## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

# Dimensional construction and morphological tuning of heterogeneous MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS electrocatalysts for efficient overall water splitting

ZhangJie Zhai<sup>1</sup>a, Chao Li<sup>1</sup>a, Lei Zhang\*a, Han-Chun Wu<sup>b</sup>, Lei Zhang<sup>acd</sup>, Ning Tang<sup>a</sup>, Wei Wang<sup>a</sup>, Jinlong Gong\*<sup>acd</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> School of Physics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Key Laboratory for Green Chemical Technology of Ministry of Education, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

<sup>d</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### **Experimental section**

#### Materials

All the reagents in the experiment were analytical grade and used without any further treatment. N-hexane, n-pentanol and ethanol were purchased from Real&Lead Chemical Co., Ltd. Molybdic acid, thiurea, potassium hydroxide, CTAB and AOT were purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd.

#### Preparation of MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS heterogeneous catalysts

A simple solvethermal reaction followed by sulfurization annealing process is adopted for the synthesis of  $MoS_2/NiS$  catalysts. At first, 15 mL n-hexane and 1 mL n-pentanol were mixed with ultrapure water to form the solvent solution. For  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs, 90 mg molybdic acid, 0.4 g CTAB and 0.2 g AOT were dissolved in the mixed solvent solution under continuous stirring. A piece of cleaned nickel foam (1 cm × 2 cm) and the mixed solution were together transferred to a 40 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 150 °C for 2 h. The nickel foam was washed with ethanol after reaction and dried in a vacuum oven.  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs was obtained by the surfurization of as-prepared nickel foam at 450 °C in N<sub>2</sub> with thiurea as sulfur source. Same procedure was used to prepare  $MoS_2/NiS$  AGs with only addition of AOT and  $MoS_2/NiS$  NWs with only addition of CTAB. A cleaned nickel foam was directly treated by the same surfurization process without solvothermal reaction to obtain NiS for comparison

### Material characterization

X-ray powders diffraction (XRD) was carried out by a PANalytical X'Pert Pro (Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation,  $\lambda$ =1.54056 Å). The morphology of samples was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Hitachi S4800, Japan) with an energy dispersive spectroscopy detector (EDS). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was

performed on JEM 3100 (JEOL, Japan) operated at 200 kV. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were conducted with a Physical Electronics PHI5802 instrument using X-rays magnesium anode (monochromatic  $K_{\alpha}$  X-rays at 1253.6 eV) as the source. Nitrogen adsorption was conducted at 77 K using a BEL-mini instrument (BEL Inc., Japan), the specific surface area was obtained by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) analyses of the adsorption/desorption isotherm.

#### **Electrochemical measurement**

All the electrochemical measurements were performed on CHI660E (Shanghai Chenhua, China) electrochemical workstation in a three electrodes system. The asprepared foam-like catalysts cast with Nafion solution (5%) were directly served as working electrode with graphite rod ( $\varphi$ =6 mm) as counter electrode and Hg/HgO as reference electrode. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was measured for both HER and OER at a scan rate of 2 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in 1 M KOH to evaluate the catalytic activity. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) scanning from 0.1 to 0.2 V vs. RHE was conducted to evaluate the electrochemical active surface areas of the catalyst. Chronopotentiometry was performed at a constant current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for HER and 15 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for OER in 1 M KOH solution. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured at an overpotential of 150 mV for HER and 300 mV for OER from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with an amplitude of 5 mV. The overall water splitting performance was evaluated in a two-electrode configuration, and the LSV curve was recorded at a scan rate of 2 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The electrolyte should be bubbled using N<sub>2</sub> for 0.5 h before all electrochemical test. All the potentials were transformed to potentials vs RHE based on equation E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. Hg/HgO) +  $0.0977 + 0.05916 \times \text{pH}$ . Only the LSV and Tafel curves were reported with IR compensation.



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of (a) MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NCs, (b) MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NWs, (c) MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS AGs.



Fig. S2 SEM images of precursors (a)  $MoS_2/NiS$  AGs, (b)  $MoS_2/NiS$  NWs, (c)  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs.



Fig. S3 SEM images of (a)  $MoS_2/NiS NWs$ , (b)  $MoS_2/NiS AGs$ .



Fig. S4 SEM images of (a, b) bare Ni foam and (c, d) bare NiS foam.



Fig. S5 (a) HER and (b) OER performance of  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ . Chronopotentiometric curve at a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for (c) HER (d) OER in 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$  without IR correction.



Fig. S6 HER Nyquist plots of  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs,  $MoS_2/NiS$  NWs,  $MoS_2/NiS$  AGs, NiS foam and Ni foam under 150 mV (versus 0 V) overpotential.



**Fig. S7** OER Nyquist plots of MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NCs, MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NWs, MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS AGs, NiS foam and Ni foam under 300 mV (versus 1.23 V) overpotential.



Fig. S8 Estimation of Cdl at 150 mV vs RHE obtained from the cyclic voltammogram.



**Fig. S9** Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NCs, MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS NWs, MoS<sub>2</sub>/NiS AGs.



Fig. S10 EDS analysis of (a)  $MoS_2/NiS$  AGs, (b)  $MoS_2/NiS$  NWs, (c)  $MoS_2/NiS$  NCs. (d) Mo/Ni radio of as-prepared samples.

Catalyst	Current		Overpotential at	Cell	Stability test	Ref
	Density (j)		Corresponding <i>j</i>	Voltage		
NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	210 mV		50 h	1
nanowires on	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~320 mV		50 h ( ~20mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Ni foam	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.63 V	50 h	
NiS sheres	20 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	158 mV		20 h	2
on Ni foam	50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	335 mV		20 h (~20mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.64 V	30 h (~13mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
Interface	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	110 mV		10 h	3
Engineered	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~240 mV		10 h	
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.56 V	10 h	
Ni <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>3-x</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	136 mV		50 h	4
Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> sheets	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~275 mV		30 h	
on Ni foam	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.53 V	200 h	
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	98 mV		48 h	5
nanorods	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~270 mV		48 h	
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.50 V	48 h	
V-doped	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	110 mV		20 h	6
NiS <sub>2</sub>	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~200 mV		20 h	
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		~ 1.60 V	20 h	
WS <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	20 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	138 mV			7
	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	~265 mV			
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.65 V	24 h	
N-doped	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	110 mV		10 h	8
Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> on Ni	100 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	330 mV		10000s (~50 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
foam	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OWS		1.48 V	10 h (~85 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	
MoS <sub>2</sub> /NiS	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	HER	92 mV		80h	This
NCs	15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	OER	272 mV		80h	work
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup>	ows		1.61V	45h (~15 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	

 Table S1. Comparison of the electrocatalytic performance and stability for transition metals

 sulfides-based electrocatalysts.

#### References

- 1. A. Sivanantham, P. Ganesan and S. Shanmugam, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 4660-4660.
- W. Zhu, X. Yue, W. Zhang, S. Yu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang and J. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1486-1489.
- 3. J. Zhang, T. Wang, D. Pohl, B. Rellinghaus, R. Dong, S. Liu, X. Zhuang and X. Feng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6702-6707.
- 4. Y. Wu, Y. Liu, G. D. Li, X. Zou, X. Lian, D. Wang, L. Sun, T. Asefa and X. Zou, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **35**, 161-170.

- 5. Y. Yang, K. Zhang, H. Lin, X. Li, H. C. Chan, L. Yang and Q. Gao, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 2357-2366.
- 6. H. Liu, Q. He, H. Jiang, Y. Lin, Y. Zhang, M. Habib, S. Chen and L. Song, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 11574-11583.
- 7. S. Peng, L. Li, J. Zhang, T. L. Tan, T. Zhang, D. Ji, X. Han, F. Cheng and S. Ramakrishna, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 23361-23368.
- 8. P. Chen, T. Zhou, M. Zhang, Y. Tong, C. Zhong, N. Zhang, L. Zhang, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701584.