SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Hidden Symmetry-Broken Phase of MoS₂ Revealed as A Superior Photovoltaic Material

Meiling Xu,^{abc} Yue Chen,^{*d} Fen Xiong,^d Jianyun Wang,^a Yanhui Liu,^e Jian Lv,^a Yinwei Li,^b Yanchao Wang,^{*ac} Zhongfang Chen^f and Yanming Ma^{*ag}

^aState Key Lab of Superhard Materials, College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

^bSchool of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China

cInnovation center of computational physics methods and software, College of Physics, Jilin University

Changchun 130012, China

^dDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong SAR

^eDepartment of Physics, College of Science, Yanbian University, Yanji 133002, China

^fDepartment of Chemistry, University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus, San Juan, PR 00931, USA

gInternational Center of Future Science, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

Corresponding Authors:

- * E-mail: yuechen@hku.hk
- *E-mail: wyc@calypso.cn
- * E-mail: mym@calypso.cn

Notation	Space group	Lattice parameters (Å, degree)	Atomic coordinates	
1T _d	P31m		Mo:3c (0.614 0.614 0.447)	
		a = 5.611 a = 25.844	S: 3c (0.684 0.000 0.403)	
		$\alpha = 5.011 \text{ c} = 53.844$ $\gamma = 120$	S: 2b (0.667 0.333 0.493)	
			S: 1a (0.000 0.000 0.480)	
			unique axis c	
1H′	P2/c	a = 3.096 c = 7.261 b=17.999 $\beta = 128.19$	Mo: 2f (0.50 0.48 0.25)	
			S: 4g (0.34 0.41 0.50)	
			unique axis b	

Table S1. Crystallographic Data of $1T_d$ and 1H' phases.

Table S2. The calculated atomic vibrations for the different modes of $1T_d$ and 1H phases. The irreducible representation for zone center phonons in $1T_d$ is $\Gamma = 6A_1 + 7E$.

	Mode	$\omega(\text{cm}^{-1})$	Exp ^a	Active
	E_{2g}^{1}	378.0	384.2	I+R
1H	A_{1g}	400.4	404.9	R
	A_{2g}	461.2	-	Ι
		180.2	-	I+R
		236.5	-	I+R
		276.4	-	I+R
	E	300.9	-	I+R
		318.0	-	I+R
		347.7	-	I+R
$1T_d$		405.5	-	I+R
-		35.9	-	I+R
		193.6	-	I+R
	•	250.8	-	I+R
	A ₁	309.8	-	I+R
		397.6	-	I+R
		468.4	-	I+R

^aHong, L. et al. From bulk to monolayer MoS₂: evolution of Raman scattering. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 22(7), 1385-1390 (2012)



Figure S1. The phonon dispersions for (a) $1T_d$ and (b) 1H'. (c) Band structure of 1H' at HSE06 level of theory.



Figure S2. The fluctuation of potential energy of $1T_d$ phase (144 atoms/cell) as a function of AIMD simulation steps at 600 K. No structural destruction is found after 5ps simulations. The inset is the snapshot of the structure at the end of 5ps simulation.

Further analysis on the orbital levels of $1T_d$ -MoS₂

The electronic structure of TMDs strongly depends on the coordination environment of the transition metal and its d-electron count. The distorted octahedral coordination of transition metal

(D_{3d}) form degenerate two orbitals of a1 and 2e, corresponding to one-fold $d_{z^2}^2$ orbital and four-fold

degenerated ${}^{d}x^2 - y^2$, d_{xy} , d_{xz} , and d_{yz} orbitals. The diverse electronic properties of TMDs with 1T_d structure arise from the progressive filling of the non-bonding d bands for MoS₂, PdS₂ and SnS₂. When the orbitals are partially filled, as in the case of 1T_d-PdS₂, TMDs exhibit metallic conductivity. When the orbitals are fully occupied, such as in 1T_d-MoS₂ and 1T_d-SnS₂. Specially, once Mo is substituted by Pd, d^2 electron count changes to d^4 ; therefore, one-fold a₁ orbital is fully filled and two

doubly degenerated e orbitals are partially filled, leading to the metallic behavior of $1T_d$ -PdS₂. For $1T_d$ -SnS₂, 10 *d* electrons can completely fill five *d* orbits; thus, resulting in its semiconducting character.



Figure S3. Schematic diagrams of the orbital levels of MoS_2 , PdS_2 and SnS_2 in $1T_d$ phase.

Stacking configurations of tri-layers 1T_d phase

The geometric and electronic properties of tri-layers $1T_d$ phase with three different stacking configurations, namely AAA, AAB, and ABA, respectively, are similar. The computed total energies suggest that these three different stacking configurations are essentially isoenergetic.

Furthermore, AAA, AAB and ABA stacking configurations are all direct band gap semiconductors, and their gap values are 0.69 eV, 0.72 eV and 0.74 eV, respectively. Due to the very weak interlayer vdW interactions, stacking patterns have litter effect on total energies and electronic properties. Thus, AAA stacking configuration is adopted in text.



Figure S4. The optimized geometric structures, total energies with respect to that of AAA stacking pattern, and the band structures using PBE functional of tri-layers with three stacking patterns: (a) AAA, (b) AAB, and (c) ABA.



Figure S5. Different images on minimum energy path from 1H to 1T and 1T to $1T_d$ phases, respectively.



Figure S6. AIMD simulations of the temperature-induced phase transition between $1T_d$ phase and 1T phase.



Figure S7. The simulated occupied state STM images at a bias of -0.5 V for the 1H phase (a), 1T phase (b), $1T_d$ phase (c) and 1T' phase (d) of MoS₂.



Figure S8. The total energies of $1T_{d}$ -, 1T- and 1T'-phases relative to the 1H phase for MX_2 monolayers.



Figure S9. The band structures of $1T_d$ phase for various MX₂ monolayers at HSE06 level of theory.

Calculation methods for spectroscopic limited maximum efficiency (SLME): The maximum solar cell efficiency is simulated through calculating spectroscopic limited maximum efficiency (SLME) based on the improved Shockley-Queisser model. The SLME of a material takes into account the band gap size, the band gap type (direct versus indirect), and the optical absorption spectrum, all of which can be obtained from reliable first principles calculations. The calculation of radiative and non-radiative recombination current is based on detailed balance theory using the

energy difference between the minimum band gap and direct-allowed gap as the input. The simulation is performed under the standard AM1.5G solar spectrum at room temperature.