Supplementary Information

Simultaneous MgO coating and Mg doping of Na[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O₂ cathode: facile and customizable approach to high-voltage sodium-ion batteries

Jang-Yeon Hwang,^a Tae-Yeon Yu^a and Yang-Kook Sun^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Energy Engineering, Hanyang University, Seoul, 04763, Republic of Korea

Corresponding author E-mail: yksun@hanyang.ac.kr

Experimental Method

Material synthesis

Spherical $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$ precursors were synthesized using the co-precipitation method. The appropriate amounts of NiSO₄ and MnSO₄·H₂O were used as starting materials for the preparation of $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$. The solution concentration (2 mol L⁻¹ for the metal solution), pH (~11.0), temperature (50 °C), and stirring speed of the mixture in the batch-type reactor were carefully controlled. The precursor powders were obtained by filtering, washing, and vacuum drying at 110 °C overnight. For Na[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O₂, the obtained spherical precursors were mixed with NaOH (Na : [Ni+Mn] = 1:1 molar ratio), calcined at 750 °C for 24 h in oxygen gas, and quenched under vacuum. For the (5 mol)-MgO –NM55 and MgO-Na[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O₂ cathodes, $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$ precursor was mixed with MgO nanopowder and NaOH (Na : Mg : [Ni+Mn] = *x* : (1-*x*) : 1, *x* = 0.05, 0.1, molar ratio), and calcined with NaOH following the same calcination process.

Material Characterizations

The particle size distribution was measured using a particle size analyzer (PSA, CILAS 1090). The chemical composition of the prepared powders was determined using inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP, OPIMA 8300, PerklinElmer). A powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD) spectrometry (Rigaku, Rint-2000) using Cu Kα radiation was employed to identify the crystalline phases of the prepared powders. XRD data were obtained in a 20 range of 10 to 80° with a step size of 0.03°. The FULLPROF Rietveld program was used to analyze the powder diffraction patterns.^{RS1} The morphology of the prepared powders was obtained using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM-6340F, JEOL). Line scanning of the polished surfaces of the asprepared Na[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O2 and MgO-Na[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}]O₂ powders was carried out using an electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA, JXA-8100, JEOL). Transmission electron microscopy

(TEM, JEM2010, JEOL), and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, JEM 2100F, JEOL) analyses were also carried out. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, PHI5600, Perkin-Elmer, USA) data were collected in macro mode (3 mm × 3 mm). During the XPS measurements, the samples were first transferred into a hermetically sealed transfer chamber in a glove box and then transferred into the vacuum chamber of the XPS machine to avoid any exposure to the ambient conditions.

Reference

RS1. T. Roisnel, J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Full Prof Manual, Institute Laue-langevin, 2002, Grenoble, France.

Electrochemical Test

Electrochemical testing was carried out in a 2032 coin-type cell using Na metal (Alfa Aesar, USA) as the anode. Electrodes were fabricated by blending active cathode powders (85 wt%), carbon black (7.5 wt%), and polyvinylidene fluoride (7.5 wt%) in N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (Daejung Chem, Korea). The slurry was then cast on aluminum foil (Hohsen Corp., Japan) and dried in a vacuum oven at 110 °C for 12 h. Disks of 14 ϕ were punched out for coin cells. The electrolyte solution was 0.5 M NaPF₆ (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan) in a 98:2 volumetric mixture of propylene carbonate (Tokyo Chemical Industry Japan) and fluoro ethylene carbonate (Tokyo Chemical Industry Japan) and fluoro ethylene carbonate (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Japan). All cells were prepared in an Ar-filled glove box (MBRAUN, German). The fabricated cathodes and sodium metal anodes were separated by a glass fiber (Advantec, USA) to prevent short circuiting. The loading amount of the active material for all the electrode was 2.5 ~ 3.0 mg cm⁻² in coin-type half-cell. These half-cells were typically cycled in the constant current mode at a 0.1 C-rate (1 C = 150 mA g⁻¹) within the voltage range of 2.0 - 4.2 V versus Na/Na⁺. The anode was fabricated by blending hard carbon as active materials (80 wt%) and polyvinylidene fluoride (20 wt%). The resulting slurry was

covered over a copper foil and dried at 110 C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The full cell balance was achieved by controlling the capacity ratio of the anode to the cathode (N/P ratio) to be 1.2 : 1. Pouch-type (3 × 5 cm) full cells were tested in the voltage range of 1.0-4.1 V.



Fig. S1 SEM image $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$ precursor.



Fig. S2 Particle size analysis (PSA) data of $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$ precursor.

Formula Space group Lattice parameters R _{wp} = 11.6 %				Na[Ni _{0.5} Mn _{0.5}]O ₂ <i>R</i> 3 <i>m</i> <i>a_{hex}(Å) = 2.95645(15), ^Chex(Å) =</i> 15.93816 (94)		
Atom	site	x	У	Z	В (Ų)	g
Na	3b	0	0	0.5	1.5*	1
Ni	За	0	0	0	0.389	0.5
Mn	3a	0	0	0	0.389	0.5
0	бс	0	0	0.2644 (1)	0.355	1

Table S1. Structrual Parameters of NM55 refined by the Rietveld method.

*Not refined.

Formula Space group Lattice parameters R _{wp} = 15.5 %			۱ a _{hex} (Å) = 2	$Na[Ni_{0.45}Mg_{0.05}Mn_{0.5}]O_2$ $R\bar{3}m$ $a_{hex}(Å) = 2.95954(6), c_{hex}(Å) = 15.90443(68)$			
Atom	site	x	У	Z	В (Ų)	G	
Na	3b	0	0	0.5	1.5*	1	
Ni	3a	0	0	0	0.364	0.45	
Mn	За	0	0	0	0.364	0.5	
Mg	3a	0	0	0	0.364	0.05	
0	6c	0	0	0.2601 (2)	0.372	1	
*Not refin	ied.						
Formula Space grou Lattice pau R _{wp} = 15.5	up rameters %			MgO <i>Fm3m</i> ^a _{hex} (Å) = 4.20180(34)			
Atom	site	x	У	Z	В (Ų)	g	
Mg	4a	0	0	0	0.5	1	

0.5

0.5

0.5

0.5

1

0

4c

Table S2. Structrual Parameters of MgO-NM55 refined by the Rietveld method.



Fig. S3 (a) TEM image of MgO-NM55 particle surface. (b) HR-TEM image and (b-1) FFT pattern for bulk region (white square region, marked as "I" in (a)) of MgO-NM55 particle. (c) HR-TEM image and (c-1) pattern for MgO cotaing layer region (yellow square region, marked as "I" in (a) of MgO-NM55 particle.



Fig. S4 Low magnification SEM image and corresponding EDX mapping of as-prepared MgO-NM55.

Table S3. ICP-AES results of $[Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}](OH)_2$ precursor and the NM55 and MgO-NM55 cathodes.

	Metal stoichiometry determined by ICP-AES			
	Na	Ni	Mn	Mg
[Ni _{0.5} Mn _{0.5}](OH) ₂		0.4983	0.5017	
NM55	97.63	0.4952	0.5048	
MgO-NM55	98.89	0.4507	0.4545	0.0948



Fig. S5 XRD pattern of the MgO-NM55 cathode. The minor MgO cubic phase is marked with a square.



Fig. S6 (a) XRD pattern and (b) EPMA data of the (5mol)-MgO-NM55 cathode.



Fig. S7 Rietveld refined XRD pattern of (5mol)-MgO-NM55.

Table S4. Structrual Parameters	of (5mol)-MgO-NM55 re	efined by the Rietveld method.
---------------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------

Formula Space group Lattice parameters R _{wp} = 10.97 %				Na[Ni _{0.45} Mg _{0.05} Mn _{0.5}]O ₂ $R^{3}m$ $a_{hex}(Å) = 2.96008(13), c_{hex}(Å) = 15.9056(8)$		
Atom	site	x	у	Z	В (Ų)	G
Na	3b	0	0	0.5	1.5*	1
Ni	3a	0	0	0	0.362	0.45
Mn	За	0	0	0	0.362	0.5
Mg	За	0	0	0	0.362	0.05
0	6с	0	0	0.2609 (1)	0.306	1

*Not refined.



Fig. S8 (a) Initial charge-discharge voltage curves at a 0.1 C-rate (15 mA g^{-1}) and (b) cycle life test of the NM55, (5mol) MgO-NM55, and MgO-NM55 cathodes at a 0.5 C-rate (75 mA g^{-1}) in the voltage range of 2.0-4.0 V.



Fig. S9 Coulombic efficiency of NM55 and MgO-NM55 cathodes at a 0.5 C-rate (75 mA g⁻¹) in the voltage range of 2.0-4.0 V.



Fig. S10 Charge-discharge curves of the NM55 and MgO-NM55 cathodes at different C-rates (0.1 C to 10 C) in the voltage range of 2.0-4.2 V.



Fig. S11 Fitting circuit diagram for EIS analysis.

Table S5. The R_{sf} and R_{ct} values for the HC/NM55 and HC/MgO-NM55 full cell at $30^{th}\,cycle.$

Sample	$1^{st} R_{sf}(\Omega)$	$1^{\mathrm{st}} R_{\mathrm{ct}} \left(\Omega \right)$	$30^{th} R_{sf}(\Omega)$	$30^{th} R_{ct}(\Omega)$
HC/NM55	1.7	5.96	1.89	25.9
HC/MgO-NM55	0.37	2.36	0.45	3.53



Fig. S12 C1s spectra from XPS results for the cycled (a) NM55 and (b) MgO-NM55 cathodes collected from the pouch-type full cell after 200 cycles.



Fig. S13 XPS spectra of P 2p for cycled hard carbon anodes: (a) NM55/HC full cell and (b) MgO-NM55/HC full cell after 200 cycles (Obs.:observed, Fit.: fitted).



Fig. S14 SEM images and corresponding EDX mapping (Mg element) data for the cycled (a-b) NM55 and (c-d) MgO-NM55 cathodes collected from the pouch-type full cell after 200 cycles.



Fig. S15 HF titration results of the pouch-type NM55/HC and HC/MgO-NM55 full cell after 200 cycles.

Cathode	Cut-off Potential	1 st Discharge Capacity	Best Cycling Performance	Best Rate Performance	Full Cell	Ref
$NaFe_{x}(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.5})_{1-x}O_2$	2.0-4.0 V	130 mAh g ⁻¹ at 12 mA g ⁻¹	96.4% 30 th at 12 mA g ⁻¹	86 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.4 A g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0V)	х	\$1
NaNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	2.2-3.8 V	125 mAh g ⁻¹ at 8 mA g ⁻¹	72% 50 th at 48 mA g ⁻¹ 70% 50 th at 240 mA g ⁻¹	105 mAh g ⁻¹ at 240 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0V)	x	S2
$NaNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_2$	2.0-4.0 V	141 mAh g ⁻¹ at 12 mA g ⁻¹	90% 100 th at 12 mA g ⁻¹	80 mAh g ⁻¹ at 480 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0V)	х	S3
NaNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5-x} Ti _x O ₂	2.0-4.0 V	134 mAh g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0) at 12 mA g ⁻¹	85% 200 th at 240 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0)	95 mAh g ⁻¹ at 1.2 A g ⁻¹	x	S4
	2.0-4.2 V	140 mAh g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.2) at 12 mA g-1	78% 200 th at 240 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.2)	(2.0-4.0V)		
$NaNi_{0.45}Cu_{0.05}Mn_{0.4}Ti_{0.1}O_2$	2.0-4.0 V	124 mAh g ⁻¹ at 24 mA g ⁻¹	$70.2\%~500^{th}$ at 240 mA g $^{-1}$	81 mAh g ⁻¹ at 2.4 A g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0V)	х	S5
Carbon/NaNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	1.2-3.6 V	240 mAh g ⁻¹ _(C) at 25 mA g ⁻¹ _(C)	75% 45^{th} at 25 mA g ⁻¹ _(C) 58% 80^{th} at 300 mA g ⁻¹ _(C)	-	O (Coin)	S6
NaNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	2.2-3.8 V	130 mAh g ⁻¹ at 23.9 mA g ⁻¹	62% 50 th at 23.9 mA g ⁻¹	-	х	S7
	2.0-4.0 V	147 mAh g-1 (2.0-4.0) at 12 mA g ⁻¹	74% 50 th at 60 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0 V)	96 mAh g ⁻¹	0	50
NaNI _{0.5} WIII _{0.5} O ₂	2.0-4.2 V	220 mAh g-1 (2.0-4.2) at 12 mA g ⁻¹	58% 50 th at 60 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.2 V)	(2.0-4.0V)	(Coin)	20
NaNi _{0.5} Mn _{0.5} O ₂	2.1-4.0 V	137 mAh g ⁻¹ at 12 mA g ⁻¹	82% 75 th at 12 mA g ⁻¹	92 mAh g ⁻¹ at 120 mA g ⁻¹ (2.0-4.0V)	x	S9
MgO-NaNia = Mna = Oa	2.0-4.0 V	132.6 mAh g ⁻¹ at 15 mA g ⁻¹	80 % 100 th at 75 mA g ⁻¹	100.2 mAh g ⁻¹	0	This
(Tap density: 2.0 g cm ⁻³)	2.0-4.2 V	167 mAh g ⁻¹ 15 mA g ⁻¹	To with the second se		(Pouch)	work

Table S6. Comparisons table comparing the performance of the cathode material to similar materials.

References

Ref. S1 ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 8585–8591. Ref. S2 Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 6211–6220. Ref. S3 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 17660-17664.

- Ref. S4 Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1700210.
- Ref. S5 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 8440-8443.
- Ref. S6 Adv. Funct. Mater. 2011, 21, 3859-3867.
- Ref. S7 ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2011, 3, 4165-4168.
- Ref. S8 Phys.Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 3827.
- Ref. S9 ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 1867–1875.