Electronic Supporting Information

Synergism of molybdenum nitride and palladium for high-efficient

formic acid electrooxidation

Haijing Yan, Yanqing Jiao, Aiping Wu, Chungui Tian,* Lei Wang, Xiaomeng Zhang and Honggang Fu*

Key Laboratory of Functional Inorganic Material Chemistry, Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080 (China)

The content of ESI

- 1. Figure S1. TG-DSC curve of Mo₂N/rGO under air atmosphere.
- 2. Figure S2. XRD patterns of Pd/rGO and Pd/VC.
- 3. Figure S3. IR spectra of Pd/VC, Pd/rGO and Pd-Mo₂N/rGO.
- 4. Figure S4. SEM images of (a) Pd/rGO and (b) Pd/VC.
- 5. Figure S5. XPS survey spectrum of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO.
- 6. Figure S6. Formic acid electro-oxidation curves for catalysts at lower potential range.
- 7. Figure S7. Formic acid electro-oxidation curves for the Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalysts with the varying contents of Mo₂N recorded in aqueous 0.5 M $H_2SO_4 + 0.5$ M HCOOH solution with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.
- 8. Figure S8. Chronoamperometric curve of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst after 20000s.
- 9. Table S1. Performance comparison with recent reported Pd-based catalysts in the literature.



Figure S1. TG-DSC curve of Mo₂N/rGO under air atmosphere.

TG analysis was performed with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under air atmosphere. There is an obvious loss at the temperature of 450 °C, which may be ascribed to the decomposition of rGO support. In the process of calcination, the Mo₂N can be converted into the MoO₃ in company with the formation of carbon oxide (CO and CO₂) gases by the reaction of carbon in rGO with the air. The carbon oxide gases are released and the MoO₃ solid was left in the system. The amount of MoO₃ is about 48.1 wt.% as determined by TG analysis. The content of Mo₂N is about 35 wt.% calculated as below equation:

Mo₂N %= MoO₃ % × 204/144×2



Figure S2. XRD patterns of Pd/rGO and Pd/VC.

Figure S2 shows the XRD patterns of Pd/rGO and Pd/VC. The peak at 26.5° is index to the (002) facet of graphite carbon. Three additional peaks at 40.2°, 46.7° and 68.2° are correspond to (111), (200) and (220) facets of Pd (fcc), respectively. It is noted that a weak intensity of Pd phase was observed in Pd-Mo₂N/rGO (Figure 2a) relative to that in Pd/rGO and Pd/VC, indicating the possibly smaller sized Pd nanoparticles in the ternary hybrid.



Figure S3. IR spectra of Pd/VC, Pd/rGO and Pd-Mo₂N/rGO.

In the spectra, the peaks at 3421 and 1541 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the stretch vibration and bending vibration of water, respectively. The peaks at 1635 and 1207 cm⁻¹ are index to the stretch vibration of C=C and C-O bonds in the carbon supports. The peak at 860 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the stretch vibration of the Mo composites. In comparison with the results in Figure S3, the difference in the IR spectra of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO with Pd/rGO and Pd/VC is the existence of the Mo-N bond. The result further proves the successful preparation of the ternary Pd-Mo₂N/rGO composite.



Figure S4. SEM images of (a) Pd/rGO and (b) Pd/VC.

In can be seen, the Pd NPs in the Pd/rGO and Pd/VC are large size with aggregation and worse uniformity than those in the Pd-Mo₂N/rGO. This result indicate the Mo_2N has an important role on the preventing the migration and aggregation of the Pd NPs on the rGO.



Figure S5. XPS survey spectrum of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO. The result reveals that the Pd-Mo₂N/rGO is mainly consisted of C, N, O, Mo and Pd elements.



Figure S6. Formic acid electro-oxidation curves for catalysts at lower potential range.

The oxidation currents at the low potential (0.153 and 0.253 V) were given to evaluate the activity of the catalysts. The oxidation currents at 0.153 and 0.253 V for Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst are 66.8 and 232.6 mA mg⁻¹Pd, which are higher than those for Pd/rGO (30.6 and 112.9 mA mg⁻¹Pd) and Pd/C (19.3 and 87.6 mA mg⁻¹Pd) catalysts. The higher oxidation current at the low potential shows that Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst has higher electrocatalytic performance compared to Pd/rGO and Pd/VC catalysts.



Figure S7. Formic acid electro-oxidation curves for the Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalysts with the varying contents of Mo₂N recorded in aqueous 0.5 M H_2SO_4 + 0.5 M HCOOH solution with a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.

To further investigate the possibility of the enhancement effect of Mo₂N on the intrinsic electrocatalytic activity, the relationship of Mo₂N content and electroactivity was performed. As shown in Figure S7, the Pd-Mo₂N/rGO with different contents (25%, 30%, 35% 40% and 60%) of Mo₂N on rGO are synthesized to investigate the effects of Mo₂N content toward to electrocatalytic activity of FAOR. With the dose of Mo₂N increasing, the electrocatalytic activity of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO presents a "volcano shape". The Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst with 35 wt% of Mo₂N on rGO exhibits an especially high current density of 532.7 mA mg⁻¹Pd. Both Mo₂N content too low and too high are unfavorable for catalytic performance to play, which may be due to that when Mo₂N content too low, Mo₂N is not a good contact with the palladium to play the synergistic effect while when Mo₂N content too high, this inevitably brings Mo₂N aggregation. This result indicates that an appropriate loading amount of Mo₂N is important to produce Pd-Mo₂N/rGO with outstanding activity.



Figure S8. Chronoamperometric curve of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst after 20000 s.

The CA test at longer time (20000 s) was as shown in Figure S8. The current is about 31.7 mA mg⁻¹_{Pd} after test of 20000 s, and it is obvious that the current reaches a stable value after 3600 s. The result further demonstrates the good stability of Pd-Mo₂N/rGO catalyst.

Catalysts	The activity ratio of the catalysts and Pd/carbon	Reference
Pd/N-C	1.96	1
Pd4Ir@G	2.02	2
Pd@Graphene	1.36	3
Pd-Fe ₃ O ₄ /C	2.82	4
PdCu	1.41	5
Pd/NS-G	1.48	6
Pd/WO ₃ /C-APTMS	1.42	7
Pd-Mo ₂ N/rGO	2.20	This work

 Table S1. Performance comparison with recent reported Pd-based catalysts in the literature.

[1] J. F. Chang, X. J. Sun , L. G. Feng, W. Xing, X. J. Qin, G. J. Shao, *J Power Sources*, 2013, **239**, 94-102.

[2] L. Y. Zhang, Z. Liu, J Colloid Interf. Sci., 2017, 505, 783-788.

[3] L. Y. Zhang, Z. L. Zhao, C. M. Li, Nano Energy, 2015, 11, 71-77.

[4] P. C. Meléndez-González, J. C. Carrillo-Rodríguez, D. Morales-Acosta, S. Mukherjee, F. J. Rodríguez-Varela, *Int J Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 30284-30290.

[5] D. Chen, P. C. Sun, H. Liu, J. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 4421-4429.

[6] J. W. Chen, G.Wang, X. Q. Wang, C. P. Jiang, S. F. Zhu, R. L. Wang, *J Mater. Res.*, 2013, **28**,1553-1558.

[7] Y. Yang, Y. H. Li, Y. F. Zhao, P. W. Li, Q. X. Li, J Nanopart. Res., 2018, 20, 12.