Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Bayberry Tannin immobilized Bovine Serum Albumin Nanospheres: Characterization, Irradiation Stability and Selective Removal Uranyl Ion from Radioactive Wastewater

Jie Yu^a[§], Xuegang Luo^a[§], Bo Liu^b[§], Jian Zhou^{*a, b}, Jian Feng^a, Wenkun Zhu^{*b}, Shanlin Wang^c, Yongde Zhang^b, Xiaoyan Lin^a, Ping Chen^d

^a State Key Laboratory of Environment-friendly Energy Materials, School of Life Science and Engineering,

Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, Sichuan 621010, PR China.

^b Engineering Research Center of Biomass Materials, Ministry of Education; Fundamental Science on Nuclear Wastes and Environmental Safety Laboratory, Mianyang, Sichuan 621010, PR China

^c Institute of Computer Application, China Academy of Engineering Physics, Mianyang, Sichuan, 621900, PR

China

^d Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, P. R. China

*Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Environment-friendly Energy Materials, School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang, Sichuan 621010, PR. China.

E-mail address: zhoujian@swust.edu.cn (J. Zhou).

S1. Batch adsorption

*Effect of pH on UO*₂²⁺ *adsorption.* 0.02g of BSA-BT-NSs was immersed into 50 mL of 100 mg L⁻¹ UO₂²⁺ solution. The pH of the solutions, ranged from 2 to 6, was adjusted using diluted 0.1 M NaOH solution and 0.1 M HNO₃ solution. The adsorption process was conducted at 298 K with constant stirring for 24 h. Then, the suspension was filtered and the concentration of UO₂²⁺ in filtrate was analyzed by UV-Vis (UV-3900, Hitachi Corp., Tokyo, Japan; the limit of analytical detection of uranium is 0.1 mg L⁻¹) at 650 nm with arsenazo (III) as the complex agent (Fig.S1A). The UO₂²⁺ concentrations were measured using a calibration curve (Fig.S2B) (*Y* = 0.24071*X* – 0.00255, where *X* is the concentration of UO₂²⁺ in mg L⁻¹ and Y is the absorbency; $R^2 = 0.999$). The linear equation was established from the known concentration of standard UO₂²⁺ solutions (0, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 2.4, 3.2, and 4 mg L⁻¹, respectively) [13]. The adsorption capacity of UO₂²⁺ before and after the adsorption.



Fig. S1 Absorption spectra of uranium-Arsenazo III complex (A) and standard curve of uranium

(B)

Effects of the temperature and the initial $UO_2^{2^+}$ *concentration.* 0.02g of BSA-BT-NSs was immersed into 50 ml of $UO_2^{2^+}$ solution, where the initial concentration of $UO_2^{2^+}$ ranged from 20 mg L⁻¹, 100 mg L⁻¹, 180 mg L⁻¹, 260 mg L⁻¹, 340 mg L⁻¹, 420 mg L⁻¹. The pH of solutions was 5.0 and the adsorption processes were conducted with constant stirring for 24 h at 288 K, 298 K, 308 K, 318 K and 328 K, respectively. The concentrations of $UO_2^{2^+}$ in residual solutions after adsorption were analyzed by UV-Vis with arsenazo (III) as the complex agent. *Adsorption kinetics.* 0.02 g of BSA-BT-NSs was suspended in 50 mL of 100 mg L⁻¹ UO_2^{2+} solutions. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.0 and the adsorption process was conducted at 298 K with constant stirring. The concentration of UO_2^{2+} was analyzed at a regular interval during adsorption process by UV-Vis. The adsorption capacities at time *t* (min) were obtained by mass balance calculation and were denoted as q_t (mg g⁻¹).

S2. Preparation of BSA-BT-NSs.



Fig. S2 SEM images of BSA-BT-NSs using difference amount tannin to immobilization (A-

10%, B-20%, C-30%, D-40%, E-50% and F-60%)

S3. Thermal analysis



Fig. S3 The thermal analysis of BSA-NSs and BSA-BT-NSs

S4. Uranyl ion removal

Thermodynamics: Three basic thermodynamic parameters, free energy change (ΔG) , enthalpy change (ΔH) and entropy change (ΔS) were calculated using following equations.

$G^{0} = \Delta H^{0} - T \Delta S^{0} \tag{6}$	1)

 $\ln K_{\rm L} = \Delta S^0 / R - \Delta H^0 / R T \tag{2}$



Fig.S4 The liner relationship between lnK_L and 1/T for U(VI) adsorption on BSA-BT-NSs *Isotherm model:* Experimental data was fitted by Langmuir and Freundlich models.
The linear and non-linear form of Langmuir isotherm equation can be written as:

$$\frac{C_{\rm e}}{q_{\rm e}} = \frac{1}{K_{\rm L}} q_{\rm max} + \frac{C_{\rm e}}{q_{\rm max}} \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{q}_{e} = \frac{q_{\max} K_{L} C_{e}}{(1 + K_{L} C_{e})}$$
(4)

The Freundlich Model can be expressed as linear and non-linear forms:

 $\ln q_{e} = \ln K_{F} + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_{e} \qquad \text{(linear)} \tag{5}$

$$q_{e} = K_{F} C_{e}^{\frac{1}{n}} \qquad (\text{non-linear}) \tag{6}$$

where $q_e \pmod{\text{g}^{-1}}$ represents the equilibrium adsorption of uranium (VI) on the adsorbent, C_0 and $C_e \pmod{\text{L}^{-1}}$ are initial and equilibrium uranium(VI) concentration,

respectively.



Fig.S5 The linear (A) and non-linear (C) fitting of Langmuir model of UO₂²⁺ adsorption on BSA-BT-NSs; The linear (B) and non-linear (D) fitting of Freundlich model of U(VI) adsorption on

BSA-BT-NSs

	<i>T</i> (K)	_	Langmuir		Freundlich			
Туре		$q_m(\mathrm{mg~g}^{-1})$	$K_L(L mg^{-1})$	R ²	<i>K_F</i> (mg g ⁻¹)(L mg ⁻¹) ^{1/n}	n	R ²	
	288	431.034	0.007	0.983	26.287	2.409	0.911	
	298	423.729	0.007	0.983	28.449	2.484	0.910	
Linear	308	409.836	0.008	0.981	36.736	2.767	0.900	
model	318	431.034	0.008	0.966	40.183	2.819	0.871	
Non- linear	328	487.805	0.008	0.966	33.304	2.513	0.870	
	288	404.626	0.008	0.966	33.272	2.682	0.892	
	298	402.446	0.008	0.963	35.406	2.753	0.889	
	308	392.336	0.010	0.963	44.304	3.051	0.880	
model	318	408.260	0.010	0.945	49.227	3.142	0.852	

Table S1 Characteristic parameters obtained from Langmuir and Freundlich equations

		328	451	.059	0.009	0.94	43	43.302		2.851	0.849
				Tat	ole S2 Ec	juilibrium j	parameter	s R_L			
	Uranium(VI) concentration (mg L ⁻¹)										
TU	n _	100		180		260		340		400)
1(R	·) —	incor	Non-	Lincor	Non-	Lincor	Non-	Lincor	Non-	Lincor	Non-
	_	Jiiieai	liner	Linear	liner	Lineai	liner	Linear	liner	Linear	liner
288	8	0.610	0.568	0.447	0.405	0.374	0.335	0.309	0.274	0.265	0.233
298	8	0.594	0.558	0.430	0.395	0.359	0.325	0.295	0.265	0.253	0.226
308	8	0.550	0.516	0.387	0.355	0.318	0.290	0.259	0.234	0.220	0.198
318	8	0.548	0.504	0.385	0.345	0.317	0.280	0.257	0.226	0.219	0.190
328	8	0.562	0.543	0.399	0.381	0.330	0.313	0.269	0.254	0.229	0.216

Adsorption kinetics. Two kinetic models, pseudo-first-order and pseudo-secondorder, were employed to evaluate the rate-controlling mechanism of the adsorption process. The linear and non-linear forms of the equations are given as follows:

 $\lg(q_e - q_t) = \lg q_e - K_1 t$ (11)

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{t}{q_e} + \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2}$$
(12)

The non-linear forms of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models can be written as:

$$q_t = q_e(1 - \exp(-K_1 t))$$
 (13)

$$q_{t} = \frac{K_{2}tq_{e}^{2}}{(1+K_{2}tq_{e})}$$
(14)

where t (min) is the contact time, q_e and q_t (mg g⁻¹) are the adsorption capacity at any time t and equilibrium, respectively, and K_I (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹) and K_2 (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹) are the rate constants of pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order adsorption.



Fig.S6 The linear (A) and non-linear (C) fitting of pseudo-first-order kinetic of UO_2^{2+} adsorption on BSA-BT-NSs; the linear (B) and non-linear (D) fitting of pseudo-second-order kinetic model of UO_2^{2+} adsorption on BSA-BT-NSs.

Model		Pseudo-first-orde	Pseudo-second-order			
Parameter	<i>q</i> _e (mg g ⁻¹)	k1(g/mg*min)	R ²	q _e (mg/g)	k2(g/mg*min)	R ²
Linear	7.864	9.080×10 ⁻³	0.978	179.856	3.440×10 ⁻⁴	0.998
Non-linear	170.483	2.800×10-2	0.974	185.144	2.507×10 ⁻⁴	0.953

Table S3 Parameters of Pseudo-first-order kinetics and Pseudo-second-order kineticsfor U(VI) adsorption on BSA-BT-NSs.

S5. Theory calculation

	0 00	•	00	
Geometric Models	PC-U	PC-U-PC	BT-U	BT-U-BT
E _B (KJ mol ⁻¹)	693.132	979.315	799.964	1157.846

Table S4 Binding energy of corresponding geometric models.