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Fig. S1. SEM image of a) pristine $\mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NTs}$, b) $2.5 \mathrm{wt} \%-$, and c) $30 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{LSCM} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs.


Fig. S2. SAED pattern of $\mathrm{LSCM} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs.


Fig. S3. XRD analysis data of LCO particles and $\mathrm{LCO} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs.


Fig. S4. SEM image of a) LCO particles, b) LSCM@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ NFs, c) as-spun LCO@PVP/Sn NFs and d) $\mathrm{LCO} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs.


Fig. S5. a) Formaldehyde sensing tests at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as a function of loading amount of LSCM particles and b) temperature-dependent sensing tests toward 5 ppm of formaldehyde.


Fig. S6. Resistance variation graphs and response times of $\mathrm{LSCM}_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ @ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs towards a) 5 ppm, b) 4 ppm , c) 3 ppm , d) 2 ppm , and e) 1 ppm of formaldehyde gases.

The response time is defined as the time taken for the resistance to decrease by $90 \%$ of maximum resistance difference ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {air }}-\mathrm{R}_{\text {gas }}$ ) after injecting reducing gases. In case of 5 ppm formaldehyde exposure, $\mathrm{R}_{\text {air }}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\text {gas }}$ are $253.03 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and $9.10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, respectively. Therefore, $90 \%$ of $\mathrm{R}_{\text {air }}-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{gas}}$ is $219.54 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, and the response time is the time taken for the resistance to decrease $253.03 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ to $33.49 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ ( $253.03-219.54 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ ). As indicated in Fig. S6a, the response time olf LSCM@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs is 12 s , in case of 5 ppm formaldehyde exposure. The same calculation method is applied to $4,3,2$, and 1 ppm of formaldehyde exposure, and to the control samples (pristine $\mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NFs}, \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ NTs, LSCM@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ NFs, and LCO@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs).


Fig. S7. a) Recovery times of $\mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NFs}, \mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{LCO} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NFs}, \mathrm{LSCM} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2} \mathrm{NFs}$, and LSCM@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs in the concentration range of $1-5 \mathrm{ppm}$. b-f) Resistance variation of LSCM@ $\mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs after injection of air and recovery times in the concentration of 1-5 ppm of formaldehyde.


Figure S8. UPS analysis of LSCM particles.


Figure S9. XPS spectra of (a) Mn 2p of pristine LSCM particles and (b) $\mathrm{Sn} 3 d$ of $\mathrm{LSCM} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs after heating at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


(c)

| Element / Transition | Peak Energy (eV) | Peak Area (eV counts) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}^{2-}(1 \mathrm{~s})$ in LCO | 529.9 | 30649.50 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{-}(1 \mathrm{~s})$ in LCO | 531.0 | 43333.92 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{2-}(1 \mathrm{~s})$ in LSCM | 529.9 | 36083.30 |
| $\mathrm{O}^{-}(1 \mathrm{~s})$ in LSCM | 531.0 | 57832.79 |

Figure S10. XPS spectra of $\mathrm{O} 1 s$ of a) LCO and b) LSCM particles and c) spectra feature table of $\mathrm{O} 1 s$.


Figure S11. Resistance variation graph of LSCM particles toward $1-5 \mathrm{ppm}$ of formaldehyde.

Table S1. Resistance values of $\mathrm{LSCM} @ \mathrm{SnO}_{2}$ FITs before and after injection of formaldehyde gas.

|  | Resistance (k) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| concentration (ppm) | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {air }}(0 \mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{gas}}(4 \mathrm{~s})$ <br> njection | 8 s | 12 s | 16 s | 20 s | 24 s | 28 s | 32 s |
| 5 | 253.03 | 225.13 | 44.94 | 24.94 | 19.31 | 16.60 | 15.01 | 14.00 | 13.31 |
| 4 | 240.28 | 241.00 | 231.61 | 60.03 | 30.99 | 23.85 | 20.47 | 18.54 | 17.24 |
| 3 | 240.99 | 241.80 | 240.80 | 95.00 | 43.55 | 31.49 | 27.03 | 24.37 | 22.62 |
| 2 | 254.07 | 254.90 | 255.06 | 191.07 | 79.44 | 51.06 | 41.35 | 36.71 | 34.08 |
| 1 | 282.27 | 282.39 | 177.35 | 116.89 | 89.53 | 77.06 | 69.79 | 65.17 | 61.94 |

