Supporting Information

Molybdenum Carbide Nanoparticles Decorated Hierarchical Tubular Carbon Superstructures with Vertical Nanosheet Arrays for Efficient Hydrogen Evolution

Chemicals. Nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O), cobalt nitrate hexahydrate (Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O), *p*-phenylenediamine (PPD), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), dimethylformamide (DMF), HCl, H₂SO₄ and KOH were purchased from Tansoole Chemical Ltd. Pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Ltd. Commercial Mo₂C powder (99.5 %) and Pt/C (20 %) was purchased from Strem Chemicals, Inc. All the reagents were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification.

Preparation of NiMoO₄ **Nanorods**. NiMoO₄ nanorods were fabricated by a previously reported method with modification.^{S1} Typically, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (10 mmol) and Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O (10 mmol) were firstly dissolved in water (300 mL) and the resulting solution was thermally treated at 160 °C for 6 h in a Teflon-lined autoclave. After naturally cooling down to room temperature, NiMoO₄ nanorods (1.67 g, yield \approx 76%) were collected as the yellow-green powders by filtration, washing with water and ethanol, and vacuum drying overnight.

Preparation of PAA and NiMoO₄/PIs. PPD (1.041 g) and PMDA (2.121 g) were consecutively dissolved in NMP (or DMF, 60 mL) to exert condensation reaction. After stirred at ambient temperature for 12 h, the yellow viscous solution of polyamic acid (PAA) was obtained. Then a certain amount of PAA (10 mL) was diluted with NMP (or DMF, 150 mL) and stirred for 10 min. Subsequently, NiMoO₄ (150 mg) was dispersed in NMP

(or DMF, 30 mL) under ultrasonication and then mixed with the PAA solution. The in-situ polycondensation reaction of PAA in the presence of NiMoO₄ was exerted under refluxing and vigorous stirring at 180 °C for 2h in a 500 mL two-neck round-bottom flask. After cooled down to room temperature, the precipitates were filtered, washed by NMP (or DMF) and ethanol for several times and vacuum dried at 60 °C. According to the solvent used in the synthetic process, the composites are denoted as NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP} and NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF}, respectively. To study the time evolution process of NiMoO₄/PI hybrids, samples were extracted from the reaction solution at different polymerization time at 180 °C.

Preparation of CoMoO₄ Nanorods and CoMoO₄/PIs. The synthesis of CoMoO₄ nanorods is similar to that of NiMoO₄ nanorods except replacing Ni(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ with Co(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$. The synthesis of CoMoO₄/PIs is analogous to that of NiMoO₄/PIs by simply replacing NiMoO₄ nanorods with CoMoO₄ nanorods.

Preparation of Mo₂C/CTSSs. NiMoO₄/PI hybrids were heated in a tube furnace under 5% H₂/Ar flow with a ramp rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, maintained at 800 °C for 5 h and then cooled down naturally. The samples were then treated with 3M HCl aqueous solution at 80 °C for 12 h to remove unstable and inactive species. After filtration, washing and drying, the samples, denoted as Mo₂C/CTSS, were collected for future use. Based on the arrangement of nanosheet arrays, the resulting product was denoted as Mo₂C/CTSS-P (Periodic, from NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP}) and Mo₂C/CTSS-R (Random, from NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF}), respectively.

Structural Characterization. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra were surveyed using Spectrum 100 (Pekin Elmer, Inc., USA) spectrometer with the KBr pellet technique. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed on a Bruker D8 Advance powder diffractometer (X-ray source: Cu, wavelength = 1.5406 Å). Scanning electron microscopy

(SEM) images were obtained on a FEI Nova scanning electron microscope at an electric voltage of 5 KV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterizations were conducted using a JEM-2100 (JEOL Ltd., Japan) with an accelerating voltage of 200 KV, samples were dispersed in ethanol with sonication and then dropped onto Cu grids for observation. Elemental mapping images were recorded using EDX spectroscope attached to the TEM. The Brunauer–Emment–Teller (BET) specific surface areas of the samples were calculated by the N₂ adsorption isothermal curves from an ASAP 2010 M/C surface area and porosimetry analyzer (Micromeritics Instrument Corporation, USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experiments were carried out on a AXIS Ultra DLD system from Kratos with Al Ka radiation as X-ray source for radiation. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of the samples was measured by using a TA-Instruments Q5000IR thermo gravimetric analyzer in nitrogen flow (100 mL min⁻¹) or air atmosphere with a temperature increasing rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The elemental content of Ni in the Mo₂C/CTSSs were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP, iCAP7600).

Electrocatalytic Measurements. The hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) performance of the samples was studied with a standard three-electrode system using a CHI 660e electrochemical station (Shanghai Chenhua Co., China) at room temperature. An Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) electrode and a Pt wire electrode were used as reference electrode and counter electrode, respectively. To prepare the working electrode, the sample (5 mg) was first dispersed in the ethanol solution of Nafion (0.5 wt%, 500 μ L), and then was sonicated for at least 1h to achieve a homogeneous ink. Subsequently, the catalyst ink (9 μ L) was pipetted onto a glassy carbon electrode (d=5.61 mm, S=0.2472 cm²) with a loading of 0.75

mg/cm². The electrochemical experiments were conducted in N₂ saturated acidic (0.5 M H_2SO_4) or alkaline (1 M KOH) or neutral (0.5 M phosphate buffered saline, PBS) electrolyte. To obtain the polarization curve, linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was recorded at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹. The Tafel plot is fitted to the Tafel equation ($\eta = b \log I + a$, where η is the overpotential, I is the current density, b is the Tafel slope and a is the Tafel plots to zero overpotential. The current-time (*i*-t) chronoamperometric response of Mo₂C/CTSS_{NMP} were tested at an overpotential of 108 mV vs. RHE in 0.5M H₂SO₄ or 110 mV vs. RHE in 1M KOH. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of samples were tested in both acidic and alkaline solutions at an overpotential of 100 mV. All the electrochemical data were iR-corrected.

The electrochemical active surface areas (ECSAs) of the catalysts were obtained according to the double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}) calculated from their cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves. The CV curves were scaned from 10 to 200 mV s⁻¹ in the range from 0.10 to 0.20 V vs RHE. The capacitive currents of $\Delta J (J_{anodic} - J_{cathodic})$ are plotted as a function of the scan rate. The slope of the fitting line is equal to $2C_{dl}$. The following formula was used to calculate the ECSA:

$$ECSA = C_{dl}/C_s$$

For a flat surface, the specific capacitance (C_s) is generally in the range of $20 \sim 60 \ \mu\text{F} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. ². Therefore, 40 $\mu\text{F} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ was used here for the calculation of ECSA.

The calibration of Ag/AgCl electrode was performed in a standard three-electrode system with Pt wires as the working and counter electrodes, and the Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode. Electrolytes are pre-purged and saturated with high purity H₂. Linear

scanning voltammetry (LSV) is then run at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹, and the potential at which the current crossed zero is taken to be the thermodynamic potential (vs. Ag/AgCl) for the hydrogen electrode reactions. In 1 M KOH, E (RHE) = E (Ag/AgCl) + 1.026 V. In 0.5M H₂SO₄, E (RHE) = E (Ag/AgCl) + 0.214 V. In 0.5 M PBS, E(RHE)= E (Ag/AgCl) + 0.652 V.



Figure S1. SEM image of NiMoO₄ nanorods.



Figure S2. a) FTIR spectra and b) XRD patterns of PI, NiMoO₄, NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP}, and NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF}. As shown in FTIR spectra, the characteristic peaks of PI (1780, 1720, and 1380 cm⁻¹) and NiMoO₄ (966, 915, and 889 cm⁻¹) can be found in both NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP} and NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF}, confirming the successful synthesis of hybrids. In the XRD patterns of NiMoO₄/PIs, the characteristic peaks of PI and NiMoO₄ can also be observed, further confirming their successful synthesis.



Figure S3. Low-magnified SEM images of a) NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP} and b) NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF}.



Figure S4. STEM-Mapping images of NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP}. The scale bar is 200 nm.



Figure S5. STEM-Mapping images of $NiMoO_4/PI_{DMF}$. The scale bar is 200 nm.



Figure S6. SEM images of a-d) NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP} and e-h) NiMoO₄/PI_{DMF} obtained at differed polymerization time: a, e) 0 min (when the temperature reached 180 °C); b, f) 30 min; c, g) 60 min; d, h) 120 min. Scale bars are 500 nm.



Figure S7. Template-directed self-assembly mechanism for the formation of NiMoO₄/PI composites in a) NMP and b) DMF with different arrangement of PI nanosheet arrays.



Figure S8. SEM images of PI nanoplates synthesized in a) NMP and b) DMF without the use of NiMoO₄ nanorods. The significantly different morphology with/without NiMoO₄ reveals the crucial role templates play in the formation of 3D superstructures.



Figure S9. SEM images of (a, b) $CoMoO_4$ nanorods and $CoMoO_4/PI$ hybrids synthesized in (c, d) NMP and (e, f) DMF. The vertically oriented and arrangement-tunable nanosheet arrays can be achieved in the $CoMoO_4/PI$ hybrids, i.e, nanosheet arrays with periodic patterns in NMP and random patterns in DMF.



Figure S10. a) Polarization curves and b) Tafel plots of the Mo₂C/CTSS catalysts obtained from different temperatures (700, 800, and 900 °C). c) whole XPS spectra and d) highresolution Mo 3d curves of Mo₂C/CTSS-700, Mo₂C/CTSS-800, and Mo₂C/CTSS-900. e) N₂ sorption curves and f) corresponding pore size distributions of Mo₂C/CTSSs. To optimize the pyrolysis temperature, three Mo₂C/CTSS based catalysts were synthesized by the thermal treatment of NiMoO₄/PI_{NMP} at 700, 800, and 900 °C for 5 h, which were

denoted as Mo₂C/CTSS-700, Mo₂C/CTSS-800, and Mo₂C/CTSS-900, respectively. As shown in their polarization curves (Figure S10a), Mo₂C/CTSS-800 only needs an overpotential of 97 mV to deliver a current density of 10 mA cm⁻², which is much smaller than those of Mo₂C/CTSS-900 (125 mV) and Mo₂C/CTSS-700 (150 mV). The Tafel slopes of Mo₂C/CTSS-700, Mo₂C/CTSS-800, and Mo₂C/CTSS-900 are calculated as 66, 48, and 54 mV dec⁻¹, respectively (Figure S10b). These results demonstrate that 800 °C is the optimal temperature for preparing the Mo₂C/CTSS catalysts in this work. As evidenced by the high-resolution Mo 3d spectra, the worst HER performance of Mo₂C/CTSS-700 among the three samples should be due to the incomplete carburization of Mo₂C (the peak at 227~230 eV) in Mo₂C/CTSS-700 (Figure S10c and d). On the other hand, the lower surface area of Mo₂C/CTSS-900 (98 m² g⁻¹) than that of Mo₂C/CTSS-800 (117 m² g⁻¹) explains its inferior electrocatalytic property (Figure S10e and f).



Figure S11. XRD patterns of carbonized NiMoO₄/PI before and after etching, Ni-Mo₂C/CTSS-P (black line), Mo₂C/CTSS-P (blue line) and Mo₂C/CTSS-R (red line). Before acidic etching, the diffractions from both Ni and β -Mo₂C can be obtained. After etching, the peaks from metallic Ni disappear and only those corresponding to β -Mo₂C remain. The magnified peak at around 26 °C is attributed to graphitic structure.



Figure S12. HRTEM images of a) Mo₂C/CTSS-P and b) Mo₂C/CTSS-R. Some easily observed hierarchical pores are cycled out. Scale bars are 100 nm.



Figure S13. HRTEM images of $Mo_2C/CTSS-R$ indicating the embedment of ultrafine Mo_2C nanoparticles inside the carbon nanosheets with graphitic structure.



Figure S14. XPS a) whole spectra of Mo₂C/CTSSs indicating the existence of Mo, C, N, O elements in the samples and b) high resolution Ni 1s spectra of Mo₂C/CTSSs revealing no formation of nickel-related chemical bond in the hybrid.



Figure S15. HER performances the of Mo₂C/CTSSs in neutral solution (0.5 M PBS). a) Polarization curves and b) derived Tafel plots of catalysts. According to the polarization curves, to deliver a current density of 10 mA cm⁻², Mo₂C/CTSS-P needs the overpotential of 213 mV, superior to Mo₂C/CTSS-R (230 mV) and com-Mo₂C (357 mV), which matches well with the results in alkaline and acidic media. The Tafel slope for Pt/C, Mo₂C/CTSS-P, Mo₂C/CTSS-R, and com-Mo₂C is 49, 100, 117, and 158 mV dec⁻¹, respectively.



Figure S16. Durability test of Mo_2C with or without the protection of carbon layer. Choronamperometric responses of $Mo_2C/CTSS$ -P and com- Mo_2C in a) 0.5 M H₂SO₄ and b) 1 M KOH.



Figure S17. a) SEM image and b) XRD pattern of $Mo_2C/CTSS-P$ after chronoamperometric measurement. The catalyst solution was loaded onto Ni foam for the durability test and then sonicated into ethanol solution after the test for subsequent structural characterization.



Figure S18. Capacitive current at 0.15 V as a function of scan rates for a) $Mo_2C/CTSS-P$ and b) $Mo_2C/CTSS-R$ in 1 M KOH. c) CV curves at different scan rates from 10 to 200 mV s⁻¹). From the plots, the C_{dl} of $Mo_2C/CTSS-P$ and $Mo_2C/CTSS-R$ is estimated to be 12.9 mF cm⁻² and 11.8 mF cm⁻², respectively. The corresponding ECSA is 322 for $Mo_2C/CTSS-P$ and 295 cm² for $Mo_2C/CTSS-R$.



Figure S19. Nyquist plots of $Mo_2C/CTSS-P$ and $Mo_2C/CTSS-R$ on glassy carbon eletrode in a) H_2SO_4 solution (0.5 M) and b) KOH solution (1 M) at an overpotential of 100 mV. Inset shows equivalent circuit used for fitting EIS data. Rs represents the overall series resistance and Rct, the charge transfer resistance. CPE is the constant phase angle element, indicating the double layer capacitance of the electrode in the real-world scenario.

| Coursello c | C content | N content | Mo content | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--|
| Samples | (at. %) | (at. %) | (at. %) | |
| Mo ₂ C/CTSS-P | 89.82 | 2.71 | 2.24 | |
| Mo ₂ C/CTSS-R | 88.73 | 2.59 | 2.32 | |

Table S1. Atomic contents of C, N and Mo elements obtained by XPS analysis.

Table S2. The HER performance of $Mo_2C/CTSS$ in this work and the recently reported Mo_2C catalysts in acidic/alkaline meida.

| Materials | Electrolyte | η at 10 mA cm ⁻² | Tafel slope | Exchange current | Doformer | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | (mV vs. RHE) | (mV/dec) | density (mA cm ⁻²) | Keierence | |
| Mo ₂ C/CTSS-P | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 97 | 48 | 0.130 | This | |
| | 1 M KOH | 95 | 54 | 0.231 | work | |
| 3DHP-Mo ₂ C | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 166 | 75 | 0.287 | [82] | |
| | 1 M KOH | 139 | 71 | 0.441 | | |
| MoC _x | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 142 | 53 | 0.023 | [83] | |
| octahedral | 1 M KOH | 151 | 59 | 0.029 | | |
| Mo ₂ C@NPC/ | 0.5 M H-SO | 31 | 33.6 | 1.00 | [\$4] | |
| NPRGO | 0.3 WI 11 <u>2</u> 504 | 54 | 55.0 | 1.09 | נאטן | |
| Mo ₂ C/C flower | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 146 | 60 | 0.108 | [85] | |
| P-Mo ₂ C@C | 0.5 M H GO | 0.0 | 12 | 0.10 | [0/] | |
| nanowire | 0.5 M H ₂ 8O ₄ | 89 | 42 | 0.18 | [86] | |
| Mo ₂ C@2D- | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 86 | 62 | - | [S7] | |
| NPCs | 1 M KOH | 45 | 46 | 1.14 | | |
| M0 ₂ C/NCF | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 144 | 55 | - | [88] | |
| | 1 M KOH | 100 | 65 | - | [90] | |
| Pomegranate- | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 141 | 56 | 0.029 | [89] | |
| like Mo ₂ C@C | 1 M KOH | 47 | 71 | 2.042 | | |
| Ni/Mo ₂ C | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 192 | 98 | ~0.222 | [S10] | |
| hollow sphere | 1 M KOH | 123 | 84 | ~0.498 | | |
| MoDCA-5 | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 78 | 41 | 0.178 | [S11] | |
| Mo ₂ C | $0.5 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$ | 172 | 62 | 0.017 | [S12] | |

| nanotubes | 0.1 M KOH | 112 | 55 | 0.087 | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Mo ₂ C@NC | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 124 | 60 | 0.096 | [\$13] |
| | 1 M KOH | 60 | - | - | |
| PDAP-MoCN- | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 140 | 46 | _ | [S14] |
| CO ₂ | | | | | |
| Mo ₂ C/CNT-GR | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | 130 | 58 | 0.062 | [S15] |
| Mo ₂ C/GCS | 0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ | ~250 | 62.6 | 0.0125 | [S16] |

References:

- [S1] W. Xiao, J. S. Chen, C. M. Li, R. Xu and X. W. Lou, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 746-754.
- [S2] T. Meng, L. Zheng, J. Qin, D. Zhao and M. Cao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 20228-20238.
- [S3] H. B. Wu, B. Y. Xia, L. Yu, X.-Y. Yu, X. W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 6512.
- [S4] J. S. Li, Y. Wang, C. H. Liu, S. L. Li, Y. G. Wang, L. Z. Dong, Z. H. Dai, Y. F. Li, Y. Q. Lan, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 11204.
- [S5] L. Chen, H. Jiang, H. Jiang, H. Zhang, S. Guo, Y. Hu, C. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 1602782.
- [S6] Z. Shi, K. Nie, Z.-J. Shao, B. Gao, H. Lin, H. Zhang, B. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, X. Sun, X.-M. Cao, P. Hu, Q. Gao, Y. Tang, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2017, 10, 1262.
- [S7] C. Lu, D. Tranca, J. Zhang, F. n. Rodríguez Hernández, Y. Su, X. Zhuang, F. Zhang, G. Seifert,
 X. Feng, ACS Nano 2017, 11, 3933.
- [S8] Y. Huang, Q. Gong, X. Song, K. Feng, K. Nie, F. Zhao, Y. Wang, M. Zeng, J. Zhong, Y. Li, ACS Nano 2016, 10, 11337.
- [S9] Y. Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, W. J. Jiang, X. Zhang, Z. Dai, L. J. Wan, J. S. Hu, ACS Nano 2016, 10, 8851.
- [S10] X. Xu, F. Nosheen, X. Wang, Chem. Mater. 2016, 28, 6313.
- [S11] R. Ma, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, P. Li, Q. Liu, J. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14723.

- [S12] F. X. Ma, H. B. Wu, B. Y. Xia, C. Y. Xu, X. W. Lou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15395.
- [S13] Y. Liu, G. Yu, G.-D. Li, Y. Sun, T. Asefa, W. Chen, X. Zou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10752.
- [S14] Y. Zhao, K. Kamiya, K. Hashimoto, S. Nakanishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 110.
- [S15] D. H. Youn, S. Han, J. Y. Kim, J. Y. Kim, H. Park, S. H. Choi, J. S. Lee, ACS Nano 2014, 8, 5164.
- [S16] W. Cui, N. Cheng, Q. Liu, C. Ge, A. M. Asiri, X. Sun, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 2658.