## Supplementary information for

## Li<sub>2</sub>S/Carbon Nanocomposite Strips from a Low-Temperature Conversion of

## Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as High-Performance Lithium-Sulfur Cathodes

Fangmin Ye, Hyungjun Noh, Jinhong Lee, Hongkyung Lee, and Hee-Tak Kim \*

Cathode	Conversion Temperatur e (°C)	Electrode Preparation and Li <sub>2</sub> S content in the cathode electrode	Potential window for initial cycle	Initial discharge capacity	Cycle capacity (mAh/g)	Ref.
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C	900	Al-current collector 49.6 wt.%	1.5~3 V	0.5 C, 330	280 after 40 at 0.5 C	1
Li <sub>2</sub> S-C	820	Al-current collector 68 wt.%	1.8~3.4 V	0.1 C, 700	450 after 50 at 0.1 C	2
Li <sub>2</sub> S-GANs	900	Al-current collector 37.3%	1.6~4 V	0.05 C 620	320 after 50 at 0.2 C	3
Li <sub>2</sub> S-rGO	900	Al-current collector 36 wt.%	1.5~4 V	0.025 C 950	469 after 100 at 0.1 C	4
Li <sub>2</sub> S-KB	780	Al-current collector 60 wt%	1.5~4.4 V	1/12 C 693	508 after 40 at 1/12 C	5
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C-CP	820	No-current collector 36 wt.%	1.7~3.8 V	0.1 C 820	430 after 100 at 0.1 C	6
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C-CNT	635	No-current collector 40 wt.%	1.9~3.2 V	0.1 C, 805	595 after 150 at 0.2 C	This work

Table S1. Comparison of the  $Li_2S$  electrodes derived from the conversion of  $Li_2SO_{4_{\circ}}$ 



**Figure S1.** Schematic for three routes to fabricate carbon-coated  $Li_2S$  from  $Li_2SO_4$ . (1) Reduced graphene oxide (rGO) loaded  $Li_2SO_4$  to prepare the rGO-Li\_2S composite, (2) carbon particles coated  $Li_2SO_4$  to prepare the  $Li_2S-C$  composite, (3) polymer coated  $Li_2SO_4$  to prepare the  $Li_2S-C$  composite.



Figure S2. XRD pattern for the as-prepared Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>@PVA-CNT nanocomposites.



Figure S3. The isotherm adsorption curve for the as-prepared Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>@PVA-CNT electrode.



**Figure S4.** A low magnified SEM image of the as-prepared pure  $Li_2SO_4$  microstrips without PVA and CNT prepared by adding the PVA-free  $Li_2SO_4$  aqueous solution into icy ethanol.



**Figure S5.** A high magnified SEM image of the pure  $Li_2SO_4$  microstrips without PVA and CNT prepared by adding the PVA-free  $Li_2SO_4$  aqueous solution into icy ethanol.



Figure S6. XRD patterns of the samples taken after partial carbonization at 240  $^{\circ}$ C and after the carbothermal conversion at 635  $^{\circ}$ C, and of the Li<sub>2</sub>S removed C-CNT matrix.



**Figure S7.** TEM image for the heat-treated  $Li_2SO_4$ @PVA nanocomposites at 240 °C (a) and the Elementary mapping of C, S and O (b).



Figure S8. TGA curve in  $N_2$  environment for the heat-treated  $Li_2SO_4@PVA-CNT$  nanocomposites electrode at 240 °C.



**Figure S9.** (a) SEM and (b) a high magnification SEM images for  $Li_2S@C-CNT$  electrode. (c) SEM and (d) TEM image for  $Li_2S$  removed C-CNT matrix by the  $Li_2S@C-CNT$  nanocomposite electrode dissolving into ethanol and water.



**Figure S10.** The distribution of pore size for carbon matrix before (240 °C) and after (635 °C)  $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$  formed.



**Figure S11.** A HRTEM image for the Li<sub>2</sub>S@C composite prepared at 635°C. Li<sub>2</sub>S particle size and Li<sub>2</sub>S crystalline lattice spacing can be identified.



Figure S12. STEM image (left) for the final  $Li_2S@C$  nanocomposite strips at 635 °C and the Elementary mapping of C (middle) and S (right).



**Figure S13.** SEM image for (a) as prepared  $Li_2SO_4$ -CNT, (b) heat-treated at 240 °C, (c) heat-treated at 700 °C, and (d) TEM image for  $Li_2S$ -CNT electrode heated at 700 °C and a SAED image (inset).



Figure S14. SEM image for  $Li_2S@C$ -CNT electrode over 220 cycles at a rate of 1 C.



Figure S15. TEM (a) and STEM image (b) for the final Li<sub>2</sub>S@ C –CNT after 220 cycles at 1 C and the elementary mapping of C (c) and S (d).



**Figure S16.** EIS spectrum (a) collected by using  $Li_2S@C$  and  $Li_2S@C$ -CNT electrode, respectively and (b) The comparison on EIS spectrum before and after cycling for  $Li_2S$ -CNT and  $Li_2S@C$ -CNT electrodes.



**Figure S17**. Equivalent circuit for fitting the electrochemical impedance spectra. In this equivalent circuit,  $R_0$  is the ohmic resistance of cells, including electrolyte resistance,  $R_{ct}$  is the charge transfer resistance of interface between electrode/electrolyte.  $R_f$  is the resistance induced by solid electrolyte interface (SEI) on Li metal anode, CPE<sub>1</sub> and CPE<sub>2</sub> are the constant phase element,  $W_{sl}$  means the Warburg impedance controlled by the semi-infinite diffusion.

	$R_o/\Omega$	$\mathbf{R}_{ct}$ / $\Omega$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}} / \Omega$
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C	4.76	131	148
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C-CNT	2.51	95.0	88.0
Li <sub>2</sub> S-CNT	4.31	118	101
Li <sub>2</sub> S@C-CNT (After 220 cycles)	4.31	8.80	6.50
Li <sub>2</sub> S-CNT (After 220 cycles)	4.73	15.1	10.6

Table S2. The impedance fitting results for the various electrodes.



**Figure S18.** Initial charge-discharge curves for the  $Li_2S@C-CNT$  nanocomposite electrodes from two different carbothermal reaction temperatures (635 and 900 °C), respectively.



Figure S19. SEM image for Li<sub>2</sub>S@C-CNT electrode obtained at 900 °C in N<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S20. XRD patterns of the  $Li_2S@C-CNT$  nanocomposite electrodes from two different carbothermal reaction temperatures of 635 and 900 °C, respectively.



Figure S21. Crystal structure change for  $Li_2SO_4$  at 579 °C.



**Figure S22.** FT-IR spectrum for the pristine PVA, Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>@PVA nanocomposites and PVA\_240.



**Figure S23. (a)** C 1s XPS spectra for the pristine PVA and (b) C 1s XPS Spectra for the partial carbonized PAN in the air at 240 °C.



Figure S24. XRD pattern for  $Li_2SO_4$ @PAN composite after a heat treatment under  $N_2$  at 635 °C.



Figure S25. TGA curve in  $N_2$  for the heat-treated Li<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>@RF nanocomposites in the air at 240 °C.



Figure 26. C 1s XPS Spectra for the  $Li_2SO_4@RF$  nanocomposites after a heat treatment in the air at 240 °C.

## References

- [1] Z. Yang, J. Guo, S. K. Das, Y. Yu, Z. Zhou and H. D. Abruna, L. A. Archer, J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 1433-1440.
- [2] M. Kohl, J. Bruckner, I. Bauer, H. Althues and S. J Kaskel, *Mater. Chem. A* 2015,
  3, 16307-16312.
- [3] J. Liu, H. Nara, T. Yokoshima, T. Momma and T. Osaka, *Electrochim. Acta* 2015, 183, 70-77.
- [4] D. H. Wang, X. H. Xia, D. Xie, X. Q. Niu, X. Ge, C. D. Gu, X. L. Wang and J. P.
- Tu, J. Power Sources 2015, 299, 293-300.
- [5] Z. Li, S. Zhang, C. Zhang, K. Ueno, T. Yasuda, R. Tatara, K. Dokko and M. Watanabe, *Nanoscale* 2015, 7, 14385-14392.
- [6] D. H. Wang, D. Xie, T. Yang, Y. Zhong, X. L. Wang, X. H. Xia, C. D. Gu and J.
- P. Tu, J. Power Sources 2016, 331, 475-480.