## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

## A rechargeable metal-free full-liquid sulfur-bromine battery for sustainable energy storage

Lina Wang,<sup>\*a</sup> Xiaofei Wang,<sup>a</sup> Jingyuan Liu,<sup>b</sup> Hao Yang,<sup>a</sup> Cuimei Fu,<sup>a</sup> Yongyao Xia<sup>\*b</sup> and Tianxi Liu<sup>\*a</sup>

 a. State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Innovation Center for Textile Science and Technology, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China.

b. Department of Chemistry, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative
Materials, Institute of New Energy, and Collaborative Innovation
Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

\*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.W. (email: <u>linawang@dhu.edu.cn</u>), Y.X. (email: <u>yyxia@fudan.edu.cn</u>) or to T.L. (email: <u>txliu@dhu.edu.cn</u>).



Fig. S1 Redox potential of various reversible redox couples. The ones have a high redox potential above 1 V (vs. SHE) but below the threshold of  $O_2$  evolution by water electrolysis (1.23 V vs. SHE) are within considerations for constructing redox flow batteries to pair sulfur-based anolytes.



**Fig. S2** Stable potential window of  $H_2O$  at room temperature. Based on the Nernst equation, the stable electrochemical potentials of aqueous electrolyte solutions at neutral pH should be in the potential window of -0.4–0.83 V (vs. SHE, standard hydrogen electrode). However, water electrolysis would not generally proceed beyond these terminal potentials, as the electrical input must provide the full amount of enthalpy of  $H_2/O_2$  evolution, which broadens the threshold of water electrolysis to ca. 0.75–1.25 V (vs. SHE) or 2.29–4.29 V (vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>).<sup>1,2</sup> In this work, the pH value of the LiBr-KBr catholyte solutions were tuned into weak acidic, as indicated by the green color.



Fig. S3 Relationship between the cathodic and anodic peak currents (I) and the square root of the sweep rates (v).



**Fig. S4** Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of (a) Li–LiBr and (b) Li–S half cells for the initial 3 cycles at 0.02 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The Li–LiBr half cell with an aqueous solution in presence of 0.3 M LiBr and 1 M KBr, and the Li–S half cells with a THF solution containing 0.1 M S and 1 M LiClO<sub>4</sub>. Both of the counter/reference anode is metallic Li.



**Fig. S5** Contact angle of catholyte and anolyte with the carbon current collectors. (a) Catholyte with the pristine carbon current collector. (b) Catholyte with the carbon current collector after the 1st cycle. (c) Catholyte with the carbon current collector after 5 cycles. (d) Anolyte with the pristine carbon current collector. The images were taken when the electrolyte solution in contact with the carbon surface for 120 s.



Fig. S6 Nyquist plots of the as-assembled fresh (a)  $S-Br_2$  full cell and (b) Li–LiBr half cell (black) and the cells after the initial charge–discharge cycle (red). The insets are the zoomed-in view of Nyquist plots from cycled cells.



Fig. S7 Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of S–Br<sub>2</sub> cells on the 1st cycle at various current densities of 0.03, 0.05 and 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.



**Fig. S8** SEM images of carbon current collectors for (b–e) anolytes and (f–h) catholytes. (a) Pristine carbon film. (b, c, f) After the first charge. (d, g) After the first discharge. (e, h) After the 5th discharge.



**Chemical-delithiation process** 

Fig. S9 Illustration of the electrochemical and chemical delithiation process of Li<sub>2</sub>S. Except the direct electrochemical delithiation of Li<sub>2</sub>S, the Li<sub>2</sub>S can also be oxidized by the liquid intermediate products (e.g., long-chain polysulfides) to soluble shortchain polysulfides through chemical reactions.



Fig. S10 Charge–discharge curves of a S–Br<sub>2</sub> battery for repeated cycles with a current density of 0.1 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 30  $^{\circ}$ C.



**Fig. S11** Polarization curve (black) and corresponding specific power density (blue) of S–Br<sub>2</sub> battery. The operation temperature is 30 °C. The power density was calculated from the midpoint discharge voltage at 50% depth of discharge at the respective current density in voltage range of 1.0–2.5 V. Presently, a wider cut-off voltage was not applied due to the consideration of potential issues such as the O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> evolution of aqueous catholyte.



Fig. S12 Self-discharge behavior of  $S-Br_2$  battery. (a) Charge-rest-discharge curves as a function of time. (b) The corresponding galvanostatic profiles as a function of specific capacity. The operation temperature is 30 °C.



Fig. S13 Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of S–Br<sub>2</sub> battery with a high concentration of polysulfides. The aqueous catholyte solution containing 3 M LiBr and 2 M KBr. The anolyte is a THF solution in presence of 1/8 M Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and 1 M LiClO<sub>4</sub>. The current density is 0.05 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>.

## References

- 1. L. Chen, Z. Guo, Y. Xia and Y. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2204–2206.
- Y. Zhao, Y. Ding, J. Song, J. B. Goodenough and G. Yu, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 1990–1995.