Electronic Supplementary Information

Magnesium-Regulated Oxygen Vacancies of Nickel Layered Double Hydroxides for Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation

Liyong Chen,* Yingyue Zhang, Dezhi Li, Yanxin Wang, and Chunying Duan*

Materials and characterization methods

All chemical reagents were used as received. Nafion solution (5 *wt*% in mixture of water and 2propanol) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O), manganese (II) nitrate tetrahydrate (Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O), 2-methylimidazole (Hmim), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), potassium permanganate (KMnO₄), sodium nitrate (NaNO₃), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30 *wt*%), hydrazine hydrate (N₂H₄·H₂O, >80 *wt*%), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 18.4 M), hydrogen chloride (HCl, 12 M), methanol, RuO₂, and natural graphite were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, China.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to characterize microstructures and morphologies of samples on a Tecnai F30 operated at 300 kV. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on HITACHI UHR FE-SEM SU8220. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out in a Rigaku D/Max 2400 automatic powder X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). The thickness of samples was estimated by atomic force microscopy (AFM, Park Systems XE-70) based on non-contact mode. The contents of metal elements were evaluated by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, Optima 2000DV). The composition and chemical state of samples was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS ,Thermo ESCALAB 250Xi) with Al-Ka radiation (hv = 1486.6 eV). Electron spin resonance (ESR) spectra were collected on Bruker A200 at room temperature. Zeta potential was measured on Zetasizer Nano ZS90. Linear-sweep voltammetry (LSV), cyclic voltammetry (CV), and chronoamperometry were measured on a CHI760E electrochemical workstation using a conventional three-electrode cell. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was investigated on a ZAHNER ENNIUM electrochemical workstation.

Materials synthesis

Synthesis of Ni-LDHs. Ni(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ (1.454 g, 5 mmol) and Hmim (0.411 g, 5 mmol) were sequentially added to methanol (15 mL) with vigorously stirring to form a uniform solution in a 20 mL of Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The resulting mixture was heated at 80 °C for 4 h. The final pale green product was collected by centrifugation, rinsed thrice by methanol, and dried in vacuum oven at 80 °C for 6 h before subjected to characterization.

Synthesis of NiM-LDHs. Ni-LDHs doped with s-, p-, d-, and ds-block metals were prepared. In a typical synthetic procedure, Ni(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ (1.454 g, 5 mmol), Mg(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ (25.6 mg, 0.1 mmol) and Hmim (0.411 g, 5 mmol) were sequentially added to methanol (15 mL) with vigorously stirring to form a uniform solution in a 20 mL of Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The resulting mixture was heated at 80 °C for 4 h. The final pale green product was collected by centrifugation, rinsed thrice by methanol, and dried in vacuum oven at 80 °C for 6 h before subjected to characterization.

Other NiM-LDHs were prepared by using $Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, and $Al(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ to substitute for $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ under otherwise identical conditions. In the synthetic media, the molar ratio of Ni to M (such as Mg) were allowed to adjust and prepare various NiM-LDHs.

Fabrication of NiMg-LDHs/rGO hybrids. Graphene oxide (GO) was firstly prepared by a modified Hummers method. Briefly, natural graphite (0.5 g) and NaNO₃ (0.25 g) were mixed in concentrated H₂SO₄ (20 mL) with stirring while cooling in an ice-water bath, and then KMnO₄ (1.5 g) was gradually added. The temperature of the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, subsequently was transferred to water bath and heated at 35 °C for 30 min. After that, deionized water (30 mL) was added, and the suspension was heated at 90 °C for another 15 min. Another addition of deionized water (80 mL) and H₂O₂ (30 *wt*%, 0.5 mL) were sequentially added to the suspension under stirring for another 1 h. The suspension was filtered and washed with HCl (1 M) and deionized water (100 mL) by sonication for 1 h, and centrifuged at 5000 g for 15 min. GO sheets in the supernatant were kept for subsequent application.

To prepare reduced graphene oxide (rGO), N_2H_4 · H_2O (80 µL) was added to an aqueous GO suspension (1 g/L, 100 mL) in a round-bottom flask at room temperature, and the mixture was heated at 100 °C for 1 h. The precipitates were collected by filtering.

The aqueous suspension of rGO (0.1 g/L, 2 mL) after sonication was added to NiMg-LDHs suspension (10 g/L, 2 mL) dropwise. The mixture was successively stirred for 1 h. The final product was washed with deionized water three times and dried in vacuum oven at 80 °C for 6 h before subjected to characterization.

Electrochemical measurements

Preparation of electrocatalyst inks: Ni-, NiM-LDHs, and NiM-LDHs/rGO (5 mg) were added to the mixture of water and ethanol with volume ratio of 1:1 (0.975 mL), and Nafion solution (0.025 mL, 5 *wt*%). The suspension was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 20 min to form a uniform electrocatalyst inks.

Preparation of work electrodes: Glassy carbon (GC) electrodes (3 mm in diameter) were used as work electrodes, and were polished on a Nylon plate containing alumina and deionized water before utilization. The electrocatalyst ink (5 μ L) was drop-casted on the surface of polished GC electrode rinsed with deionized water. The as-prepared work electrodes were naturally dried at room temperature for 1 h. Ag/AgCl (3.5 M KCl) and polished Pt wire were used as the reference electrode and the counter electrode in the conventional three-electron cell, respectively.

Electrocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction (OER): All electrochemical measurements were performed in in 1 M KOH solution. *iR*-compensated LSV curves were collected with a sweep rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ between 0.2 to 0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl after 5 successive CV scans between 0.2 V and 0.5 V. CV curves were collected with variable sweep rate from 20 to 100 mV s⁻¹ at an increment of 20 mV s⁻¹ between 0.2 to 0.3 V vs. Ag/AgCl to assess the double-layer capacitance of electrocatalysts. EIS was studied at overpotential of 320 mV in the frequency range from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz with oscillation potential amplitudes of 5 mV. The durability was studied by successive CV scan for 1000 times at a potential windows of 0.46 to 0.56 V vs. Ag/AgCl at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹.

The Tafel plots were calculated from LSV curves origniated from the equation of $\eta = a + blgj$.

The potentials *vs.* reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) were coverted according to the Nernst equation of $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591 \text{pH} + 0.197$.

The TOF (s⁻¹) were calculated by the equation of TOF = I/(2Fn), where I is current in the LSV curves, F is the Faraday constant, and n is the molar amount of active sites.



Fig. S1 XRD patterns of Ni- and NiM-LDHs (molar ratio of 50:1 from Ni to M).



Fig. S2 TEM image of the curled edge of a NiMg-LDH sheet.



Fig. S3 (a) N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K of all Ni- and NiM-LDHs and (b) corresponding pore size distribution.



Fig. S4 TEM (top), SEM (middle) and AFM (bottom) images of (a, b, c) NiMn-LDHs, (d, e, f) NiZn-LDHs, and (g, h, i) NiAl-LDHs. Insets is the height cross-sectional profiles of sheets labeled in the AFM images.



Fig. S5 *iR*-compensated polarization curve of RuO₂ towards OER in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S6 Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of various LDHs in 1.0 M KOH at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S7 CV curves measured with different scan rates of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mV/s: (a) Ni-LDHs, (b) NiMg-LDHs, (c) NiMn-LDHs, (d) NiZn-LDHs and (e) NiAl-LDHs.



Fig. S8 The comparison of *iR*-compensated LSV curves of NiMg-LDHs before (red) and after (black) successive 1000 times CV scans.



Fig. S9 Survey XPS spectra of all samples.



Fig. S10 ESR spectra of Ni- and NiMg-LDHs.



Fig. S11 XRD pattern of the sample prepared from Ni(NO₃)₂, Ca(NO₃)₂ and Hmim in methanol.



Fig. S12 The sample of NiMg-LDHs prepared by a solvo/hydrothermal synthetic route: (a) SEM and (b) TEM images, (c) XRD pattern, and (d) XPS spectrum of O 1s.



Fig. S13 High resolution XPS spectra of O 1s and Ni 2p core levels of NiMg-LDHs prepared at different molar ratio from Ni to Mg: $(a_1 \text{ and } a_2) 100:1$, $(b_1 \text{ and } b_2) 80:1$, $(c_1 \text{ and } c_2) 50:1$, $(d_1 \text{ and } d_2) 30:1$, and $(e_1 \text{ and } e_2) 5:1$.



Fig. S14 (a) *i*R-compensated LSV curves measured in 1 M KOH and (b) η_{10} and η_{20} of NiMg-LDHs prepared with different molar ratio from Ni to Mg.



Fig. S15 Thermogravimetric curve of NiMg-LDHs-(50:1).



Fig. S16 High-resolution XPS spectra of O 1s and Ni 2p core levels of NiMg-LDHs-(50:1) after thermal treatment under $(a_1 \text{ and } a_2) N_2$ and $(b_1 \text{ and } b_2) O_2$ atmospheres, respectively.



Fig. S17 *iR*-compensated LSV curves of NiMg-LDHs-(50:1) with and without thermal treatment under N_2 and O_2 atmospheres towards OER in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S18 High-resolution XPS spectra of Ni 2p and O 1s of (a, d) NiMn-LDHs, (b, e) NiZn-LDHs and (c, f) NiAl-LDHs.



Fig. S19 Zeta potential of (a) NiMg-LDHs and (b) rGO.



Fig. S20 (a) TEM and (b) SEM images and (c) XRD pattern and Raman spectrum of NiMg-LDHs/rGO hybrids.



Fig. S21 Electrocatalytic performance of NiMg-LDHs/rGO hybrids towards OER in 1.0 M KOH solution. (a) LSV curves with *iR*-compensation and (d) Tafel slopes