pt clusters in aqueous solution.



Figure S8. XPS spectrum of Au@I-Cage-Cl showing Au  $4f_{7/2}$  (84.4 eV) and  $4f_{5/2}$  (88.4 eV) peaks of metallic Au.



**Figure S9.** <sup>1</sup>H 2D-DOSY NMR spectrum of I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O. The inset in upper left is the plot of the signal intensity as a function of the gradient strength. Diffusion coefficients are obtained by non-linear fitting of the decay curve.



**Figure S10**. <sup>1</sup>H 2D-DOSY NMR spectrum of Au@I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O. The inset in upper left is the plot of the signal intensity as a function of the gradient strength. Diffusion coefficients are obtained by non-linear fitting of the decay curve.

**Note**: The two diffusion coefficients were calculated using the peaks at 7.62 ppm for I-Cage-Cl and Au@I-Cage-Cl. These two peaks are well separated from other resonances, so they are described by a mono-exponential function. Data were analysed by plotting the signal intensities (areas) as a function of the gradient strength, followed by non-linear fitting of the resulting decay curves. For internal consistency, we checked the method by calculating the diffusion for D<sub>2</sub>O, which was used as a solvent, from the peak at 4.79 ppm: the diffusion coefficient value  $D(D_2O) = 1.84 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  obtained is in agreement with that of D<sub>2</sub>O reported in literature.(*J. Phys. Chem.*, 1965, 69, 4412–4412). The diffusion coefficient for I-Cage-Cl was measured as D(I-Cage-Cl) =  $2.06 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and for Au@I-Cage-Cl as D(Au@I-Cage-Cl) =  $2.16 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .



**Figure S11**. UV-vis spectra of Au cluster after staying in (a) acid, (b) base, (c) heat-treatment at 363 K and (d) liquid N<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S12**. (a) HAADF-STEM image of Au clusters in an acid solution (pH=3) and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au clusters.



**Figure S13**. (a) HAADF-STEM image of Au clusters in a base solution (pH=10) and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au clusters.



**Figure S14**. (a) HAADF-STEM image of Au clusters after treated by liquid nitrogen and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au clusters.



**Figure S15**. (a) HAADF-STEM images of Au cluster after heat-treatment at 363 K and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au clusters.



Figure S16. TEM image of Au nanoparticles produced without any support.



Figure S17. (a) Photograph of Au/RCC3 solution. (b) TEM image of Au/RCC3 and (c) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au nanoparticles ( $4 \pm 0.8$  nm).



**Figure S18.** (a) TEM image of Au/4-cyanomethyl-1-vinyl-imidazolium bromide and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Au nanoparticles ( $6 \pm 0.9$  nm).

Phase transfer process	Amount of Au (mg/L, ppm) left in mother phase
From aqueous to EA phase	4.5 (in aqueous phase)
From EA to aqueous phase	<1 (in EA phase)

Figure S19. ICP-OES result of Au metal left in the mother phase after phase-transfer process.



**Figure S20.** Reversible transfer of Au-I-Cage-Cl (absorbance at 300 nm) in water upon alternating addition of LiTFSI (pink rectangle) and KCl (violet rectangle).



Figure S21. The number-average size distribution of the Au@I-Cage-TFSI in EA solution observed by DLS.



**Figure S22**. Cryo-EM image of Au@I-Cage-TFSI on a Lacey carbon grid and the size distribution histogram as inset.



**Figure S23**. HAADF-STEM images at different magnifications and their corresponding size distribution of Au (a-c), Pd (d-f) and Pt (g-i) clusters in EA solution.



**Figure S24.** Photographs of the reversible phase transfer of Pd clusters assisted by the cage molecules between an aqueous and EA phases upon anion exchange.



**Figure S25**. Photographs of the reversible phase transfer of Pt clusters assisted by the cage molecules between an aqueous and EA phases upon anion exchange.



**Figure S26**. (a) HAADF-STEM image of Pt@I-Cage-Cl catalyst and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Pt clusters  $(0.75 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm})$ .



Figure S27. (a) TEM image of Pt/CTAB and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Pt nanoparticles  $(2.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ nm})$ .



Figure S28. The time course plot of H<sub>2</sub> generation for Pt/CTAB catalyst.



**Figure S29**. (a) TEM image of Pt/PVP and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Pt nanoparticles ( $4 \pm 0.4$  nm).



Figure S30. The time course plot of H<sub>2</sub> generation for Pt/PVP catalyst.



**Figure S31**. (a) TEM image of Pt/RCC3 and (b) the corresponding size distribution histogram of Pt nanoparticles ( $3 \pm 0.6$  nm).



Figure S32. The time course plot of H<sub>2</sub> generation for Pt/RCC3 catalyst.



Figure S33. The TEM image of Pt-SP-Free catalyst.



Figure S34. The time course plot of H<sub>2</sub> generation for pure I-Cage-Cl.



Figure S35. Recyclable AB hydrolysis reaction by Pt@I-Cage-Cl through anion exchange driven phase transfer.



Figure S36. The integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the CC3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S37. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the RCC3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. S19



Figure S38. The integration of the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of the I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure S39. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the Pd@ I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure S40. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the Pt@ I-Cage-Cl in D<sub>2</sub>O.



Figure S41. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the Au/RCC3 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.